THE RADON-HAZARD-POTENTIAL MAP OF UTAH

by

Bill D. Black Utah Geological Survey

Map 1491993UTAH GEOLOGICAL SURVEYa division ofUTAH DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES



THE RADON-HAZARD-POTENTIAL MAP OF UTAH

by

Bill D. Black Utah Geological Survey

ABSTRACT

Radon (222 Rn) is a naturally occurring radioactive gas formed by the decay of uranium (238 U) which can be found in small concentrations in nearly all geologic materials. When radon is inhaled, its decay products are a significant cause of lung cancer. Radon gas has long been recognized as an occupational health hazard to workers in uranium mines, however the hazard from accumulation of radon gas at lower concentrations in buildings has only recently been recognized. The indoor-radon hazard depends on a variety of geologic and non-geologic factors.

Although the influence of non-geologic factors such as building construction type and weather is difficult to measure, geologic factors that influence indoor-radon hazards can be quantified. A numerical rating system based on geologic factors (uranium concentration, soil permeability, and ground-water depth) was developed to assess and map the relative radonhazard potential for the state.

Areas underlain by uranium-enriched rock or soil are generally associated with a high radon-hazard potential. Uranium-enriched geologic materials typically include granitic rocks, metamorphic rocks, volcanic rocks, organic-rich shales, marine sandstones, and soil derived from uranium-enriched rocks. Locations of high surface concentrations of uranium are shown on the map. Rock units with the highest surface uranium concentrations are the Permian Cutler Group and Phosphoria Formation, the Triassic Moenkopi and Chinle Formations, the Jurassic Morrison Formation, the Cretaceous Dakota Sandstone and Mesaverde Group, the Tertiary Uinta Formation, and Tertiary volcanic rocks.

Geologic factors that impede radon movement in soil gas, such as impermeable soil or shallow ground water, reduce the radon hazard and are generally associated with a low radonhazard potential. Impermeable soils are typically associated with clay-rich layers. Water saturation of a rock or soil can also inhibit soil gas migration as radon is trapped in and moves with the ground water.

The Basin and Range - Colorado Plateau transition zone generally has the highest hazard potential in Utah, primarily due to uranium-enriched Tertiary volcanic rocks. The Colorado Plateau and Middle Rocky Mountains provinces have moderate to high hazard potential. Although soil and ground-water conditions are generally favorable for indoor-radon hazards in these provinces, low uranium levels restrict the hazard potential. The Basin and Range Province has the lowest hazard potential. Clay-rich soils and shallow ground water are common in the broad basins that typify this province. In the Basin and Range, a high hazard potential may be found in mountain ranges where geologic factors are favorable.

INTRODUCTION

Radon is an odorless, tasteless, radioactive gas of geologic origin that is an environmental concern because of its link to lung cancer. Unlike most geologic hazards which are natural, dynamic, earth processes that adversely affect both life and property, radon is a hazard only to living things. Radon has been found in many buildings throughout the United States in sufficient concentrations to pose a health hazard to building oc-

cupants. Radon forms as a product of radioactive decay. The most abundant radon isotope, ²²²Rn, forms as a product of the decay of uranium (²³⁸U). Other radon isotopes form by decay of thorium (²³²Th) and one other uranium isotope (²³⁵U). However ²²²Rn, with a half-life of 3.825 days, is the most significant contributor to indoor radon. All subsequent references to radon and uranium refer to ²²²Rn and ²³⁸U.

Most radon atoms are exhaled before they can decay and emit dangerous radiation to the lungs. However, the radioactive isotopes from radon decay (radon decay progeny) are easily adsorbed by lung tissue. Health officials believe that breathing elevated levels of radon over time increases a person's risk of lung cancer (Jacobi and Eisfeld, 1982; National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, 1984a, 1984b; Samet, 1989). Inhalation of radon and radon decay progeny was recognized as a health problem in the 1950s and early 1960s, when studies on workers in underground uranium mines concluded that high concentrations of radon found in the mines contributed to an increased lung cancer rate among miners (National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, 1984b).

Geology influences the local concentration, emanation, and migration of radon. Because radon is an inert gas that is highly mobile, it can move with the air or be dissolved in water without chemical alteration, and can migrate through cracks or voids in rock or soil (Tanner, 1980). Normally, radon gas dissipates harmlessly into the atmosphere. However, high indoor concentrations of radon may occur where favorable geologic conditions exist.

Lower concentrations of uranium in rock and soil were not believed to contribute to indoor radon until associations between elevated indoor-radon levels and lower uranium concentrations in rock were discovered (Smith and others, 1987). The potential for elevated levels of indoor radon can now be associated with rock having average uranium concentrations as low as 15 parts per million (ppm) or less (Durrance, 1986). The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) estimates that as many as 40,000 Americans will die each year from lung cancer caused by long-term inhalation of radon gas (Schmidt and others, 1990). Many areas of Utah are underlain by rock which may produce hazardous indoor-radon levels (Sprinkel, 1987; Sprinkel and Solomon, 1990; Solomon, 1992b).

With funding from the State Indoor Radon Grant (SIRG) Program, administered by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the Utah Geological Survey (UGS) and Utah Division of Radiation Control (UDRC) are investigating the relationship between geology and indoor-radon hazards. A preliminary geologic assessment by the UGS (Sprinkel, 1987) indicated areas in the state which could be generalized sources of radon. In 1987, the UDRC conducted a survey of indoorradon levels in these source areas (Sprinkel and Solomon, 1990). Based on the survey results, the UGS conducted detailed field investigations of radon-hazard potential in east Sandy, east Provo, the St. George basin, and Ogden Valley (Solomon and others, 1991; Solomon, 1992a; Solomon, in preparation). The Radon-Hazard-Potential Map of Utah is an update of Sprinkel (1987), and incorporates a new hazard-classification scheme similar to that used in the detailed field investigations. Future studies will continue to define the relationship between geology and indoor-radon hazards through detailed field investigations of local areas.

The purpose of this map is to provide researchers, government officials, consultants, developers, and concerned citizens with a guide to radon-hazard potential in Utah. It can be used to indicate areas where detailed indoor-radon surveys and geologic studies are necessary to determine the need for radon-resistant construction. However, because of the small scale of the map and the complex relationships between geologic and nongeologic factors that control indoor-radon levels, this map should not be substituted for detailed site investigations or used as a predictor of actual indoor-radon levels.

FACTORS CONTRIBUTING TO INDOOR-RADON HAZARDS

People are particularly subject to radon gas in buildings or enclosures with poor air circulation. Radon migrates into buildings through cracks in the basement or through other foundation openings such as those for utility pipes (Lafavore, 1987). High concentrations of radon most often occur in basements or low crawl spaces (Fleischer and others, 1982) where the building is in contact with the ground. Radon never achieves dangerous levels outdoors because movement of the air dissipates it.

The concentration of radon in a building depends on a complex relationship between geologic and non-geologic factors. Four principal factors contribute to elevated indoor-radon concentrations: (1) elevated uranium levels in the soil or rock on which a structure is built, (2) soil and ground-water conditions that do not restrict the movement of radon, (3) porous building materials or foundation openings below grade, and (4) lower atmospheric pressure inside a building than outside (Tanner, 1986). Factors (1) and (2) are geologic factors that can be measured and characterized regionally; the magnitude of their effects can vary locally but generally remains constant. Factors (3) and (4) are non-geologic factors that are difficult to measure and cannot be characterized regionally; the magnitude of their effects is variable and fluctuates with the weather, type of construction, and lifestyle of the occupants. Thus, although the same radon-hazard potential from geologic factors may exist, indoor-radon levels can still vary from building to building.

RADON-HAZARD POTENTIAL

Geologic Considerations

Geologic considerations affecting radon-hazard potential include the distribution of uranium-enriched rocks and factors that may enhance or impede radon movement. Muessig (1988) shows a correlation between areas with mean equivalent uranium (eU) concentrations greater than 2.4 ppm and indoorradon concentrations exceeding 4 picocuries per liter (pCi/L) (148 Becquerels per cubic meter [Bq/m³]), the remedial action level recommended by the Environmental Protection Agency (1986). Once uranium is present in sufficient concentrations, the radon it generates must be able to migrate to the surface. Although radon easily dissolves into water, which provides an effective medium for it to migrate from a source, water saturation of rock and soil inhibits radon migration into overlying buildings by reducing diffusion and blocking the flow of soil gas (Tanner, 1980). The permeability of rock or soil also influences the ability of radon to migrate to the surface. Impermeable soils block the flow of soil gas, whereas permeable soils provide pathways for radon migration (Tanner, 1980; McLemore and others, 1991).

Other geologic factors such as hydrothermal processes, expansive soil, and active faults, which could not be considered due to the scale of the map, may also contribute to locally high indoor-radon levels. Anomalous radon concentrations have been measured in Utah where there is active hydrothermal or ground-water upwelling along faults (Nielsen, 1978). Numerous areas of water upwelling along faults are present in Utah (Mundorff, 1970). Expansive soil shrinks or swells with changes in moisture content. The repeated expansion and contraction of the soil can damage building foundations (thereby enhancing radon entry into the structure). Expansive soils typically develop cracks when dry, providing additional pathways of soil-gas transport (Peake and Schumann, 1991). Active faults may produce significant radon anomalies by increasing permeability and thereby enhancing near-surface radon concentrations (Tanner, 1980; Sprinkel and Solomon, 1990).

Hazard-Potential Ratings

Previous studies (Gundersen and others, 1988; Solomon and others, 1991; Solomon, 1992a) have developed hazard-classification schemes designed to accommodate geologic factors that influence indoor-radon levels in specific geologic settings. The classification scheme of Solomon (1992a) is applicable to a wide range of settings, and a similar scheme is used here. This classification scheme uses three factors to evaluate radon-hazard potential: (1) uranium concentration, (2) soil permeability, and (3) ground-water depth. Numerical ratings from 1 to 3 were assigned to each factor, with higher ratings corresponding to conditions favorable for elevated indoor-radon concentrations (table 1). Basic-data maps developed for each of the three geologic factors used units corresponding to ratings shown in table 1. The Radon-Hazard-Potential Map was derived by overlaying the three basic-data maps, summing hazard ratings, and tracing contacts based on summed ratings for all three geologic factors. Each factor is weighted equally because there is insufficient evidence of the relative contribution of individual factors to the radon hazard. Three radon-hazard-potential categories were established based on the cumulative totals of the three factors (table 2).

The radon-hazard-potential categories shown on the map are: (1) high, areas with geologic factors that are generally favorable for elevated indoor-radon concentrations; (2) moderate, areas

with factors that are favorable for elevated indoor-radon concentrations, but which are limited by one or more unfavorable factors; and (3) low, areas with geologic factors that are generally unfavorable for elevated indoor-radon concentrations. The distribution of radon-hazard-potential categories shown on the map are in general agreement with detailed investigations conducted by the UGS in the east Sandy, east Provo, St. George basin, and Ogden Valley areas (Solomon and others, 1991; Solomon, 1992a; Solomon, in preparation).

Uranium Concentration

Airborne radiometric surveys measure ground-surface gamma-ray intensity. Uranium concentrations determined from such surveys have been used as a factor in evaluating radonhazard potential (Flood and others, 1990; Peake and Schumann, 1991). Data from airborne surveys were used to evaluate radonhazard potential in Utah. Uranium concentration in Utah is shown on a 1:1,000,000 scale computer-generated uranium contour map derived from the national map of Duval and others (1989), and was compiled from National Uranium Resource Evaluation (NURE) airborne radiometric surveys (Aero Service, 1979; EG&G Geometrics, 1979a, 1979b; Geodata International, 1979a-f, 1980, 1981). Equivalent uranium (eU) is measured by bismuth (214 Bi), a daughter product of 222 Rn in the 238 U decay series, which is approximately proportional to near-surface radon concentrations (Flood and others, 1990; Duval, 1991). Uranium concentration was grouped into three categories with eU concentrations of: (1) less than 1.5 ppm; (2) 1.5 ppm or greater, but less than 2.5 ppm; and (3) 2.5 ppm or greater (table 1).

Soil Permeability

Soils in Utah have been mapped and classified by the U.S. Soil Conservation Service (SCS) into 67 generalized soil associations and 4 miscellaneous land types (Wilson and others, 1973). The SCS soil surveys provide data on soil permeability. Based on SCS permeability data, Utah soils were grouped into categories of soils with hydraulic conductivities of: (1) less than 0.6 inches/hour (4.2×10^{-4} cm/sec); (2) 0.6 inches/hour (4.2×10^{-4} cm/sec) or greater, but less than 6.0 inches/hour (4.2×10^{-3} cm/sec); and (3) 6.0 inches/hour (4.2×10^{-3} cm/sec) or greater (table 1). Soil permeabilities greater than 6.0 inches/hour (4.2×10^{-3} cm/sec) may increase the potential for indoor radon even in areas with low to moderate eU concentrations (Peake and Schumann, 1991).

Miscellaneous land types include rock land (sandstone bedrock with or without a thin cover of residual soil common in southern Utah), badland (shale and sandstone outcrops with shallow and very shallow soils common in eastern Utah), rock land of the high mountains (steep colluvial areas and bedrock with shallow, stony soils common in the Wasatch Range and Uinta Mountains), and playas (salt flat remnants of intermittent shallow lakes common in western Utah) (Wilson and others,

| | RATING | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| FACTOR | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| eU CONCENTRATION (ppm) | Less than 1.5 | 1.5 or greater, but less than 2.5 | 2.5 or greater | | |
| PERMEABILITY (in/hr) | Less than 0.6 | 0.6 or greater, but less than 6.0 | 6.0 or greater | | |
| GROUND-WATER DEPTH (ft) | Generally less than 10 | Generally less than 30 | Greater than 30 | | |

Table 2. Radon-hazard-potential categories. See table 1 for rating value of each factor.

| RADON-HAZARD POTENTIAL | RATING TOTAL |
|------------------------|--------------|
| Low | 3-5 |
| Moderate | 6-7 |
| High | 8-9 |

1973). Playas, which contain clay-rich impermeable soils, were included in the low permeability category (table 1). Other land types, which have shallow, highly permeable soils or bedrock exposed at the surface, were included in the high permeability category (table 1).

Ground-Water Depth

Ground-water depths were taken from a map by Hecker and others (1988), which groups shallow ground water into three categories where the depth to ground water is: (1) generally less than 10 feet (3 m); (2) generally less than 30 feet (9 m), including areas where the depth is less than 10 feet (3 m) that are too small or where data were insufficient to delineate separately; and (3) generally greater than 30 feet (9 m) (table 1). Local perched ground-water conditions and seasonal ground-water fluctuations were not considered in ground-water depth.

Map Limitations

Because of the small scale of the map (1:1,000,000) and the complex relationships that exist between geologic and nongeologic factors controlling radon levels, this map should not be used to predict actual indoor-radon levels. The scale of the map precludes identification of small areas of higher or lower radonhazard potential contained within the hazard-potential areas depicted on the map. Radon-hazard potential is relative, and all map boundaries between radon-hazard potential categories are approximate and gradational.

ASSAY DATA AND ROCK SOURCES OF URANIUM AND URANIUM-ENRICHED SEDIMENT

Utah has significant economic deposits of uranium. Included on the map are locations of outcrop and mine talus assays which indicate surface concentrations of uranium. Assay data were compiled from uranium surveys (table 3) and NURE $1^{\circ}x$ 2° quadrangle evaluation reports (table 4), and entered into a computerized database showing location, type of assay, concentration, and geologic formation. The assay data come primarily from uranium-enriched units and known economic deposits of uranium. Although data from nearly 2,600 total assays were compiled, only assays with a uranium concentration of 20 ppm or greater are shown on the table and map. Assay locations are keyed to the reference from which the data were compiled (tables 3 and 4).

Although airborne radiometric measurements were used to assess uranium concentrations when preparing the map, groundbased survey information is presented because it helps identify rock units with high concentrations of uranium that may act as local sources of uranium-enriched sediment. Identifying potential uranium sources is important for evaluating radon-hazard potential in detailed investigations and in geologic-hazards mapping. Tables 5 and 6 list assay data and occurrences of uranium

| ASSAY LOCATIONS | REFERENCE |
|-----------------|---|
| 1 | Corey, 1959. |
| 2 | Beroni and others, 1953. |
| 3 | Gott and Erickson, 1952. |
| 4 | Wyant, 1953. |
| 5 | Stugard, 1951. |
| 6 | Wyant, 1951. |
| 7 | Granger and Beroni, 1950. |
| 8 | Granger and Bauer, 1950. |
| 9 | Larson, 1957. |
| 10 | Bush and Lane, 1981. |
| 11 | Brooke and others, 1951. |
| 12 | Blair, 1954. |
| 13 | Beroni and others, 1952. |
| 14 | Beroni and McKeown, 1952. |
| 15 | Bauer and Staatz, 1951. |
| 16 | Annes, 1956. |
| 17 | Rocky Mountain Geochemical, unpublished data. |
| 18 | Black, 1980. |

Table 3. Selected uranium surveys in Utah.

Table 4. NURE 1°x 2° quadrangle evaluation reports in Utah.

| ASSAY LOCATIONS (PLATE 1) | 1°x 2° QUADRANGLE | REFERENCE | | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| 19 | Escalante | Peterson and others, 1982. | | |
| 20 | Cortez | Campbell and others, 1982a. | | |
| 21 | Vernal | Craig and others, 1982. | | |
| 22 | Price Campbell and others, 1982. | | | |
| 23 | Delta | Cadigan and Ketner, 1982. | | |
| 24 | Salina | Lupe and others, 1982. | | |
| 25 | Richfield | Bromfield and others, 1982. | | |
| 26 | Moab | Campbell and others, 1982b. | | |
| 27 | Ogden | Madson and Reinhart, 1982. | | |

| AGE GEOLOGIC UNIT OF ASA15 | nLAN | MAXIMUM |
|--|------------|---------|
| TertiarySevier River Formation8 | 18.0 | 113 |
| Tertiary volcanic rocks (Mount Belknap Volcanics; Osiris557Tuff; Mount Dutton Formation; Bullion Canyon Volcanics;557Tunnel Spring Tuff; Isom Formation; Needles Range Group;557other pyroclastic flows, tuffs, and rhyolite flows)557 | 20.5 | 11532 |
| Crazy Hollow Formation 6 | 21.3 | 741 |
| Uinta Formation 10 | 63.9 | 12500 |
| Green River Formation 3 | 13.9 | 77.3 |
| Wasatch Formation 2 | 23.7 | 51 |
| Colton Formation 5 | 20.9 | 344 |
| Flagstaff Limestone 2 | 2.4 | 3.1 |
| Cretaccous Mesaverde Group 50 | 72.1 | 1696 |
| Straight Cliffs Formation 2 2 | 200.0 | 508 |
| Dakota Sandstone 51 J | 28 | 9700 |
| Cedar Mountain Pormation 5 | 2.0 | 7.6 |
| Jurassic Morrison Formation 462 | 3.5 | 21030 |
| Summerville Formation 7 | 7.8 | 359 |
| San Rafael Group 6 | 1.0 | 1.8 |
| Kayenta Formation 3 | 0.7 | 1.4 |
| Triassic Chinle Formation 233 | 124.9 | 477847 |
| Moenkopi Formation 18 | 13.0 | 1780 |
| Permian Park City Formation 6 | 25.0 | 110 |
| Plympton Formation 2 | 14.2 | 17.4 |
| Kaibab Limestone 7 | 20.0 | 184 |
| Phosphoria Formation 44 | 91.1 | 305 |
| Cutler Group 42 | 19.2 | 146110 |
| Permian/Rico Formation2Pennsylvanian2 | 44.8 | 45.1 |
| Pennsylvanian Callville Limestone 2 | 10.2 | 16.1 |
| Mississinnian Chainman Shale 10 | 70 | 39.5 |
| Deseret Limestone 10 | 35.7 | 68.9 |
| Woodman Formation 10 | 22.5 | 74.1 |
| Devonian Pilot Shale 2 | 10.0 | 10.7 |
| Ordovician Pogonip Group 2 | 0.5 | 1.5 |
| Cambrian Pioche Formation 2 | 6.0 | 7.9 |
| Tintic Quartzite 2 | 0.5 | 0.6 |
| Quartzite of Clarks Basin 15 | 1.2 | 4.9 |
| Precambrian Schist of Stevens Spring 15 | 3.3 | 6.7 |
| Elba Quartzite 57 | 1.5 | 15 |
| Quartzite of Yost 18 | 1.1 | 7.1 |
| Schist of Upper Narrows 9 | 1.9 | 5.9 |
| Ked Ureek Quartzite 13 | 128.4 | 4853 |
| Farmington Canyon Complex 40 | 5.2 6.0 | 27 |

Table 5. Outcrop and mine talus assay data for geologic units in $Utah^{I}$.

¹ Based on data compiled in the Utah Geological Survey uranium assay database from uranium surveys and NURE quadrangle evaluation reports (see tables 3 and 4).

² In parts-per-million (ppm) uranium. Mean is geometric mean concentration of all assays; maximum is highest reported assay concentration.

| | | | | 1° x 2° | QUADR | ANGLE | | | | |
|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------|-------|--------|-------|--------|------|-------|
| AGE | GEOLOGIC UNIT | CORTEZ | ESCALANTE | RICHFIELD | PRICE | VERNAL | OGDEN | SALINA | MOAB | DELTA |
| Tertiary | Sevier River Formation | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Tertiary volcanic rocks | - | 1 | 118 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 33 |
| | Crazy Hollow Formation | - | - | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Goldens Ranch Formation | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Uinta Formation | - | - | - | - | 24 | - | - | - | - |
| | Green River Formation | - | - | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Wasatch Formation | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | - | - |
| | Colton Formation | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Tertiary/ Cretaceous | North Horn Formation | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Cretaceous | Mesaverde Group | - | - | - | 2 | 10 | - | - | - | - |
| | Mancos Shale | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| | Burro Canyon Formation | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - |
| | Dakota Sandstone | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - |
| Jurassic | Morrison Formation | 142 | 47 | - | 10 | 2 | - | 63 | 239 | - |
| | Summerville Formation | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| | San Rafael Group | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - |
| | Navajo Sandstone | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| | Kayenta Formation | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Triassic | Chinle Formation | 41 | 146 | - | 6 | - | - | 145 | 101 | - |
| | Moenkopi Formation | - | 13 | - | 1 | - | - | 4 | - | - |
| Permian | Park City Formation | - | - | - | - | 4 | 2 | - | - | - |
| | Plympton Formation | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| | Kaibab Limestone | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - |
| | Phosphoria Formation | - | - | - | - | - | 8 | - | - | - |
| | Cutler Group | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 28 | - |
| Permian/ Pennsylvanian | Rico Formation | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Pennsylvanian | Hermosa Group | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - |
| Mississippian | Chainman Shale | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| | Deseret Limestone | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 |
| | Woodman Formation | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |
| | Brazer Dolomite | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - |
| Ordovician | Pogonip Group | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | Fillmore Formation | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Precambrian | Red Creek Quartzite | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - |
| | Farmington Canyon Complex | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | - | - | - |

Table 6. Occurrences of uranium in mines and prospects for geologic units in $Utah^{l}$.

¹ Compiled from NURE quadrangle evaluation reports (see table 4).



(defined as deposits exceeding minimum size and grade criteria specified by the U.S. Department of Energy in Mickle, 1978) for geologic units in Utah. Assay data are listed by geologic unit, number of assays, and mean and maximum uranium concentration (table 5). Mean concentration was determined by taking the root of the product of the concentrations (geometric mean) rather than by taking the arithmetic mean. This reduces the impact of a few unusually large concentrations. Uranium occurrences were compiled from NURE quadrangle evaluation reports (table 4), and are listed by geologic age, unit, and number of occurrences per 1° x 2° quadrangle (table 6). The Brigham City, Salt Lake City, Tooele, Grand Junction, and Cedar City quadrangles are not covered by NURE reports, so no data are available for those quadrangles. Based on uranium concentration (table 5; maximum concentration exceeding 1,000 ppm or mean concentration exceeding 50 ppm) and the number of uranium occurrences (table 6; minimum of 5), geologic units in Utah that are a potential source of uranium-enriched sediment include the Permian Cutler Group and Phosphoria Formation, the Triassic Moenkopi and Chinle Formations, the Jurassic Morrison Formation, the Cretaceous Dakota Sandstone and Mesaverde Group, the Tertiary Uinta Formation, and Tertiary volcanic rocks (figure 1; tables 5 and 6). Other geologic units lacking occurrence and assay data, such as granitic intrusions in the Wasatch Range east of Sandy, may also be local sources of uranium-enriched sediment (Solomon and others, 1991).



Figure 1. Generalized outcrop pattern (darkened areas) of rock units with a high potential as sources of uranium and uranium-enriched sediment (modified from Hintze, 1975). Areas underlain by uraniumenriched rock and sediment are generally associated with a high indoorradon potential, although the potential is affected by other factors.



Figure 2. Physiographic subdivisions of Utah (modified from Stokes, 1977).

In the Basin and Range Province and Basin and Range-Colorado Plateau transition zone (figure 2), several intermediate-to-silicic Tertiary volcanic rocks have a high potential as sources of uranium-enriched sediment (tables 5 and 6). Many of these volcanic rocks were prolific producers of uranium ore in Utah. Included are rhyolite flows and ash-flow tuffs of the Mount Belknap volcanics (Cunningham and Steven, 1979); the Osiris Tuff, ash-flow tuffs of the Isom Formation and Needles Range Group, and latite and andesite flows and breccia of the Mount Dutton Formation (Anderson and Rowley, 1975); latite and andesite tuff and breccia of the Bullion Canyon volcanics (Callaghan, 1939); the ash-flow tuff of the Tunnel Spring Tuff (Steven, 1989); and other Tertiary-age pyroclastic and rhyolite flows.

In the Middle Rocky Mountains (figure 2), rocks with a high potential to serve as sources of uranium-enriched sediment are the Permian Phosphoria Formation (McKelvey and others, 1959), the Tertiary Uinta Formation (Dane, 1954), and Tertiary volcanic rocks (tables 5 and 6). The Phosphoria Formation was a producer of low-grade uranium ore in northern Utah (Madson and Reinhart, 1982).

Several rock units have a high potential to serve as sources of uranium-enriched sediment in the Colorado Plateau (figure 2). In addition to the Tertiary Uinta Formation, these include: (1) the Permian Cutler Group (Baars, 1962), (2) the Triassic Moenkopi Formation (Blakey, 1974), (3) the Triassic Chinle Formation (Stewart and others, 1972), (4) the Jurassic Morrison Formation (Ekren and Houser, 1959), (5) the Cretaceous Dakota Sandstone (Gregory and Moore, 1931), and (6) the Cretaceous Mesaverde Group (Spieker and Reeside, 1925) (tables 5 and 6). Like the Tertiary volcanics, the Chinle and Morrison Formations were also prolific producers of uranium ore.

HAZARD DISTRIBUTION

The Basin and Range-Colorado Plateau transition zone (figure 2) has the highest overall radon-hazard potential in the state. In this province, areas of high hazard potential commonly occur where uranium-enriched Tertiary volcanic rocks are found, such as the volcanic plateaus in south-central Utah. The map shows a significant area of high hazard potential that extends from west of Cedar City northeastward across the Sevier Plateau, and includes the communities of Beaver, Monroe, and Richfield . Other large areas of high hazard potential occur in northwestern Iron County and western Beaver County. Tertiary volcanic rocks and soil derived from them are common throughout all of these areas. Low hazard potential commonly occurs in central parts of valleys, including Cedar, Parowan, Sevier, and Sanpete Valleys, and in the Escalante Desert, where shallow ground water and low permeability soils are found.

The Colorado Plateau Province (figure 2) has a moderate to high radon-hazard potential. Although the hazard potential of the Colorado Plateau is generally restricted by low uranium levels, the San Rafael Swell and Book Cliffs areas are underlain by rock with moderate uranium levels. These areas, including the communities of Price and Green River, have a high radonhazard potential due to permeable soils and deep ground water. Other areas of high potential are associated with uranium-enriched sedimentary rocks, such as the Chinle and Morrison Formations. These areas generally occur in sparsely populated regions. Areas of low potential are few and mainly occur west of Monticello around the Abajo Mountains and near Escalante.

The Middle Rocky Mountains Province (figure 2) has a generally moderate radon-hazard potential. Like the Colorado Plateau, much of this province has low uranium levels. However, areas with moderate to high uranium levels, such as eastern Rich County (east of Bear Lake) and the Uinta Mountains, have a high radon-hazard potential. Scattered areas of high potential occur in the Wasatch and Bear River Ranges where Tertiary volcanic rocks (volcanic tuffs/breccias and granitic intrusions in the Park City/Alta area) and Precambrian metamorphic rocks (Farmington Canyon Complex in Davis County) are found. Areas of low radon-hazard potential occur in the central part of the Cache Valley and along the Bear and Weber Rivers, where there are shallow ground water and impermeable soils.

The Basin and Range Province (figure 2) has the lowest overall radon-hazard potential. Shallow ground water and impermeable clay-rich soils low in uranium are common in the basins of western Utah, such as the Great Salt Lake Desert and Sevier Lake basin. High radon-hazard potential commonly occurs in mountain ranges, such as the Grouse Creek, Raft River, and Oquirrh Mountains, where soil and ground-water conditions are favorable for indoor-radon hazards. In addition, large portions of the Deep Creek, House, and Confusion Ranges are also high, primarily due to the presence of Tertiary volcanic rocks. Along the Wasatch Front, the benches near the mountain front generally have a moderate to high radon-hazard potential due to favorable soil and ground-water conditions. Tooele Valley is also moderate to high for similar reasons. A low hazard potential occurs in basin bottoms along the margins of Great Salt Lake and Utah Lake.

SUMMARY

Radon is an odorless, tasteless, radioactive gas of geologic origin that is an environmental concern because of its link to lung cancer. Radon can be found in small concentrations in nearly all geologic materials, and has been found in many buildings throughout the United States in sufficient concentrations to pose a health hazard to building occupants.

The level of exposure to the radon hazard depends on both geologic and non-geologic factors and cannot be predicted entirely by geologic means. The effects of geologic factors can be estimated, whereas the effects of non-geologic factors, such as type of construction, weather, and individual lifestyles, are difficult to quantify and characterize regionally. Because of the complex relationship between geologic and non-geologic factors that control radon levels, predicting indoor-radon levels from building to building is difficult even in areas with a high radonhazard potential.

The radon-hazard potential of Utah has been mapped using three geologic factors: (1) uranium concentration, (2) soil permeability, and (3) depth to ground water. Uranium occurrence and assay data are also shown on the map to indicate areas underlain by rock that may be a source of radon or uranium-enriched sediment. A numerical rating system was used to derive a qualitative assessment of relative radon-hazard potential. In areas of high radon-hazard potential, factors are favorable for elevated indoor-radon levels. In areas of moderate potential, indoor-radon levels may be limited by one or more unfavorable factors. In areas of low potential, factors are generally unfavorable for elevated indoor-radon levels. Field investigations conducted by the UGS show a general correlation with the radon-hazard potential shown on the map; future investigations will attempt to define prospective radon-hazard areas in more detail.

The Basin and Range-Colorado Plateau transition zone has the highest hazard potential, primarily due to uranium-enriched Tertiary volcanic rocks and soil derived from these rocks. The hazard potential in the Colorado Plateau and Middle Rocky Mountains is moderate to high; areas of high potential are due to deep ground water and shallow soils combined with moderate to high uranium levels. The Basin and Range has the lowest hazard potential, primarily due to valley basins in western Utah, where shallow ground-water and impermeable clay-rich soils low in uranium are found. Areas of high potential commonly occur in mountain ranges, where geologic factors are favorable.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank Barry J. Solomon (UGS), who provided assistance on evaluating radon hazards, and Robert E. Blackett (UGS), who compiled NURE references and helped design the uranium assay database. I also thank Gary Christenson (UGS), Dennis Nielsen (University of Utah Research Institute), Doug Sprinkel (UGS), and William R. Lund (UGS) for their constructive reviews of the map and manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Aero Service, 1979, Airborne gamma-ray spectrometer and magnetometer survey, Cortez Quadrangle, Utah and Colorado: Grand Junction, Colorado, final report to the U.S. Department of Energy, GJBX-144(79), 137 p.
- Anderson, J.J., and Rowley, P.D., 1975, Cenozoic stratigraphy of southwestern high plateaus of Utah, *in* Anderson, J.J., Rowley, P.D., Fleck, R.J., and Nairn, A.E.M., editors, Cenozoic geology of southwestern high plateaus of Utah: Geological Society of America Special Paper 160, p. 1-51.
- Annes, E.C., Jr., 1956, Reconnaissance for uranium deposits in the Kaiparowits Plateau region, Utah: U.S. Atomic Energy Commission TM-95, 5 p.
- Baars, D.L., 1962, Permian system of the Colorado Plateau: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, v. 46, no. 2, p. 149-218.
- Bauer, H.L., Jr., and Staatz, M.H., 1951, Uranium occurrence on the Autunite No. 8 claim, east side of the Thomas Range, Juab County, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey for the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, TEM-220, 16 p.
- Beroni, E.P., and McKeown, F.A., 1952, Reconnaissance for uraniferous rocks in northwestern Colorado, southwestern Wyoming, and northeastern Utah: U.S. Geological Survey for the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, TEI-308A, 46 p.
- Beroni, E.P., McKeown, F.A., Stugard, Frederick, Jr., and Gott, G.B., 1953, Uranium deposits on the Bulloch group of claims, Kane County, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 239, 9 p.
- Beroni, E.P., and others, 1952, The uranium deposits at the Yellow Canary claims, Daggett County, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey for the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, TEI-214, 20 p.
- Black, B.A., 1980, Uranium potential of Precambrian rocks in the Raft River area of northwestern Utah and southcentral Idaho: Grand Junction, Colorado, Meiiji Resource Consultants, final report to the U.S. Department of Energy GJBX-227(80), 318 p.
- Blair, R.G., 1954, Reconnaissance of the west side of the San Rafael Swell, Emery County, Utah: U.S. Atomic Energy Commission TM-72, 10 p.
- Blakey, R.C., 1974, Stratigraphic and depositional analysis of the Moenkopi Formation, southeastern Utah: Utah Geological and Mineral Survey Bulletin 104, 81 p.
- Bromfield, C.S., Grauch, R.I., Otton, J.K., and Osmonson, L.M., 1982, National uranium resource evaluation, Richfield quadrangle, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey PGJ/F-044(82), 88 p.

- Brooke, G.L., Shirley, R.F., and Swanson, M.A., 1951, Geological investigations report of the North Wash mining district, Henry Mountains, Utah: U.S. Atomic Energy Commission RMO-704, 13 p.
- Bush, A.L., and Lane, M.E., 1981, Analyses of rock, stream sediment, and water samples from the Vermilion Cliffs - Pariah Canyon instant study area, Coconino County, Arizona, and Kane County, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 81-391, 35 p.
- Cadigan, R.A., and Ketner, K.B., 1982, National uranium resource evaluation, Delta quadrangle, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey PGJ/F-002(82), 73 p.
- Callaghan, Eugene, 1939, Volcanic sequence in the Marysvale region in southwest-central Utah: American Geophysical Union Transactions of 1939, v. 20, p. 438-452.
- Campbell, J.A., Franczyk, K.A., Luft, S.J., Lupe, R.D., and Peterson, Fred, 1982, National uranium resource evaluation, Price quadrangle, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey PGJ/F-055(82), 49 p.
- Campbell, J.A., Franczyk, K.A., Lupe, R.D., and Peterson, Fred, 1982a, National uranium resource evaluation, Cortez quadrangle, Colorado and Utah: U.S. Geological Survey PGJ/F-051(82), 65 p.
- ---1982b, National uranium resource evaluation, Moab quadrangle, Colorado and Utah: U.S. Geological Survey PGJ/F-056(82), 68 p.
- Corey, A.S., 1959, Mineralogy and petrology of the uranium deposits of Cane Springs Canyon, San Juan and Grand Counties, Utah: U.S. Atomic Energy Commission RME-128, 64 p.
- Craig, L.C., Hail, W.J., Jr., and Luft, S.J., 1982, National uranium resource evaluation, Vernal quadrangle, Colorado and Utah: U.S. Geological Survey PGJ-026(82), 109 p.
- Cunningham, C.G., and Steven, T.A., 1979, Mount Belknap and Red Hills calderas and associated rocks, Marysvale volcanic field, westcentral Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1468, 34 p.
- Dane, C.H., 1954, Stratigraphic and facies relationships of upper part of Green River Formation and lower part of Uinta Formation in Duchesne, Uintah, and Wasatch Counties, Utah: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, v. 38, no. 2, p. 405-425.
- Durrance, E.M., 1986, Radioactivity in geology, principles and applications: New York City, John Wiley and Sons, 441 p.
- Duval, J.S., 1991, Use of aerial gamma-ray data to estimate relative amounts of radon in soil gas, *in* Gundersen, L.C.S., and Wanty, R.B., editors, Field studies of radon in rocks, soils, and water: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1971, p. 155-162.

- Duval, J.S., Jones, W.J., Riggle, F.R., and Pitkin, J.A., 1989, Equivalent uranium map of the conterminous United States: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 89-478, scale 1:2,500,000.
- EG&G Geometrics, 1979a, Aerial gamma ray and magnetic survey, Ogden and Salt Lake City quadrangles, Utah and Wyoming: Grand Junction, Colorado, final report to the U.S. Department of Energy, GJBX-71(80), 2 vols.
- ---1979b, Aerial gamma ray and magnetic survey, Salina and Moab quadrangles, Utah and Colorado: Grand Junction, Colorado, final report to the U.S. Department of Energy, GJBX-95(79), 2 vols.
- Ekren, E.B., and Houser, F.N., 1959, Relations of Lower Cretaceous and Upper Jurassic rocks, Four Corners area, Colorado: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, v. 43, no. 1, p. 190-201.
- Environmental Protection Agency, 1986, A citizens guide to radon, what it is and what to do about it: Washington, D.C., Environmental Protection Agency and Centers for Disease Control, OPA-86-004, 13 p.
- Fleischer, R.L., Mogro-Compero, Antonio, and Turner, L.G., 1982, Radon levels in homes in the northeastern United States - energy-efficient homes, *in* Vohra, K.G., Mishra, U.C., Pillai, K.C., and Sadasivan, S., editors, National radiation environment: New Delhi, India, Wiley Eastern Ltd, p. 497-502.
- Flood, J.R., Thomas, T.B., Suneson, N.H., and Luza, K.V., 1990, Geologic assessment of radon-222 potential in Oklahoma: Norman, University of Oklahoma, Oklahoma Geological Survey Map GM-32, 28 p., scale 1:750,000.
- Geodata International, 1979a, Aerial radiometric and magnetic survey, Brigham City quadrangle, Utah: Grand Junction, Colorado, final report to the U.S. Department of Energy, GJBX-124(79), 2 vols., 263 p.
- ---1979b, Aerial radiometric and magnetic survey, Cedar City quadrangle, Utah: Grand Junction, Colorado, final report to the U.S. Department of Energy, GJBX-93(80), 182 p.
- ---1979c, Aerial radiometric and magnetic survey, Price quadrangle, Utah: Grand Junction, Colorado, final report to the U.S. Department of Energy, GJBX-117(79), 2 vols., 271 p.
- ---1979d, Aerial radiometric and magnetic survey, Richfield quadrangle, Utah: Grand Junction, Colorado, final report to the U.S. Department of Energy, GJBX-172(79), 184 p.
- ---1979e, Aerial radiometric and magnetic survey, Tooele quadrangle, Utah: Grand Junction, Colorado, final report to the U.S. Department of Energy, GJBX-118(79), 2 vols., 284 p.
- ---1979f, Aerial radiometric and magnetic survey, Vernal quadrangle, Utah and Colorado: Grand Junction, Colorado, final report to the U.S. Department of Energy, GJBX-167(79), 230 p.
- ---1980, Aerial radiometric and magnetic survey, Escalante quadrangle, Utah: Grand Junction, Colorado, final report to the U.S. Department of Energy, GJBX-15(80), 180 p.
- ---1981, Aerial radiometric and magnetic survey, Grand Junction quadrangle, Utah and Colorado: Grand Junction, Colorado, final report to the U.S. Department of Energy, GJBX-112(81), 113 p.
- Gott, G.B., and Erickson, R.L., 1952, Reconnaissance of uranium and copper deposits in parts of New Mexico, Colorado, Utah, and Wyoming: U.S. Geological Survey for the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, TEI-232, 34 p.
- Granger, H.C., and Bauer, H.L., 1950, Preliminary examination of uranium deposits near Marysvale, Piute County, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey for the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, TEM-33, 44 p.

- Granger, H.C., and Beroni, E.P., 1950, Uranium occurrences in the White Canyon area, San Juan County, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey for the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, TEM-7, 46 p.
- Gregory, H.E., and Moore, R.C., 1931, The Kaiparowits region, a geographic and geologic reconnaissance of parts of Utah and Arizona: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 164, 161 p.
- Gundersen, L.C.S., Reimer, G.M., and Agard, S.S., 1988, Correlation between geology, radon in soil gas, and indoor radon in the Reading Prong, *in* Marikos, M.A., and Hansman, R.H., editors, Geologic causes of natural radionuclide anomalies: Missouri Department of Natural Resources, Division of Geology and Land Survey, Special Publication 4, p. 91-102.
- Hecker, Suzanne, Harty, K.M., and Christenson, G.E., 1988, Shallow ground water and related hazards in Utah: Utah Geological and Mineral Survey Map 110, 17 p., scale 1:750,000.
- Hintze, L.F., 1975, Geological highway map of Utah: Provo, Brigham Young University Geology Studies Special Publication 3, scale 1:1,000,000.
- Jacobi, W., and Eisfeld, K., 1982, Internal dosimetry of ²²²Rn, ²²⁰Rn, and their short-lived daughters, *in* Vohra, K.G., Mishra, U.C., Pillai, K.C., and Sadasivan, S., editors, National radiation environment: New Delhi, India, Wiley Eastern Ltd, p. 131-143.
- Lafavore, Michael, 1987, Radon the invisible threat: Emmaus, Pennsylvania, Rodale Press, 256 p.
- Larson, R.N., 1957, Geology and uranium occurrences in the Hite section of the Glen Canyon Dam immersion area, Garfield and San Juan Counties, Utah: U.S. Atomic Energy Commission RME-166, 25 p.
- Lupe, R.D., Campbell, J.A., Franczyk, K.J., Luft, S.J., Peterson, Fred, and Robinson, Keith, 1982, National uranium resource evaluation, Salina quadrangle, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey PGJ/F-053(82), 83 p.
- Madson, M.E., and Reinhart, W.R., 1982, National uranium resource evaluation, Ogden quadrangle, Utah and Wyoming: U.S. Geological Survey PGJ/F-124(82), 53 p.
- McKelvey, V.E., and others, 1959, The Phosphoria, Park City, and Shedhorn Formations in the western phosphate field: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 313-A, 47 p.
- McLemore, V.T., Hawley, J.W., and Manchego, R.A., 1991, Geologic evaluation of radon availability in New Mexico - a progress report, in The 1991 Symposium on Radon and Radon Reduction Technology, v. 5, preprints: Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Air and Energy Environmental Research Laboratory, p. IXP-1.
- Meussig, K.W., 1988, Correlation of airborne radiometric data and geologic sources with elevated indoor radon in New Jersey, *in* U.S. Environmental Protection Agency - The 1988 Symposium on Radon and Radon Reduction Technology, Denver, Colorado - preprints: Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Air and Energy Environmental Research Laboratory, EPA/600/9-89/006a, v. I, p. V-1.
- Mickle, D.G., 1978, A preliminary classification of uranium deposits: Grand Junction, Colorado, Bendix Field Engineering Corporation for the U.S. Department of Energy, GJBX-63(78), 78 p.
- Mundorff, J.C., 1970, Major thermal springs of Utah: Utah Geological and Mineral Survey Water-Resources Bulletin 13, 60 p., 2 plates.
- National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, 1984a, Exposures from the uranium series with emphasis on radon and its daughters: Bethesda, Maryland, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements Report 77, 132 p.

- ---1984b, Evaluation of occupational and environmental exposures to radon and radon daughters in the United States: Bethesda, Maryland, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements Report 78, 204 p.
- Nielson, D.L., 1978, Radon emanometry as a geothermal exploration technique; theory and an example from Roosevelt Hot Springs KGRA, Utah: Salt Lake City, University of Utah Research Institute, Earth Science Laboratory, IDO/78-1701.b.1.1.2 ESL-14, 30 p.
- Peake, R.T., and Schumann, R.R., 1991, Regional radon characterizations, in Gundersen, L.C.S., and Wanty, R.B., editors, Field studies of radon in rocks, soils, and water: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1971, p. 163-175.
- Peterson, Fred, Campbell, J.A., Franczyk, K.J., and Lupe, R.D., 1982, National uranium resource evaluation, Escalante quadrangle, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey PGJ/F-049(82), 65 p.
- Samet, J.M., 1989, Radon exposure and lung cancer risk, in U.S. Environmental Protection Agency - The 1988 Symposium on Radon and Radon Reduction Technology, Denver, Colorado - preprints: Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Air and Energy Environmental Research Laboratory, EPA/600/9-89/006a, v. I, p. II-1.
- Schmidt, Anita, Puskin, J.S., Nelson, Christopher, and Nelson, Neal, 1990, Estimate of annual radon-induced cancer deaths - EPA's approach, *in* U.S. Environmental Protection Agency - The 1990 International Symposium on Radon and Radon Reduction Technology, Atlanta, Georgia - preprints: Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Air and Energy Environmental Research Laboratory, EPA/600/9-90/005a, v. I, p. II-3.
- Smith, R.C., III, Reilly, M.A., Rose, A.W., Barnes, J.H., and Berkheiser, S.W., Jr., 1987, Radon - a profound case: Pennsylvania Geology, v. 18, no. 2, p. 3-7.
- Solomon, B.J., in preparation, Geologically determined radon-hazard areas in the St. George area, Washington County, and Ogden Valley, Weber County, Utah - The UGS State Indoor Radon Grant Program, Grant Year 2: Utah Geological Survey Special Studies.
- ---1992a, Environmental geophysical survey of radon-hazard areas in the southern St. George basin, Washington County, Utah, *in* Harty, K.M., editor, Engineering and environmental geology of southwestern Utah: Utah Geological Association Publication 21, p. 173-192.
- ---1992b, Geology and the indoor-radon hazard in southwestern Utah, in Harty, K.M., editor, Engineering and environmental geology of southwestern Utah: Utah Geological Association Publication 21, p. 165-172.

- Solomon, B.J., Black, B.D., Nielsen, D.L., and Cui, Linpei, 1991, Identification of radon-hazard areas along the Wasatch Front, Utah, using geologic techniques, *in* McCalpin, J.P., editor, Proceedings of the 27th Symposium on Engineering Geology and Geotechnical Engineering: Logan, Utah State University, Department of Geology, p. 40-1 - 40-16.
- Spieker, E.M., and Reeside, J.B., Jr., 1925, Cretaceous and Tertiary Formations of the Wasatch Plateau, Utah: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 36, no. 3, p. 435-454.
- Sprinkel, D.A., 1987 (revised 1988), The potential radon hazard map, Utah: Utah Geological and Mineral Survey Open-File Report 108, 4 p., scale 1:1,000,000.
- Sprinkel, D.A., and Solomon, B.J., 1990, Radon hazards in Utah: Utah Geological and Mineral Survey Circular 81, 24 p.
- Stewart, J.H., Poole, F.G., and Wilson, R.F., 1972, Stratigraphy and origin of the Chinle Formation and related strata in the Colorado Plateau region: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 690, 336 p.
- Steven, T.A., 1989, Geology of the Crystal Peak caldera, west-central Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Investigation Map I-2002, scale 1:100,000.
- Stokes, W.L., 1977, Subdivisions of the major physiographic provinces of Utah: Utah Geology, v. 4, no. 1, p. 1-17.
- Stugard, Frederick, Jr., 1951, Uranium resources in the Silver Reef (Harrisburg) district, Washington County, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey for the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, TEM-214, 65 p.
- Tanner, A.B., 1980, Radon migration in the ground supplementary review, *in* Gesell, T.F., and Lowder, W.M., editors, Natural radiation environment III, v. I: Springfield, Virginia, National Technical Information Services, United States Department of Energy Symposium Series 51 CONF-780422, p. 5-56.
- ---1986, Indoor radon and its sources in the ground: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 86-222, 5 p.
- Wilson, LeMoyne, Olsen, M.E., Hutchings, T.B., Southard, A.R., and Erickson, A.J., 1973, Soils of Utah: Logan, Utah State University, Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin 492, 94 p., scale 1:1,000,000.
- Wyant, D.G., 1951, The East Slope No. 2 uranium prospect, Piute County, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey for the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, TEM-211, 19 p.
- ---1953, Uranium deposits at Shinarump Mesa and some adjacent areas in the Temple Mountain district, Emery County, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey for the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, TEI-51, 98 p.

STATE OF UTAH

Michael O. Leavitt, Governor

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

Ted Stewart, Executive Director

UTAH GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

M. Lee Allison, Director

UGS Board

| Member | Representing |
|--|-------------------------------|
| Lynnelle G. Eckels | Mineral Industry |
| Richard R. Kennedy | Civil Engineering |
| Jo Brandt | Public-at-Large |
| C. William Berge | Mineral Industry |
| Russell C. Babcock, Jr. | Mineral Industry |
| Jerry Golden | Mineral Industry |
| Milton E. Wadsworth | Economics-Business/Scientific |
| Director, Division of State Lands and Forestry | Ex officio member |

UGS Editorial Staff

| J. Stringfellow | Editor |
|---|-----------------|
| Patti F. MaGann, Sharon Hamre | Editorial Staff |
| Patricia H. Speranza, James W. Parker, Lori Douglas | Cartographers |

UTAH GEOLOGICAL SURVEY

2363 South Foothill Drive Salt Lake City, Utah 84109-1491

THE UTAH GEOLOGICAL SURVEY is organized into three geologic programs with Administration, Editorial, and Computer Resources providing necessary support to the programs. THE ECONOMIC GEOLOGY PROGRAM undertakes studies to identify coal, geothermal, uranium, hydrocarbon, and industrial and metallic mineral resources; to initiate detailed studies of the above resources including mining district and field studies; to develop computerized resource data bases, to answer state, federal, and industry requests for information; and to encourage the prudent development of Utah's geologic resources. THE APPLIED GEOLOGY PROGRAM responds to requests from local and state governmental entities for engineering geologic investigations; and identifies, documents, and interprets Utah's geologic hazards. THE GEOLOGIC MAPPING PROGRAM maps the bedrock and surficial geology of the state at a regional scale by county and at a more detailed scale by quadrangle. Information Geologists answer inquiries from the public and provide information about Utah's geology in a non-technical format.

The UGS manages a library which is open to the public and contains many reference works on Utah geology and many unpublished documents on aspects of Utah geology by UGS staff and others. The UGS has begun several computer data bases with information on mineral and energy resources, geologic hazards, stratigraphic sections, and bibliographic references. Most files may be viewed by using the UGS Library. The UGS also manages a sample library which contains core, cuttings, and soil samples from mineral and petroleum drill holes and engineering geology investigations. Samples may be viewed at the Sample Library or requested as a loan for outside study.

The UGS publishes the results of its investigations in the form of maps, reports, and compilations of data that are accessible to the public. For information on UGS publications, contact the UGS Sales Office, 2363 South Foothill Drive, Salt Lake City, Utah 84109-1491, (801) 467-7970.

The Utah Department of Natural Resources receives federal aid and prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color, sex, age, national origin, or handicap. For information or complaints regarding discrimination, contact Executive Director, Utah Department of Natural Resources, 1636 West North Temple #316, Salt Lake City, UT 84116-3193 or Office of Equal Opportunity, U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, DC 20240. UTAH GEOLOGICAL SURVEY a division of UTAH DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

UTAH GEOLOGICAL SURVEY MAP 149 RADON-HAZARD-POTENTIAL MAP OF UTAH

