UTAH GEOLOGICAL SURVEY
a division of
Utah Department of Natural Resources
in cooperation with the
United States Forest Service

Plate 1
Utah Geological Survey Special Study 155
Landslide Inventory Map for the Upper Muddy Creek Area, Sanpete and Sevier Counties, Utah



SCALE 1:24,000

2 1 0 2 Miles

2 1 0 2 Kilometers

1000 0 1000 2000 3000 4000 5000 6000 7000 FEET

CONTOUR INTERVAL 40 FEET

MAP LOCATION

7.5' QUADRANGLE NAMES

LANDSLIDE INVENTORY MAP FOR THE UPPER MUDDY CREEK AREA, SANPETE AND SEVIER COUNTIES, UTAH

by
Greg N. McDonald and Richard E. Giraud
2015

Base from 2012 USFS Woods Lake, Heliotrope Mtn, Flagstaff Peak, Water Hollow Ridge, Acord Lakes, and Emery West. 7.5' Quadrangles
Projection: UTM Zone 12
Datum: NAD 1983
Spheroid: Clarke 1866

Program Manager: Steve.D. Bowman
Project Manager: Richard E. Giraud
GIS and Cartography: Gordon E. Douglass and Jay C. Hill

Utah Geological Survey
1594 West North Temple, Suite 3110
P.O. Box 146100, Salt Lake City, UT 84114-6100
(801) 537-3300
geology.utah.gov

APPROXIMATE MEAN DECLINATION, 2015

ISBN 978-1557919144

EXPLANATION

This map presents a landslide inventory for the upper Muddy Creek area, Sanpete and Sevier Counties, Utah, at a scale of 1:24,000. The purpose of the map and accompanying geodatabase is to show and characterize historical and prehistoric landslides larger than about 50 feet across their shortest dimension, and to provide information useful for managing landslide problems. The map and accompanying geodatabase were prepared by the Utah Geological Survey (UGS) as a cooperative project with the Manti–La Sal National Forest. The map covers 54 square miles of the southern part of the Wasatch Plateau, and includes two Hydrologic Unit Names and Codes in the east-southeast draining Muddy Creek headwaters: Beaver Creek (140700020201) and Horse Creek (140700020202) (Utah Automated Geographic Reference Center, 2014). We used geographic information system (GIS) software to capture, store, and display the data for each mapped landslide.

We prepared the landslide inventory by analyzing and interpreting 15 different sets of stereo and orthophoto aerial photography, acquired periodically from 1940 through 2011, which provide a 71-year history of landsliding in the map area. We cite photography dates and scales in our aerial photographs reference list. The 1984 and 1985 photo sets have limited coverage in the map area. We recorded spatial and tabular data for each mapped landslide. Spatial data include landslide deposit and source location, area, and perimeter length. Tabular data describe landslide characteristics in text or numeric form and include landslide geomorphic source type: slide and flow main scarps, rock-fall cliff bands and outcrops, and debris-flow channels. The spatial and tabular data are stored in the database and linked to the inventory map. Landslide information stored in the database includes: area, material type, movement type, landslide deposit name, landslide source name, movement activity, thickness, movement direction, approximate movement dates, bedrock unit(s) associated with the landslide, confidence in mapped boundaries, mapper name, peer reviewer name, and comments.

Manti-La Sal National Forest boundary

Hydrologic unit boundary

Landslide Classification

The characteristics used to classify landslides were observed on aerial photography of various dates, on topographic quadrangle maps, in U.S. Forest Service reports, and in the field. Our classification methodology is similar to that used by the California Geological Survey (Irvine and others, 2007) and the Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries (Burns and Madin, 2009). Landslide classification is based primarily on terminology and mapping criteria of Varnes (1978), Wieczorek (1984), Cruden and Varnes (1996), Keaton and DeGraff (1996), and Haskins and others (1998). Landslide deposits are classified based on type of geologic material and type of movement. Where a landslide source could be identified and mapped, the source was classified based on the geomorphic source type. Both the landslide deposit and source are further classified based on landslide movement activity and boundary-mapping confidence. The geodatabase includes additional landslide information not shown on the map.

Landslide Deposit Materials and Movement

Each landslide deposit is assigned a two-part name based on dominant material type and movement type after Cruden and Varnes (1996). The material is classified as rock or soil, and soil is further subdivided as debris (mostly coarser than sand-sized particles) or earth (mostly sand-sized or finer particles). The observed movement types in the map area consist of falls, flows, and slides (rotational and translational). We used the following landslide names in our mapping:

RF rock fall
RS-R rock slide rotational
RS-T rock slide translational
DS-T debris slide translational
DFL debris flow
EFL earth flow

Landslide Source Type

Landslide source areas are classified based on geomorphic source type. Cliff bands and outcrops are typically the source areas for rock-fall deposits. Main scarps are typically the source area for slide and flow deposits. We identified the following landslide source types in our mapping:

CB cliff band
OC outcrop
MS main scarp
DF-S debris flow source area

Landslide Movement Activity

We classified landslide deposit and source activity based on landslide features observed on aerial photographs and/or in the field.

Active or historical: Landslide has documented movement or landslide features observed on aerial photography and/or in the field indicative of historical movement.

Dormant or very slow moving: Landslide deposit landforms are fresh or uneroded, but there is no evidence of historical movement. Landslide movement is suspended or at a slow rate, preventing development of sharp recognizable features associated with active movement allowing erosion to smooth existing features.

Dormant-eroded: Landslide deposit landforms are smoothed, subdued, and incised by erosion.

Landslide Mapping Confidence

The confidence of landslide mapping is based on the visual clarity of boundaries around landslide sources or deposits. Erosion or vegetation may obscure boundaries, making them difficult to map accurately.

High: The landslide boundary is clearly evident and discernable. The landslide generally shows features indicative of recent movement.

Moderate: Some, but not all parts of the boundary are clearly evident, other parts are approximate or gradational. Diagnostic landforms are generally present.

Low: The boundary is difficult to determine and is approximately located, and few diagnostic landforms may be present.

Geology and Landslides in the Upper Muddy Creek Area

The upper Muddy Creek area lies on the southern Wasatch Plateau. The rock units exposed in upper Muddy Creek consist of, from oldest to youngest: Cretaceous Blackhawk Formation, Castlegate Sandstone, and Price River Formation; Tertiary-Cretaceous North Horn Formation; and Tertiary Flagstaff Limestone (Witkind and others, 1987; Sanchez and Hayes, 1979). The Blackhawk Formation contains sandstone, shaley siltstone, shale, and coal. The Castlegate Sandstone contains massive sandstone with some conglomerate. The Price River Formation contains sandstone and shale. The North Horn Formation is primarily shale with lesser amounts of sandstone. The Flagstaff Limestone contains shale and limestone and is the cap rock of the Wasatch Plateau. Flagstaff outcrops form long linear cliffs along the south side of Heliotrope Mountain and Ferron Mountain and the north and west sides of White Mountain. The rock units are generally flat lying and, in the uppermost watershed, are cut by high-angle, small-displacement normal faults. The White Mountain area faults (Black and Hecker, 1999a) trend northwest in the westernmost part of the watershed, displace bedrock, and locally displace glacial moraines, impounding lakes behind upslope-facing escarpments. North-south trending faults at the south end of the Snow Lake graben extend south of Heliotrope Mountain into the map area (Black and Hecker, 1999b). Prominent cirques are present at upper elevations along the north and west sides of White Mountain and along the south and east sides of Heliotrope Mountain (Larson, 1996). The cirques are shallow with a cirque floor-to-headwall relief ranging up to 800 feet. The North Fork and South Fork tributaries to Muddy Creek flow across broad open valleys that transition into narrow, steep, V-shaped inner-valley bedrock gorges eroded into the Price River Formation, Castlegate Sandstone, and Blackhawk Formation.

Landsliding in the upper Muddy creek area is associated with weak, landslide-prone rock. Shale in the North Horn Formation commonly weathers to clay and typically produces more numerous and larger landslides than other rock units on the Wasatch Plateau (McDonald and Giraud, 2011). Flagstaff Limestone cliff bands and outcrops are a common source of rock fall. Our mapping shows that most of the active landslides are reactivations of pre-existing landslides and that pre-existing landslides are prone to reactivation due to their weak strength characteristics. Several post-glacial landslides displace moraines in the upper watershed. Movement of large landslides along the south sides of Heliotrope and Ferron Mountains have created small, hanging V-shaped drainages above the main scarps. Historically, landslides have had a significant impact within the watershed. Landslide movement in 1983 destroyed Brush Reservoir (U.S. Forest Service [USFS], 1983). The 2-mile-long Meadow Gulch landslide is a large active earth flow and movement in the upper part continually damages USFS road 043.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Gregg Beukelman, Tyler Knudsen, Steve Bowman, Stephanie Carney, and Jay Hill (UGS) for their technical review comments. Gordon Douglass and Jay Hill provided GIS and cartographic input and support. Pete Kilbourne (now retired), Richard Warnick, and Karl Boyer (Manti–La Sal National Forest) provided helpful input on map scoping and reviewed the map and geodatabase.

DISCLAIMER

Mapping supported by the Manti-La Sal National Forest, Department of Agriculture, under U.S. Forest Service Challenge Cost-Share Agreement 09-CS-11041000-018, and the Utah Geological Survey. The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of the U.S. Government.

Although this product represents the work of professional scientists, the Utah Department of Natural Resources, Utah Geological Survey, makes no warranty, expressed or implied, regarding its suitability for a particular use. The Utah Department of Natural Resources, Utah Geological Survey, shall not be liable under any circumstances for any direct, indirect, special, incidental, or consequential damages with respect to claims by users of this product. For use at 1:24,000 scale only. The UGS does not guarantee accuracy or completeness of the data.

REFERENCES

Black, B.D., and Hecker, S., compliers, 1999a, Fault number 2451, White Mountain area faults, in Quaternary fault and fold database of the United States: Online, http://earthquakes.usgs.gov/hazards/qfaults, accessed February 10, 2014.

Black, B.D., and Hecker, S., compliers, 1999b, Fault number 2452, Snow Lake graben, in Quaternary fault and fold database of the United States: Online, http://earthquakes.usgs.gov/hazards/qfaults, accessed February 10, 2014.

Burns, W.J., and Madin, I.P., 2009, Protocol for inventory mapping of landslide deposits from light detection and ranging (LIDAR) imagery: Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries Special Paper 42, 24 p.

Cruden, D.M., and Varnes, D.J., 1996, Landslides, types and processes, *in* Turner, A.K., and Schuster, R.L., editors, Landslides—investigation and mitigation: Washington, D.C., National Academy Press, p. 36–75.

Haskins, D.M., Correll, C.S., Foster, R.A., Chatoian, J.M., Fincher, J.M., Strenger, S., Keys, J.E., Maxwell, J.R., and King, T., 1998, A geomorphic classification system: USDA Forest Service, Washington, D.C., 110 p.

Irvine, P.J., McCrink, T.P., and Willis, C.J., 2007, Landslide hazard mapping by the California Geological Survey—Tools for assessing landslide hazards in California, *in* Schaefer, V.R., Schuster, R.L., and Turner, A.K., editors, Conference presentations, 1st North American Landslide Conference, Vail, Colorado: Association of Environmental & Engineering Geologists Special Publication 23, p. 603–612.

Keaton, J.R., and DeGraff, J.V., 1996, Surface observation and geologic mapping, *in* Turner, A.K., and Schuster, R.L., editors, Landslides—investigation and mitigation: Washington, D.C., National Academy Press, p. 178–230.

Larson, P.R., 1996, Glacial geomorphology of a portion of the Wasatch Plateau, central Utah: Salt Lake City, University of Utah, Ph.D. dissertation, 186 p.

McDonald, G.N., and Giraud, R.E., 2011, Landslide inventory map of Twelvemile Canyon, Sanpete County, Utah: Utah Geological Survey Map 247DM, scale 1:24,000.

Sanchez, J.D., and Hayes, P.T., 1979, Geologic map and coal resources of the Flagstaff Peak quadrangle, Emery, Sanpete, and Sevier Counties, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Coal Investigations Map C-83, scale 1:24,000.

U.S. Forest Service, 1983, Flood damage report and funding request to repair or ameliorate the damages caused by the snowmelt, high water, landslides, and mudflows of the spring and summer 1983: Price, Utah, Manti–La Sal National Forest unpublished report, 133 p.

Utah Automated Geographic Reference Center, 2014, Utah SGID vector GIS data layers HUC: Online, <http://gis.utah.gov/sgid-vector-download/utah-sgid-vector-gis-data-layers-by-name>, accessed February 10, 2014.

Varnes, D.J., 1978, Slope movement types and processes, *in* Schuster, R.L., and Krizek, R.J., editors, Landslides—analysis and control, Transportation Research Board Special Report 176, National Research Council, investigation and mitigation: Washington, D.C., National Academy of Sciences, p. 11–33.

Wieczorek, G.F., 1984, Preparing a detailed landslide-inventory map for hazard evaluation and reduction: Bulletin of the Association of Engineering Geologists, v. 21, no. 3, p. 337–342.

Witkind, I.J., Weiss, M.P., and Brown, T.L., 1987, Geologic map of the Manti 30' x 60' quadrangle, Carbon, Emery, Juab, Sanpete, and Sevier Counties, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Investigations Series Map I-1631, scale 1:100,000.

AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS

Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service, 1952, Aerial photography, Project Code CVW, Roll 17K, frames 71 through 81, frames 137 through 142, frames 148 through 151, 157 and 158, Roll 18K, frame 185 through 193, dated 9-24-1952, black and white, approximate scale 1:20,000.

National Aeronautics and Space Administration, 1981, Aerial photography, Project Code 3029, frames 57 through 63, 192 through 197, dated 9-18-1981, color infrared, approximate scale 1:31,000.

Soil Conservation Service, 1940, Aerial photography, Project Code COK, frames 3-28 through 37, frames 3-40 through 47, and frames 3-94 through 99 dated 8-11-1940, frames 4-44 through 61 dated 8-28-1940, frames 4-143 through 149, dated 8-28-1940, black and white, approximate scale 1:20,000.

U.S. Forest Service, Manti-La Sal National Forest, 1964, Aerial photography, Project Code ENR, Roll 9, frames 230 through 241, 255 through 267, Roll 10, frames 54 through 73, frames 90 through 99, dated 9-11-1964, Roll 14, frames 58 through 68, dated 10-6-1965,black and white, approximate scale 1:15,480.

U.S. Forest Service, Manti-La Sal National Forest, 1976, aerial photography, Project Code 49007, frames 576-105 through 112, 676-8 through 16, 676-75 through 83, 676-93 through 113, 676-156 through 169, 676-180 through 193, dated 7-4-1976, color and black and white, approximate scale 1:15,840.

U.S. Forest Service, Manti-La Sal National Forest, 1984, Aerial photography, Project Code 614101, frames 184-109, 111, 113, 115, 117, 118, 184-125 through 138, 184-194 through 203, dated 9-9-1984, frames 384-6 through 14, 384-73 through 80, 384-180 through 184, dated 9-27-1984, frames 784-2 through 18, 84-33 through 38, dated 8-7-1985, color, approximate scale 1:12,000.

U.S. Forest Service, Manti-La Sal National Forest, 1991, Aerial photography, Project Code 614100, frames 390-69 through 78, 390-96 through 105, 390-165 through 177, 390-185 through 200, 490-29 through 41, 490-48 through 60, dated 9-25-1991, color, approximate scale 1:15,840.

U.S. Forest Service, Manti-La Sal National Forest, 2000, Aerial photography, Project Code 614100, frames 100-29 through 38, 100-49 through 59, 100-114 through 127, 100-176 through 182, 200-29 through 41, 200-48 through 57, 200-177 through 182, dated 6-17-2000, frames 600-141 through 182, dated 6-20-2000, color, approximate scale 1:15,840.

Utah Automated Geographic Reference Center, 2014a, U.S. Geological Survey 1997 aerial photos: Online, <http://gis.utah.gov/aerial-photography/mid-1990s-1-meter-b-w-ortho photography>, accessed April 10, 2014.

Utah Automated Geographic Reference Center, 2014b, National Agriculture Imagery Program 2004 aerial photos: Online, <ftp://ftp.agrc.utah.gov/NAIP/NAIP2004/>, accessed April 10, 2014.

Utah Automated Geographic Reference Center, 2014c, National Agriculture Imagery Program 2006 aerial photos: Online, <http://gis.utah.gov/naip2006>, accessed April 10, 2014.

Utah Automated Geographic Reference Center, 2014d, National Agriculture Imagery Program 2009 aerial photos: Online, < http://gis.utah.gov/aerial-photography/2009-naip-1-meter-orthophotography>, accessed April 10, 2014.

Utah Automated Geographic Reference Center, 2014e, National Agriculture Imagery Program 2011 aerial photos: Online, < http://gis.utah.gov/aerial-photography/2011-naip-1-meter-orthophotography>, accessed April 10, 2014.