## REPORT OF INVESTIGATION

UTAH GEOLOGICAL AND MINERAL SURVEY

TIFIE ER ORECON WILLIAM A LUND

No. 188

ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL DAMAGE TO REAL PROPERTY FROM MAJOR DEBRIS FLOW IN STANDEL COVE SUBDIVSION Salt Lake County, Utah

For the Salt Lake County Commission

by Bruce N. Kaliser Roland W. Jeppson Roy S. Baty, Jr.

October 1984

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

																									P	age
Introdu	ction.	•••	••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1
Landsli	de Situ	ation	•••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	4
Procedu	re of A	ssessi	ment	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	7
Results	• • •	•••	••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	8
Conclus	ions .	•••	••	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	8
Appendi	x																									
1.	Comput Occur	er Sin in Jol	nula hnsoi	tio n's	ons s F	s c Hol	of Llo	De SW	ebr	is •	s F •	'lc •	ws •	г. •	.ha	•t	Ma •	•y	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	12

# ILLUSTRATIONS

# Figures

1.	Location Map	2
2.	Vicinity Map	3
3.	Landslide Situation Cross Section	5
4.	Standel Cove Assessor's Map	9
Tables		
1.	Individual Property Loss Assessments	10

## ASSESSMENT OF POTENTIAL DAMAGE TO REAL PROPERTY FROM MAJOR DEBRIS FLOW IN STANDEL COVE SUBDIVSION Salt Lake County, Utah

by Bruce N. Kaliser<sup>1</sup> Roland W. Jeppson<sup>2</sup> Roy S. Baty, Jr.<sup>3</sup>

## Introduction

During May of 1984, two small debris flows occurred in Johnson's Hollow of Emigration Canyon (Figure 1 - Location Map, and Figure 2 -Vicinity Map). These flows passed through Standel Cove subdivision and into Emigration Canyon stream without any significant damage to the homes or drainage structures. Shortly after these events, an investigation revealed three large landslides located in Johnson's Hollow approximately 3/4 mile above the Standel Cove subdivision. The landslides have the potential of becoming large debris flows in the event precipitation produces sufficient saturation in the unstable masses.

Because of potential risk from the landslides, residents of Standel Cove Subdivision have claimed that their property has been devalued and have requested a reduction in county property taxes. In order to provide data to evaluate these claims, the Utah Geological and Mineral Survey was requested to assess the potential damage to the homes and property in Standel Cove subdivision. The assessment was specifically limited to potential damage to real property and therefore excludes risk to personal property and life.

<sup>1</sup>Utah Geological and Mineral Survey <sup>2</sup>Utah State University <sup>3</sup>Salt Lake County Engineering Division

-1-



GENERAL HIGHWAY MAP, S.L.CO., U.D.O.T., 1981 SHEET NO. 18 SCALE 1"=10,560' FIGURE 1: LOCATION MAP



1983 CONTINENTAL GLOBAL CORPORATION SCALE 1" = 2,400' FIGURE 2 : VICINITY MAP Aerial photographs in the Utah Geological and Mineral Survey files, documenting 1983 events, reveal the presence of one debris slide scar but apparently its effects escaped the notice of Standel Cove residents. No local residents recollect ever having seen water, mud or debris flowing out of the drainage subsequent to any previous runoff or cloudburst event.

Following the events, aerial and ground reconnaissance by the Utah Geological and Mineral Survey and the Salt Lake County Engineering Divison have confirmed the presence of a significant hazard in the destabilized ancient landslide terrain at the head of Johnson's Hollow. At this writing, we are aware of four distinct slump type landslide masses.

## Landslide Situation

The largest and easternmost of these slump type ground failures is approximately 600 feet long and 150 feet wide. Average depth to the failure surface is unknown, but it is likely to be at least 15 feet. At the toe of this mass there is a typical shallow debris slide failure 110 feet long, 40 feet wide, and with an average depth of 3 feet. This failure is on a slope of about 1-1/2 horizontal to 1 vertical. As the landslide continues to move, it will continue to maintain an oversteepened unstable toe slope (see Figure 3 for Schematic).

To the west and approximately at right angles to the largest landslide is another landslide approximately 145 feet long and 40 feet wide. This landslide mass has not matured to the extent of the two slides to its east and west; nevertheless, it poses a clear threat to

-4-

## U.G.M.S. REPORT OF INVESTIGATION NO. 188



Ϋ́

create a debris slide and debris flow at its toe in another Spring snowmelt season. The bottom half of the slide is on a slope of 2 to 1 to 1-3/4 to 1. There is clear evidence of an older trace of a landslide scarp 80 feet farther upslope.

About 160 feet east of the old scarp, evidence has recently (Fall, 1984) been discovered of a less well defined landslide which moved in the Spring of 1984.

The fourth landslide mass is well defined and located close to the center of the bowl-like feature at the top of Johnson's Hollow. It is about 100 feet long and in a separate draw which has its confluence with the eastern main draw where the other slides occur. Two debris flows in 1984 originated from a separate debris slide at the toe of this landslide mass. It appears that the lower debris slide, with a volume of about 140 cubic yards, occurred first, followed by the upper, with a volume of about 2 to 1 and 1-1/4 to 1, respectively, and each is being further deformed and oversteepened as the lower landslide mass moves down slope.

Additional ground cracking has been observed in the Johnson's Hollow topographic bowl which is indicative of an even wider zone of instability. It is likely that the total area of instability will increase if the wet cycle continues with the possible development of additional distinct landslide masses.

Debris flow events are very probable in Johnson's Hollow in the future in our opinion. The probability is high that one or more of these will reach Standel Cove subdivision. The probability is lower, but

-6-

nevertheless a major concern, that a significant debris flow will inundate portions of the subdivision. Our damage assessment assumes that this will occur.

### Procedure of Assessment

A three man multi-disciplinary team (the authors of this report) was formed consisting of an engineering geologist, a civil/municipal engineer and a hydraulic/hydrologic engineer. Two of the team members had prior knowledge of the landslide terrain in Johnson's Hollow and the drainage below. All three people participated jointly in a traverse of the lower 1,000 feet of the drainage and the Standel Cove subdivision lots.

The team decided upon a rating system of 0 (no risk) to 100 (total destruction) for evaluating the risk to each home and its appurtenances. At the time of an on-site inspection, considerations were given to:

- Location and orientation of the homes with respect to the potential debris flow path.
- Construction type of each home and other structures (wells, fences, etc.,) on the property.
- 3. Location and area of glass surfaces.
- 4. Subsurface levels in the home.
- 5. Landscaping and natural vegetation.
- 6. Outbuildings.

In the field, each individual recorded his determined value

following an inspection of the lot, and all three were averaged in order to arrive at a single value for the given property. In no instance was there a significant discrepancy in the three values. Not all properties in the subdivision had visible addresses so the "Sidwell" number was taken from the County Assessor's map (Figure 4) to identify the parcels.

The team hydrologist has simulated debris flows using a computer model (Appendix A). This technique indicates the travel time and thickness of debris flow surges that might reach Standel Cove subdivision.

#### Results

The computer simulations show debris thickness of approximately 3.5 feet as the flows enter the upper reach of the Standel Cove subdivision. Should the debris flow stop when the flow path gradient is considerably reduced or where arrested by trees or other obstructions, and subsequently be over-ridden by later debris flows, then a greater depth of debris can be expected to accumulate.

Within the subdivision, 16 lots were examined for potential debris flow impact; of these 9 were judged to be in danger of sustaining damage from a debris flow event. Percent losses were judged to range from 0% to 22% (Table 1).

### Conclusions

On the basis of our examinations in the Johnson's Hollow drainage, we formed a concensus that a debris flow threat exists there. Debris flows can originate from one or more of at least four distinct landslide

-8-



16-1-41

SCALE: I\* + 100"

## TABLE 1

## INDIVIDUAL PROPERTY LOSS ASSESSMENTS MADE BY TECHNICAL TEAM FOR MAJOR DEBRIS FLOW FROM JOHNSON'S HOLLOW

% LOSS	REMARKS
10	2 buildings on the lot; well included
0	Damage confined to debris removal from road
0	
	Exempt because home will post-date this assessment
0	579 Standel Drive
2	Landscaping only
22	557 Standel Drive
6	
12	
7	Most debris dropped upstream
0	•
2	Protection afforded by concrete basement wall
1	
0	1690 Sunnydale Lane
0.5	Swimming pool could be filled
0	1626 Sunnydale Lane
0	1720 Sunnydale Lane
	<pre>% LOSS 10 0 0 0 2 22 6 12 7 0 2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0</pre>

\*From Sidwell Sheet No. 16-1-41, Salt Lake County Assessor's Office (Figure 4)

masses that currently exist in the active state in the topographic bowl at the head of the drainage.

In addition to empirical judgment on potential volumes of debris that could emerge from the channel of Johnson's Hollow into the subdivision, we have employed a computer simulation model which collaborates the empirical judgment. We concluded that in a severe debris flow event in Johnson's Hollow, one property could suffer significant damage, four properties moderate damage, and four properties slight damage.

### APPENDIX

## COMPUTER SIMULATIONS OF DEBRIS FLOWS THAT MAY OCCUR IN JOHNSON'S HOLLOW

Using the computer program described in Jeppson and Rodrigues (1983) for simulation of debris flows, hypotheoretical situations were constructed by Jeppson as possible debris flow events within Johnson's Hollow. These situations do not represent predicted events in any way; rather they represent possible situations that might occur. Some data has been provided by Kaliser and Baty but the effort has been limited for defining the channel geometry, its geologic material, hydraulic properties and determining the available amounts of materials within the channel likely to scour from its bed and sides and contribute to the magnitude of the debris flow. The flow rates from a debris slide in the upper reaches of the canyon are amounts that have been arbitrarily selected for use to investigate what the depths and velocities of the debris flow would be as predicted by the computer simulation. Tables A-1 through A-11 give some of the results from computer simulations #1 and #2. Simulations are based on: (1) specifying flow rate at the source equal to 60 c.f.s. at time zero for both simulations, (Table A-3 shows how the source flow rates have been changed as a function of time), (2) specifying the amount of lateral debris flow input through the channel due to bed scour, and (3) specifying the bottom widths and channel side slopes according to limited field measurements.

-12-

To illustrate some of the features of these simulations, three graphs are given. Figure A-1 shows the amount of discharge for simulation #1 that would exist in the channel as a function of the channel position if the debris flow were at steady-state with the initial inflow at the source at 60 c.f.s., and the bed scour contribution coming along the channel. The bed scour contribution was not changed as a function of time for the simulations. Figure A-2 shows what steady-state debris flow depths would be in the specified channel with this discharge in the channel. Figure A-3 shows how the source flow rate was specified to change as a function of time for simulation #1.

Simulation #2 has a flatter channel bottom slope just before entering the subdivision than #1. To accomplish this flatter slope here, the slope was increased slightly just upstream therefrom so that the total drop in elevation is still approximately consistent with that given on the U.S.G.S. topographic map.

There are four tables that give the time-dependent solution for each of the simulations #1 and #2. These tables are numbered A-3 through A-10. The first four of these tables apply for simulation #1 and the second four apply for simulation #2. Each set of these four tables gives the following: (1) the depth of debris flow as a function of time and position along the channel, (2) the flow rate (c.f.s.) as a function of time and position along the channel, (3) the cross-sectional area of the debris flow as a function of time and position along the channel, and (4) the top width of the debris flow as a function of time and position along the channel.

-13-



Figure A-1. Debris flowrate in the channel contributed by the 60 cfs at the source and the lateral inflow that is contributed due to bed scour. This flowrate as a function of channel position would be the flowrate if a steady-state condition existed for the debris flow.



Figure A-2. Depths of debris flows that would exist under steady-state flow with 60 cfs coming in at the beginning of the channel and being added to by bed scour as given on Figure |.



Figure  $A^{-3}$ . Change in volumetric flowrate as a function of time at the beginning of the channel for simulation # 3. This flowrate would be that contributed from the slide source area.

#1 and	# 2.							
Dist.	Simu:	lation #	+ 1		Simu:	lation #	+ 2	
from beg. (ft)	bottom slope	width bottom (ft)	side slope	scour inflow (cfs)	bottom slope	width bottom (ft)	side slope	scour inflow (cfs)
0	.344	3.0	2.0		.344	3.0	2.0	
480	.558	3.5	2.0	12	.558	3.5	2.0	10
860	.394	4.0	2.0	16	.394	4.0	2.0	14
1400	.346	4.5	2.0	10	.346	4.5	2.0	17
2000	.320	5.0	2.0	18	.346	5.0	2.0	10
2650	.296	6.0	2.0	20	.300	6.0	2.0	14
3000	.274	8.0	2.25	10	.270	8.0	2.25	4
3380	.252	10.0	2.5	10	.150	10.0	2.5	4
3670	.024	25.0	2.5	U	.024	25.0	2.5	0
4000	.024	25.0	2.5	U 	.024	25.0	2.5	0

Table A-1. Definition of Johnson's Canyon for simulations # 1 and # 2.

Table A-2. Flowrates that were specified as a function of time at the beginning of the channel in defining part of the computer problem for debris flow simulations # 1 and # 2.

For	comput	ter	simula	tion	# 1						
Time	(sec)	0	40	80	120	160	200	240	280	320	360
Q(1)	(cfs)	60	65	70	65	55	50	45	40	35	30
Time	(sec)	400	) 440	480	520	560	600	640	680	720	740
Q(1)	(cfs)	25	5 20	15	12	10	9	8	7.5	6.0	5.5

For computer simuation # 2

Time	(sec)	0	40	80	120	160	200	240	280	320	360
Q(l)	(cfs)	60	65	70	65	50	45	35	30	25	20
Time	(sec)	400	440	480	520	560	600	640	680	720	740
Q(1)	(cfs)	15	12	10	9	8	7.5	6.0	5.5	5.0	

assu	mest	inat t	ne de	bris	31106	e con	Cribu	cesa.	I TOW	rate (	JL 60	CISA	it the	e ini;	ciatio	on or	the d	lebris	5 1100	~. 	
time sec	0	200	400	600	800	Pos 1000	ition 1200	from 1400	the 1 1600	begin 1800	ning 2000	of the 2200	e chai 2400	nnel, 2600	feet 2800	3000	3200	3400	3600	3800	4000
40 80 120 160 200	2.0 2.0 2.0 2.1 2.0	1.8 1.8 1.8 1.9 1.9	1.7 1.7 1.8 1.8 1.8	1.7 1.7 1.8 1.8 1.9	1.9 2.0 2.1 2.0 2.0	0.1 2.0 2.3 2.4 2.2	0.1 0.1 0.1 2.4 2.6	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 2.4	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1
240 280 320 360 400	1.9 1.9 1.8 1.7 1.6	1.8 1.7 1.6 1.6 1.5	1.8 1.7 1.7 1.6 1.5	1.8 1.7 1.7 1.6 1.6	2.1 2.1 2.0 1.9 1.8	2.0 2.1 2.1 2.1 1.9	2.5 2.1 2.0 2.0 2.0	2.7 2.8 2.3 2.0 2.0	2.4 2.9 3.0 2.6 2.1	0.1 0.1 2.9 3.1 2.7	0.1 0.1 2.9 3.2	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 2.9	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	$0.1 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.1$
440 480 520 560 600	1.5 1.4 1.3 1.2 1.0	1.4 1.3 1.2 1.1 0.9	1.5 1.4 1.3 1.3	1.5 1.4 1.3 1.3 1.2	1.8 1.8 1.7 1.7 1.6	1.8 1.8 1.7 1.7 1.6	2.0 1.9 1.8 1.8 1.7	2.0 1.9 1.8 1.8 1.7	2.0 2.0 2.0 1.9 1.8	2.1 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9	3.0 2.3 1.9 1.8 1.8	3.3 3.0 2.4 2.0 1.8	0.1 3.5 3.0 2.4 2.1	0.1 3.3 3.5 2.9 2.2	0.1 0.1 3.3 3.5 3.0	0.1 0.1 0.1 3.3 3.3	$0.1 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.1$	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	$0.1 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.1 \\ 0.1$	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1
640 680 720 760 800 840	0.9 0.9 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8	0.8 0.7 0.6 0.6 0.5 0.5	1.2 1.1 1.0 1.0 0.9 0.9	1.1 1.0 1.0 0.9 0.9 0.9	1.5 1.5 1.4 1.3 1.3	1.6 1.5 1.5 1.4 1.4 1.3	1.6 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.4	1.7 1.6 1.5 1.4 1.4	1.7 1.7 1.6 1.6 1.5	1.8 1.7 1.6 1.5 1.5	1.9 1.9 1.8 1.7 1.6 1.4	1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7 1.7	1.9 1.8 1.7 1.6 1.5 1.4	1.9 1.8 1.8 1.9 1.8 1.8	2.3 1.9 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.5	2.9 2.3 2.0 1.8 1.7 1.5	3.2 2.7 2.0 1.6 1.6 1.7	3.3 3.2 2.8 2.2 1.7 1.2	0.1 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.2 3.1	0.1 0.1 3.3 3.5 3.6 3.7	0.1 0.1 0.1 3.5 3.5

Table A-3. Depths of simulated debris flow in Johnson's Canyon as obtained from computer solution #1 that assumes that the debris slide contributes a flowrate of 60 cfs at the initiation of the debris flow.

i						Dogi	tion	from	tha b	agin	ing (	of th	a char	1001	faat						
ec	0	200	400	600	800	1000	1200	1400	1600	1800	2000	2200	2400	2600	2800	3000	3200	3400	3600	3800	4000
4Q	60.0	65.0	70.0	76.4	83.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.
вó	60.0	59.5	58.9	58.8	58.6	57.9	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.
20	65.0	64.9	64.8	64.4	64.4	64.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.
60	70.0	70.1	74.7	76.8	79.7	95.1	64.3	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.
00	65	70	77	84	87	101	107	65	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
40	60	67	76	82	96	90	120	113	65	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
30	55	61	74	75	97	93	95	144	93	1	1	-1	1	1	1	1	1	1	. 1	1	
20	50	54	70	71	89	98	83	116	150	94	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
50	45	48	63	67	81	93	88	84	137	158	95	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
00	40	43	56	62	76	83	90	81	92	154	164	95	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
ŧ0	35	39	51	56	73	74	85	82	83	94	179	144	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
30	30	34	48	49	70	67	78	77	84	74	116	181	160	144	1	1	1	1	1	1	
20	25	28	44	43	66	63	72	71	82	74	80	129	186	224	145	1	1	1	1	1	
50	20	22	41	38	61	58	67	65	75	77	69	91	127	198	239	146	1	1	1	1	
00	15	16	36	33	56	55	62	62	66	75	70	72	9 <b>9</b>	114	222	231	1	1	1	1	
10	12	11	32	29	51	51	56	59	60	67	72	63	85	84	132	221	225	231	1	1	
30	10	9	27	26	46	48	51	55	57	59	71	60	74	76	85	147	206	284	232	1	
20	9	6	24	23	42	44	47	50	55	52	66	60	64	74	64	109	122	251	225	233	
50	8	5	21	21	38	40	44	44	53	48	58	61	56	75	54	92	80	164	220	156	
)0	8	4	19	19	36	37	42	40	49	47	50	62	49	74	51	77	73	87	202	126	15
40	6	4	18	17	35	34	39	38	43	47	42	61	44	69	53	61	81	42	176	112	12

Table A-5. Cross-sectional areas of simulated debris flow in Johnson's Canyon as obtained from computer solution #1 that assumes that the debris slide contributes a flowrate of 60 cfs at the initiation of the debris flow.

time sec	÷ 0	200	400	600	800	Pos: 1000	ition 1200	from 1400	the 1 1600	egin 1800	ning ( 2000	of the 2200	e char 2400	nnel, 2600	feet 2800	3000	3200	3400	3600	3800	4000
40	13.5	12.3	11.4	12.3	14.9	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.1	2.1	2.4	2.5
120	13.5	12.1	11.5	12.1	15.4	12.9	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.1	2.1	2.4	2.5
120	14.4	12.7	12.3	12.5	16./	19.0	0.4	0.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.1	2.1	2.4	2.5
100	13.4	13.4	12.8	13.4	10.4	21.1	21.3	21.4	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.1	2.1	2.4	2.5
200	14.4	13.0	12.5	13.8	16.2	19.2	45.0	21.8	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.1	2.1	2.4	2.5
240	13.4	12.4	12.1	13.1	16.9	16.9	22.8	27.6	22.2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.1	2.1	2.4	2.5
280	12.6	11.6	11.8	12.2	16.7	17.1	17.9	27.7	29.8	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.1	2.1	2.4	2.5
320	11.8	10.7	11.3	11.6	15.4	17.7	16.1	21.4	32.1	30.3	0.5	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.1	2.1	2.4	2.5
360	11.0	9.9	10.5	11.2	14.3	17.0	17.1	16.7	25.0	34.8	30.8	0.5	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.1	2.1	2.4	2.5
400	10.2	9.2	9.7	10.7	13.7	15.6	17.3	16.5	18.2	27.9	37.0	31.7	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.8	0.9	1.1	2.1	2.4	2.5
440	<b>a</b> 7	8 6	<b>Q</b> 1	10.0	17 4	14 4	16 5	16 7	17 0	19 0	32.1	30 0	0 6	06	07	0.8	<u> </u>	1 1	2 1	24	25
480	9.3	7 9	9.1	9 1	13.4	17.4	15 5	16 1	17 4	16 0	22.1	34 5	43 5	40.8	0.7	0.0	0.9	11	2.1	2.4	2.5
520	7 5	7 0	8 4	8 4	12 6	13.0	14 7	15 1	17 0	16.2	17 1	24.5	34 5	45 0	45 1	0.0	0.5	111	2.1	7 4	2.5
560	6 5	5 9	7 9	77	12.0	12 4	14 0	14 3	15 9	16 8	15 6	19 0	24 6	34 5	50 3	50 4	0.9	1 1	2 1	2.7	2.5
600	5.4	4.8	74	7.1	11 4	11 9	13.3	13.9	14 7	16 4	15 9	16 7	20 5	23 2	79.7	50 4	0.9	11	21	7 4	2.5
	5		· • •			11.7	10.0			10.1	13.3	10.1	1013	23.2	33.3	50.1	0.5		***	4.1	4.5
640	4.7	3.9	6.7	6.5	10.7	11.4	12.5	13.4	13.8	15.2	16.4	14.9	18.4	18.7	26.6	41.5	54.3	63.1	2.1	2.4	2.5
680	4.2	3.2	6.1	6.1	10.0	10.9	11.8	12.9	13.4	13:9	16.3	14.7	16.9	17.6	19.9	30.1	41.4	60.6	97.2	2.4	2.5
720	4	3	6	6	9	10	11	12	13	13	15	15	16	18	16	25	28	51	9 <b>9</b>	109	3
760	4	2	5	5	9	10	11	11	13	12	14	15	14	18	15	22	21	37	100	118	3
800	4	2	5	5	9	9	10	10	12	12	13	15	13	18	14	20	20	25	96	123	118
840	3	2	5	5	8	9	10	10	11	12	11	15	12	17	15	17	22	16	89	128	118

Position from the beginning of the channel, feet time sec 0 200 400 600 800 1000 1200 1400 1600 1800 2000 2200 2400 2600 2800 3000 3200 3400 3600 3800 4000 40 10.8 10.4 10.1 10.6 11.6 80 10.8 10.4 10.2 10.5 11.8 12.0 120 11.2 10.6 10.5 10.7 12.2 13.2 160 11.4 10.8 10.7 11.0 12.1 13.6-13.8 200 11.1 10.7 10.6 11.1 12.1 13.1 14.8 13.9 240 10.8 10.5 10.4 10.9 12.3 12.3 14.2 15.5 14.1 280 10.5 10.1 10.3 10.5 12.2 12.4 12.7 15.6 16.1 320 10.2 9.8 10.1 10.3 11.8 12.6 12.1 13.8 16.7 16.3 360 9.9 9.4 9.8 10.2 11.4 12.4 12.5 12.4 14.9 17.4 16.5 400 9.5 9.2 9.5 9.9 11.2 11.9 12.5 12.4 12.9 15.7 17.9 16.8 . 440 9.1 8.9 9.2 9.6 11.1 11.5 12.3 12.4 12.6 13.3 16.8 18.4 480 8.8 8.6 9.0 9.3 11.0 11.2 11.9 12.2 12.7 12.3 14.2 17.4 19.5 19.0 520 8.3 8.1 8.9 9.0 10.8 11.0 11.7 11.9 12.6 12.4 12.7 15.0 17.5 19.9 20.7 560 7.8 7.6 8.7 8.7 10.6 10.8 11.4 11.6 12.2 12.5 12.3 13.4 15.1 17.6 21.7 22.8 600 7.2 7.0 8.4 8.4 10.3 10.6 11.2 11.4 11.8 12.4 12.4 12.6 14.0 14.9 19.5 22.8 640 6.8 6.4 8.1 8.1 10.0 10.4 10.9 11.3 11.5 12.1 12.5 12.2 13.4 13.6 16.5 20.9 24.5 680 6.5 6.0 7.8 7.9 9.8 10.2 10.6 11.1 11.4 11.6 12.5 12.1 12.9 13.3 14.6 18.3 21.8 27.0 37.8 720 6.4 5.7 7.5 7.7 9.5 10.0 10.4 10.8 11.3 11.2 12.2 12.1 12.5 13.3 13.6 16.9 18.7 25.0 38.0 41.4 760 6.2 5.4 7.3 7.5 9.3 9.8 10.2 10.5 11.2 11.0 11.7 12.2 12.1 13.3 13.1 16.2 16.9 22.3 38.2 42.5 800 6.1 5.3 7.1 7.3 9.2 9.5 10.1 10.2 10.9 11.0 11.2 12.3 11.7 13.3 13.0 15.6 16.6 19.4 37.6 43.0 42.5 840 5.8 5.2 7.0 7.2 9.1 9.3 9.9 10.0 10.6 11.0 10.8 12.2 11.4 13.0 13.2 14.8 17.2 16.9 36.7 43.7 42.5 \_\_\_\_\_

Table A-6. Top Widths (ft) of simulated debris flow in Johnson's Canyon as obtained from computer solution # 1 that assumes that the debris slide contributes a flowrate of 60 cfs at the initiation of the debris flow.

Table A-7. Depths of simulated debris flow in Johnson's Canyon as obtained from computer solution #  $^2$  that assumes that the debris slide contributes a flowrate of 60 cfs at the initiation of the debris flow.

time sec	0	200	400	600	800	Pos: 1000	ition 1200	from 1400	the 1600	begin 1800	ning a 2000	of the 2200	char 2400	nnel, 2600	feet 2800	3000	3200	3400	3600	3800	4000
40 80 120 160 200	2.0 2.0 2.0 2.1 2.0	1.8 1.8 1.8 1.9 1.9	1.7 1.7 1.7 1.8 1.8	1.7 1.7 1.7 1.8 1.8	1.9 1.9 2.0 2.0 2.0	0.1 1.9 2.2 2.3 2.2	0.1 0.1 0.1 2.3 2.5	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 2.3	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1
240 280 320 360 400	1.8 1.7 1.5 1.4 1.3	1.7 1.6 1.5 1.3 1.2	1.7 1.6 1.5 1.4 1.3	1.8 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.4	2.0 2.0 1.9 1.8 1.7	2.0 2.0 2.0 1.9 1.8	2.4 2.0 1.9 1.9 1.9	2.7 2.7 2.2 1.9 1.9	2.3 2.8 2.9 2.4 2.0	0.1 0.1 2.8 3.0 2.5	0.1 0.1 2.8 3.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 2.8	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1						
440 480 520 560 600	1.2 1.0 0.9 0.9 0.8	1.1 1.0 0.9 0.8 0.8	1.2 1.2 1.1 1.0 1.0	1.3 1.2 1.1 1.1 1.0	1.6 1.5 1.5 1.4 1.3	1.7 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.5	1.8 1.7 1.6 1.5 1.4	1.9 1.8 1.7 1.7 1.6	1.8 1.8 1.7 1.6 1.5	2.0 1.8 1.8 1.8 1.7	2.6 2.0 1.7 1.6 1.6	3.1 2.8 2.2 1.7 1.6	2.8 3.1 2.9 2.2 1.8	0.1 0.1 3.1 2.9 2.3	0.1 0.1 0.1 3.1 3.0	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 3.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1
640 680 720 760 800 840	0.8 0.8 0.7 0.7 0.6 0.6	0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.7	0.9 0.9 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8	1.0 1.0 0.9 0.9 0.9	1.3 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2	1.4 1.4 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.2	1.4 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3	1.5 1.5 1.4 1.3 1.3 1.3	1.5 1.5 1.4 1.4 1.3 1.3	1.5 1.5 1.4 1.4 1.4 1.3	1.6 1.5 1.5 1.4 1.3 1.3	1.6 1.5 1.5 1.4 1.4	1.6 1.5 1.5 1.4 1.4 1.4	1.8 1.7 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.4	2.3 1.7 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.4	3.0 2.5 1.9 1.5 1.4 1.4	3.1 3.2 2.8 2.3 1.7 1.3	0.1 3.1 3.2 3.2 2.9 2.5	0.1 0.1 3.1 3.2 3.2 3.3	0.1 0.1 0.1 3.2 3.2	0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1

				ine de		511ue			:esa.	1 10WE	ate d							cne c			J. 
ime	•	200	400	600	800	Posi	tion	from	the 1	eginr	ing o	of the	char	nel,	feet 2800	3000	3200	3400	3600	3800	4000
40	60.0	64.2	68.3	73.8	80.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
80	60.0	59.9	59.8	59.3	59.0	58.8	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
20	65.0	64.5	63.9	63.3	63.2	62.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
60	70.0	69.4	72.8	74.4	76.5	90.1	62.7	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0
00	65	70	75	81	83	95	101	63	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
40	50	61	71	78	90	85	112	107	63	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
80	45	53	66	69	90	86	89	133	91	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
20	35	42	58	61	80	88	78	106	141	91	1	1	1	1	1	1	- 1	1	1	1	1
60	30	34	50	54	69	81	80	77	124	150	92	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
00	25	29	42	48	62	70	/8	د/	82	140	159	92	T	T	T	T	1	1	T	1	1
40	20	24	36	41	57	60	70	71	72	87	154	165	93	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
80	15	19	32	35	52	53	61	68	67	74	85	178	144	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
20	12	15	28	30	47	49	52	62	60	72	62	108	176	144	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
60	10	12	25	26	41	46	45	57	53	67	60	69	119	183	144	1	1	1	1	1	1
00	9	10	22	24	37	44	40	52	48	60	60	59	75	127	195	145	1	1	1	1	1
40	8	9	19	23	33	41	37	47	45	52	57	55	62	77	142	210	145	1	1	1	1
80	8	8	17	22	30	38	34	42	42	45	52	53	54	65	75	174	199	147	1	1	1
20	6	8	15	21	28	36	33	38	40	41	46	51	49	60	56	96	187	179	148	1	1
60	6	8	14	19	27	33	32	34	37	39	40	49	45	55	53	58	125	187	138	1	1
100	5	8	13	18	27	31	31	32	34	38	36	45	42	49	53	49	69	164	139	139	1
40	5	8	13	17	27	29	30	31	31	36	33	41	40	44	49	52	40	123	139	105	

Position from the beginning of the channel, feet time 0 200 400 600 800 1000 1200 1400 1600 1800 2000 2200 2400 2600 2800 3000 3200 3400 3600 3800 4000 sec 

 40
 13.5
 12.1
 11.2
 12.1
 14.4
 0.4
 0.4
 0.5
 0.5
 0.5
 0.6
 0.6
 0.7
 0.8
 0.9
 1.1
 2.1
 2.4
 2.5

 80
 13.5
 12.1
 11.3
 11.8
 14.9
 15.3
 0.4
 0.4
 0.5
 0.5
 0.5
 0.6
 0.6
 0.7
 0.8
 0.9
 1.1
 2.1
 2.4
 2.5

 120 14.4 12.5 12.0 12.2 16.1 18.8 0.4 0.4 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.6 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.1 2.1 2.4 2.5 160 15.2 13.1 12.4 13.0 15.8 20.1-20.5 0.4 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.6 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.1 2.1 2.4 2.5 200 14.2 12.9 12.3 13.4 15.7 18.4 23.7 21.0 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.6 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.1 2.1 2.4 2.5 240 11.8 11.7 11.6 12.6 16.2 16.3 21.7 26.1 21.4 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.6 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.1 2.1 2.4 2.5 280 11.0 10.5 10.9 11.5 15.8 16.3 17.3 26.0 28.4 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.6 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.1 2.1 2.4 2.5 320 9.3 9.0 9.9 10.5 14.3 16.5 15.5 20.1 29.8 28.9 0.5 0.5 0.6 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.1 2.1 2.4 2.5 360 8.4 7.9 8.9 9.7 12.9 15.4 15.9 15.8 22.9 32.2 29.3 0.5 0.6 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.1 2.1 2.4 2.5 400 7.5 7.0 8.0 8.9 12.0 13.9 15.6 15.4 16.7 25.0 34.2 30.8 0.6 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.1 2.1 2.4 2.5 440 6.5 6.3 7.3 8.1 11.4 12.5 14.5 15.3 15.2 17.4 26.9 37.2 32.3 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.1 2.1 2.4 2.5 480 5.4 5.4 6.7 7.3 10.8 11.7 13.1 14.7 14.5 15.6 17.4 31.5 37.4 0.6 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.1 2.1 2.4 2.5 520 4.7 4.7 6.2 6.6 10.1 11.1 11.9 13.9 13.6 15.3 13.9 21.1 33.9 39.1 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.1 2.1 2.4 2.5 560 4.2 4.1 5.8 6.1 9.3 10.8 10.8 13.1 12.6 14.7 13.7 15.5 23.5 36.7 43.2 0.8 0.9 1.1 2.1 2.4 2.5 600 3.9 3.6 5.3 5.8 8.6 10.4 10.1 12.3 11.8 13.5 13.7 14.0 17.0 25.5 41.5 48.1 0.9 1.1 2.1 2.4 2.5 640 3.7 3.3 4.9 5.7 8.0 10.0 9.5 11.5 11.3 12.3 13.4 13.5 14.9 18.1 29.1 47.8 51.4 1.1 2.1 2.4 2.5 680 3.5 3.2 4.5 5.5 7.6 9.6 9.2 10.7 10.9 11.3 12.6 13.3 13.8 16.1 19.1 36.0 54.2 57.5 2.1 2.4 2.5 720 3.1 3.2 4.2 5.3 7.4 9.1 9.0 10.1 10.6 10.7 11.6 13.0 13.0 15.4 15.6 23.9 46.1 61.5 89.4 2.4 2.5 760 2.9 3.2 4.0 5.2 7.3 8.6 8.9 9.5 10.1 10.4 10.6 12.6 12.4 14.6 15.3 17.1 34.0 60.2 92.4 2.4 2.5 **3 3 4 5 7 8 9 9** 10 10 10 12 12 14 15 16 23 53 95 104 800 3 3 3 4 5 7 8 8 9 9 10 9 11 12 13 15 16 17 44 97 104 840 3 

Table  $A^{-9}$ . Cross-sectional areas of simulated debris flow in Johnson's Canyon as obtained from computer solution # <sup>2</sup> that assumes that the debris slide contributes a flowrate of 60 cfs at the initiation of the debris flow.

that	assu	mes	that	the d	ebris	slide	cont	tribu	tes a	flow	rate	of 60	cfs	at th	e iní	tiati	on of	the o	iebri:	s flow	<b>.</b>
time sec	0	200	400	600	800	Posí 1000	tion 1200	from 1400	the 1600	begin 1800	ning 2000	of th 2200	e cha 2400	nnel, 2600	feet 2800	3000	3200	3400	3600	3800	4000
40	10.8	10.4	10.1	. 10.5	11.4																
80	10.8	10.3	10.1	. 10.4	11.6	11.8															
120	11.2	10.5	10.4	10.5	12.0	12.9															
160	11.4	10.7	10.6	5 10.9	11.9	13.4-	13.5														
200	11.1	10.7	10.5	5 11.0	11.9	12.8	14.4	13.7													
240	10 1	10 7	10 2	, 10 7	12 0	12 1	13 9	15 1	13.9												
280	- Q - Q	9.7		10.7	11 9	17 1	12 5	15 1	15 8												
320	<b>a</b> 1	9.7 0 1	<u> </u>		11 4	12.2	11 9	13.4	16 1	16 9											
360	9.1	9.1		9.5	10 9	11 9	12 1	12 1	14 7	16.8	16.1										
400	8.3	8.1	8.7	9.2	10.6	11.3	12.0	12.0	12.5	14.9	17.3	16.9									
440	7.8	7.8	8.4	8.8	10.3	10.8	11.6	11.9	12.0	12.7	15.5	18.4	17.6								
480	7.2	7.3	8.1	8.5	10.1	10.5	11.1	11.7	11.7	12.2	12.8	17.0	18.8								
520	6.8	6.9	7.8	8.1	9.8	10.3	10.6	11.5	11.4	12.1	11.7	14.2	18.0	19.6							
560	6.5	6.5	7.6	7.9	9.5	10.1	10.3	11.2	11.1	11.9	11.6	12.5	15.3	19.0	21.3						
600	6.4	6.3	7.4	7.7	9.2	10.0	10.0	10.9	10.8	11.5	11.6	12.0	13.4	16.2	20.9	23.4					
640	6 7	د ،	- 1	77	• •		0 <b>7</b>	10 6	10 6	11 0	11 6	11 0		14 0	17.0	`	74.4				

TableA-10. Top Widths (ft) of simulated debris flow in Johnson's Canyon as obtained from computer solution # 2

 520
 6.8
 6.9
 7.8
 8.1
 9.8
 10.5
 11.5
 11.7
 14.2
 11.7
 14.2
 11.7
 14.2
 11.7
 14.2
 11.7
 14.2
 11.7
 14.2
 11.7
 14.2
 11.7
 14.2
 11.7
 14.2
 11.7
 14.2
 11.7
 14.2
 11.7
 14.2
 11.7
 14.2
 11.7
 14.2
 11.7
 14.2
 11.7
 14.2
 11.7
 14.2
 11.7
 14.2
 11.7
 14.2
 11.7
 14.2
 11.7
 14.2
 11.7
 14.2
 11.7
 14.2
 11.7
 14.2
 11.7
 14.2
 11.7
 14.2
 11.7
 14.2
 11.7
 14.2
 11.7
 14.2
 11.7
 14.2
 11.7
 14.2
 11.7
 14.2
 11.7
 14.2
 11.7
 14.0
 17.9
 21.3
 6
 6
 6.4
 6.3
 7.4
 7.7
 9.2
 10.0
 10.9
 10.8
 11.5
 11.7
 11.1
 11.9
 12.7
 14.0
 17.9
 23.3
 24.4
 680
 6.1
 6.0
 6.6
 7.6
 <

------

Time (sec)	Simulation #1		Simulation #2	
	Position (ft)	Advance (ft)	Position (ft)	Advance (ft)
0	800		800	220
40	1032	232	1028	220
80	1184	152	1188	160
120	1331	147	1337	150
160	1470	140	1479	141
200	1608	138	1618	139
240	1745	137	1756	138
280	1909	164	1922	166
320	2072	163	2087	165
360	2072	162	2251	164
400	2203	159	2400	157
400	2395	214	2408	152
440	2607	206	2560	215
480	2813	190	2775	207
520	3003	172	2981	189
560	3176	271	3170	172
600	3447	221	3342	163
640	3668	153	3505	150
680	3822	139	3655	103
720	3960	90	3758	95
760	4050	90	3853	90
800	4141	30	3939	00
		70		65

Table A-11 Position of the debris flows leading surge as a function of time for simulation #1 and simulation #2.