EXPLANATION

This map presents a landslide inventory of a portion of Twelvemile Canyon, Sanpete County, Utah, at a scale of 1:24,000. The purpose of the map and accompanying geodatabase is to show and characterize landslides larger than about 40-meters across their shortest dimension, and to provide information useful for managing landslide problems. The map and accompanying geodatabase were prepared by the Utah Geological Survey (UGS) as a cooperative project for the Manti-LaSal National Forest. The project covers approximately 59 square miles on the west side of the Wasatch Plateau, and includes three hydrologic units (South Fork, Clear Creek, and Headwaters of Twelvemile Creek: Hydrologic Unit Codes: 160300040401, 160300040402, and 160300040403 [Utah Automated Geographic Reference Center, 2008a]) in Twelvemile Canyon. We used a geographic information system (GIS) to capture, store, and display the data for each mapped landslide.

We prepared the landslide inventory by analyzing and interpreting 10 different sets of stereo and orthophoto aerial photography acquired periodically from 1940 through 2006, which provides a 66-year history of landsliding in the canyon. We cite photography dates and scale in our aerial photographs reference list. The 1952 and 1964 photography sets have limited coverage, but the other sets provide complete coverage of the map area. We recorded spatial and tabular data for each mapped landslide. Spatial data pertain to landslide deposit and landslide geomorphic source type; source types include slide and flow main scarps and rock-fall cliff bands. Tabular data describes landslide characteristics in text or numeric form. The spatial and tabular data are stored in the database and linked to the inventory map. Landslide information stored in the database includes: area, material type, movement type, landslide deposit name, landslide source name, movement activity, thickness, movement direction, approximate movement dates, bedrock unit underlying the landslide, confidence in mapped boundaries, mapper name, peer reviewer name, and comments.

Landslide Classification

The landslide characteristics used to classify landslides were observed on different dates of stereo aerial photography (separate aerial photo sets), on topographic quadrangle maps, in Manti-LaSal National Forest reports, and in the field. Our classification methodology is similar to that used by the California Geological Survey (Irvine and others, 2007) to prepare landslide inventory maps and the Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries protocol for landslide inventory mapping (Burns and Madin, 2009). Landslide classification is based primarily on terminology and mapping criteria of Varnes (1978), Wieczorek (1984), Cruden and Varnes (1996), Keaton and DeGraff (1996), and Haskins and others (1998). Landslide deposits are classified based on type of geologic material and type of movement. Where a landslide source could be identified and mapped, the source was classified based on the geomorphic source type. Both the landslide deposit and source are further classified based on landslide movement activity and boundary-mapping confidence. The geodatabase includes additional landslide information not shown on the map.

Landslide Deposit Materials and Movement

Each landslide deposit is assigned a two-part name based on dominant material type and movement type after Cruden and Varnes (1996). The material is classified as rock or soil, and soil is further subdivided as debris (mostly coarser than sand-sized particles) or earth (mostly sand-sized or finer particles). The observed movement types in Twelvemile Canyon consist of falls, flows, and slides (rotational and translational). We used

RF rock fall
RS-R rock slide rotational

the following landslide names in our mapping:

- RS-T rock slide translational DS-R debris slide rotational
- DS-T debris slide translational
 DFL debris flow
 ES-R earth slide rotational
- ES-T earth slide translational EFL earth flow

Landslide Source Type

Landslide source areas are classified based on geomorphic source type. Cliff bands and outcrops are typically the source areas for rock-fall deposits. Main scarps are typically the source area for slide and flow deposits. We identified the following landslide source types in our mapping:

- CB cliff band OC outcrop
- MS main scarp
 DF-S debris-flow source

Landslide Movement Activity

We classified landslide deposit and source activity based on landslide features observed on aerial photographs and/or in the field.

Active or historical: The landslide has documented movement or landslide features observed on aerial photography and/or in the field indicative of historical movement.

Dormant or very slow moving: Landslide deposit landforms are fresh or uneroded, but there is no evidence of historical movement. Landslide movement is suspended or at a slow rate preventing development of sharp recognizable features associ ated with active movement allowing erosion to smooth existing features.

Dormant-eroded: Landslide deposit landforms are smoothed, subdued, and incised by erosion.

Landslide Mapping Confidence

The confidence of landslide mapping is based on the visual clarity of boundaries around source and landslide deposit. Erosion or vegetation may obscure boundaries, making them difficult to map accurately.

High: The landslide boundary is clearly evident and discernable. The landslide generally shows features indicative of recent movement.

Moderate: Some, but not all, parts of the boundary are clearly evident, other parts are approximate or gradational. Diagnostic landforms are generally present.

Low: The boundary is difficult to determine and is approximately located, and few diagnostic landforms may be present.

Geology and Landslides in Twelvemile Canyon

Twelvemile Canyon has a long history of damaging landslides. Widespread landsliding in 1983 closed the main canyon road (USFS Road 022) in several places (U.S. Forest Service, 1983). One of the larger landslides, the 2.5-mile-long Twelvemile landslide, temporarily blocked South Fork Twelvemile Creek. The landslide dam was eventually breached and the resulting floodwater produced a debris flow that traveled 2.4 miles down South Fork Twelvemile Creek before burying part of Pinchot Campground, which was permanently closed. Another 1983 landslide below Twin Lake that moved over 130 feet (Baum and Fleming, 1991) closed USFS Road 022 and threatened to block Twelvemile Creek (Anderson and others, 1984). Twin Lake Reservoir was drained to prevent a potential catastrophic release of water due to landslide damage. In 1998, a landslide initiating in the northern fork of Cooley Creek traveled 1.8 miles down South Fork Twelvemile Creek depositing up to several tens of feet of landslide debris in the drainage. In addition to these landslides, many others, both historical and prehistorical, have blocked and deflected creeks throughout the canyon.

Landsliding in Twelvemile Canyon is associated with weak, landslide-prone rock. The rock units susceptible to landsliding, from oldest to youngest, are the Cretaceous Price River Formation, Tertiary-Cretaceous North Horn Formation, and Tertiary Flagstaff Formation (Witkind and others, 1987). The Price River Formation contains sandstone and shale, the North Horn Formation is primarily shale with lesser amounts of sandstone, and the Flagstaff Formation contains shale and limestone. The rock units are generally flat lying and cut by north-south-trending, high-angle, small-displacement normal faults. In the western part of the map area, these units dip moderately to the west as part of the Wasatch monocline. The upper parts of the canyon were glaciated (Larson, 1996) and post-glacial landslides displace moraines. Shale in the North Horn Formation commonly weathers to clay and generally produces the largest landslides. Limestone cliff bands of the Flagstaff Formation form a plateau cap rock that is a common source of rock fall. Our mapping shows that most of the active landslides are reactivations of pre-existing landslides and that all pre-existing landslides are prone to reactivation due to their weak strength characteristics. Some of these landslides have moved very slowly, inches or less per year, while others have moved rapidly and traveled miles.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Barry Solomon, Steve Bowman, Tyler Knudsen, and Robert Ressetar (Utah Geological Survey) for their technical review comments. J. Buck Ehler, Corey Unger and Jay Hill provided GIS and cartographic input and support. Pam Irvine and Tim McCrink (California Geological Survey) provided helpful discussions and examples of landslide inventory mapping in California. Pete Kilbourne (Manti-LaSal National Forest) provided helpful suggestions on scoping this study, attributing landslides, and reviews of the map and geodatabase. The project was funded by the Utah Geological Survey and Manti-LaSal National Forest under Challenge Cost-share agreement 08-CS-11041000-036.

DISCLAIMER

Although this product represents the work of professional scientists, the Utah Department of Natural Resources, Utah Geological Survey, makes no warranty, expressed or implied, regarding its suitability for a particular use. The Utah Department of Natural Resources, Utah Geological Survey, shall not be liable under any circumstances for any direct, indirect, special, incidental, or consequential damages with respect to claims by users of this product.

REFERENCES

Anderson, L.R., Keaton, J.R., Saarinen, T.F., and Wells, W.G., II, 1984, The Utah landslides, debris flows, and floods of May and June 1983: Washington, D.C., National Academy Press, 96 p.

Baum, R.L., and Fleming, R.W., 1991, Use of longitudinal strain in identifying driving and resisting elements of landslides: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 103, p. 1121–1132.

Burns, W.J., and Madin, I.P., 2009, Protocol for inventory mapping of landslide deposits from light detection and ranging (LIDAR) imagery: Oregon Department of Geology and Mineral Industries Special Paper 42, 24 p.

Cruden, D.M., and Varnes, D.J., 1996, Landslides, types and processes, *in* Turner, A.K., and Schuster, R.L., editors, Landslides, investigation and mitigation: Washington, D.C., National Academy Press, p. 36–75.
Haskins, D.M., Correll, C.S., Foster R.A., Chatoian, J.M., Fincher, J.M., Strenger S., Keys, J.E., Maxwell, J.R., and King, T. 1998, A geomorphic

classification system: USDA Forest Service, Washington, D.C., 110 p.

Irvine, P.J., McCrink, T.P., and Willis, C.J., 2007, Landslide hazard mapping by the California Geological Survey—Tools for assessing landslide

hazards in California, *in* Schaefer, V.R., Schuster, R.L., and Turner, A.K., editors, Conference presentations, 1st North American Landslide Conference, Vail, Colorado: Association of Environmental & Engineering Geologists Special Publication no. 23, p. 603–612.

Keaton, J.R., and DeGraff, J.V., 1996, Surface observation and geologic mapping, *in* Turner, A.K., and Schuster, R.L., editors, Landslides, investi-

gation and mitigation: Washington, D.C., National Academy Press, p. 178–230.

Larson, P.R., 1996, Glacial geomorphology of a portion of the Wasatch Plateau, central Utah: Salt Lake City, Utah, University of Utah, Ph.D.

U.S. Forest Service, 1983, Flood damage report and funding request to repair or ameliorate the damages caused by the snowmelt, high water, landslides, and mudflows of the spring and summer 1983: Price, Utah, Manti-LaSal National Forest unpublished report, 133 p.

Utah Automated Geographic Reference Center, 2008a, Utah SGID vector GIS data layers HUC: Online, http://gis.utah.gov/sgid-vector-fdownload/utah-sgid-vector-gis-data-layers-by-name, accessed October 16, 2008.

Varnes, D.J., 1978, slope movement types and processes, *in* Schuster, R.L., and Krizek, R.J., editors, Landslides—analysis and control, Transportation Research Board Special Report 176, National Research Council, investigation and mitigation: Washington, D.C., National Academy of Sciences, p. 11–33.

Wieczorek, G.F., 1984, Preparing a detailed landslide-inventory map for hazard evaluation and reduction: Bulletin of the Association of Engineering Geologists, v. 21, no. 3, p. 377–342.

Witkind, I.J., Weiss, M.P., and Brown, T.L., 1987, Geologic map of the Manti 30' x 60' quadrangle, Carbon, Emery, Juab, Sanpete, and Sevier Counties, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Investigations Series Map I-1631, scale 1:100,000. AERIAL PHOTOGRAPHS

approximate scale 1:20,000.

Soil Conservation Service, 1940, East Sevier River area aerial photography, Project Code COK, frames 1-26 through 1-36 and frames 1-68 through 1-80 dated 8-3-1940, frames 2-30 through 2-32 dated 8-11-1940, frames 4-38 through 4-46 dated 8-8-1940, black and white,

U.S. Forest Service, Manti-LaSal National Forest, 1952, Aerial photography, Project Code CVW-17K, frames 7 through 12, 33, 36, 42, 43, 71, 76, 78 through 81, and 83 dated 9-24-1952, Project Code CVW-26K frame 14 dated 10-22-1952, black and white, approximate scale 1:20,000.

U.S. Forest Service, Manti-LaSal National Forest, 1964, Manti LaSal National Forest (Area E) aerial photography, Project Code ENR 9, frames 108 through 111, 228 through 231, dated 9-11-1964, black and white, approximate scale 1:15,480.

U.S. Forest Service, Manti-LaSal National Forest, 1976, aerial photography, Project Code 49007, Frames dated 7-4-1976, 676-154 through 160,

676-186 through 195, 676-233 through 242, 776-14 through 22, 776-32 through 44, 776-64 through 78, frames dated 8-5-1976, 776-94 through 101, color and black and white, approximate scale 1:15,840.

National Aeronautics and Space Administration, 1980, ER-2 aerial photography, frames C-D 56 through 75, frames I-J 186 through 193, infrared,

approximate scale 1:31,000.

U.S. Forest Service, Manti-LaSal National Forest, 1984, Aerial photography, Project Code 614101, frames dated 9-9-1984, 184-1 through 15, 184-34 through 47, 184-109 and 111, 184-136 through 141, 184-207 through 220, 184-235 through 245, 184-248 through 254, frames dated 9-27-1984, 484-215 through 229, color, approximate scale 1:12,000.

U.S. Forest Service, Manti-LaSal National Forest, 1991, Aerial photography, Project Code 614100, frames dated 9-25-1991, 490-50 through 66, 490-100 through 112, 490-121 through 136, 490-150 through 163, 490-170 through 183, 490-199 through 208, color, approximate scale 1:15,840.
U.S. Forest Service, Manti-LaSal National Forest, 2000, Aerial photography, Project Code 614100, frames dated 6-17-2000, 100-113 through 120,

100-131 through 140, 100-162 through 173, 100-180 through 190, frames dated 6-20-2000, 600-93 through 103, 600-106 through 114, color, approximate scale 1:16,000.

photography/mid-1990s-1-meter-b-w-ortho photography>, accessed October 16, 2008.

Utah Automated Geographic Reference Center, 2008c, 2006 National Agriculture Imagery Program aerial photos: Online, http://agrc.utah.gov/agrc_sgid/naip.html>, accessed October 16, 2008.

Utah Automated Geographic Reference Center, 2008b, U.S. Geological Survey 1997 aerial photos: Online, http://gis.utah.gov/aeriall-number-1997

DECLINATION, 2011



SCALE 1:24,000

CONTOUR INTERVAL 40 FEET

2011

1000 0 1000 2000 3000 4000 5000 6000 7000 FEET

1 MILE

1 KILOMETER

0.5

Base from USGS 7.5' Quadrangles (1965,1966)
Projection: UTM Zone 12
Datum: NAD 1927
Spheroid: GRE 1980

Project Manager: Richard E. Giraud and Greg N. McDonald
GIS and Cartography: Corey Unger and Jay Hill

Utah Geological Survey
1594 West North Temple, Suite 3110
P.O. Box 146100, Salt Lake City, UT 84114-6480
(801) 537-3300
geology.utah.gov