




Although this product represents the work of professional scientists, the Utah Department of Natural Resources, Utah Geological Survey, makes no warranty, expressed or implied, regarding its suitability for a particular use, and does not guarantee accuracy or completeness of the data. The Utah Department of Natural Resources, Utah Geological Survey, shall not be liable under any circumstances for any direct, indirect, special, incidental, or consequential damages with respect to claims by users of this product. For use at 1:24,000 scale.

This geologic map was funded by the Utah Geological Survey and the U.S. Geological Survey National Cooperative Geologic Mapping Program through USGS STATEMAP award number G16AC00202, 2018-2019. The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the author and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of the U.S. Government. This map and explanatory information is submitted for publication with the understanding that the United States Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints for governmental use.



Base from USGS Heber City 7.5' Quadrangle (2017)  
Shaded relief derived from USGS 10-meter NED  
Projection: UTM Zone 12  
Datum: NAD 1983  
Spheroid: Clarke 1886

Mapping Program Manager: Stefan M. Kirby  
Project Manager: Robert F. Biek  
GIS and Cartography: Jessica S. Pierson and Basia Matyskask

Utah Geological Survey  
1594 West North Temple, Suite 3110  
P.O. Box 146100, Salt Lake City, UT 84114-6100  
geology.utah.gov  
<https://doi.org/10.34191/UM-295DM>

1	2	3	1. Park City West
			2. Park City East
4		5	3. Kamas
			4. Brighton
			5. Francis
6	7	8	6. Aspen Grove
			7. Charleston
			8. Center Creek

ADJOINING 7.5' QUADRANGLE NAMES

**GEOLOGIC MAP OF THE HEBER CITY QUADRANGLE,  
WASATCH AND SUMMIT COUNTIES, UTAH**  
by  
**Robert F. Biek**  
2022




LIST OF MAP UNITS

Qh	Artificial fill
Qhd	Disturbed land
Qhm	Mine dumps and aggregate pit
Qal <sub>1</sub>	Modern stream alluvium
Qal <sub>2</sub>	Young stream alluvium
Qa <sub>1</sub>	Valley-fill deposits
Qa <sub>2</sub>	Young fan alluvium
Qa <sub>3</sub>	Middle fan alluvium
Qa <sub>4</sub>	Young and middle fan alluvium, undivided
Qafo	Old fan alluvium
Qc	Colluvium
Qco	Older colluvium
Qgmp <sub>1</sub>	Glacial till of Pinedale age
Qgmb	Older glacial till of likely Bull Lake age
Qmsh	Landslide deposits
Qms	Landslide deposits
Qmt	Talus
Qac	Alluvium and colluvium
Qaco	Older alluvium and colluvium
Qmc	Landslides and colluvium
Qmic	Talus and colluvium
Qst	Calcareous spring tufa deposits
Qstf/Qst	Middle fan alluvium over calcareous spring tufa deposits
Qsfo/Qst	Old fan alluvium over calcareous spring tufa deposits
Qsfo/PPw	Old fan alluvium over Weber Sandstone
Qmt/PPv	Talus over Round Valley Limestone
QTa	Old alluvial deposits
Tkcl	Lava flows of Coyote Canyon
Tkcc	Volcanic mudflow breccia of Coyote Canyon
Tkcc(w)	Brecciated Weber Sandstone block in volcanic mudflow breccia of Coyote Canyon
Tksc	Volcanic mudflow breccia of Silver Creek
Tkl	Lava flows associated with Park Premier porphyry stocks
Tksh	Lava flows and volcanic mudflow breccia of Sage Hen Hollow
Tia	Dikes of intermediate composition
Tpp	Park Premier porphyry stock
Tppb	Park Premier porphyry, intrusive breccia phase
Ti	Flagstaff Mountain porphyry
Tib	Flagstaff Mountain porphyry, intrusive breccia phase
To	Ontario porphyry
Tpc	Pine Creek porphyry
Tv	Valero porphyry
Tg	Glencoe porphyry
Tm	Mayflower porphyry
Tjb	Jordanella porphyry, breccia phase
Tj	Jordanella porphyry
Jlg	Twin Creek Limestone, Giraffe Creek Member
Jli	Twin Creek Limestone, Leeds Creek Member
Jlw	Twin Creek Limestone, Watson Canyon Member
Jlb	Twin Creek Limestone, Boundary Ridge Member
Jlr?	Twin Creek Limestone, Rich Member?
Jls	Twin Creek Limestone, Sliderock Member
Jis	Gypsum Spring Formation
Jtin	Nugget Sandstone
Ta	Ankareh Formation, undivided
Tt	Thaynes Formation, undivided
Ttu	Thaynes Formation, upper unit
Ttm	Thaynes Formation, middle unit
Ttl	Thaynes Formation, lower unit
Tw	Woodside Formation
Ppc	Park City and Phosphoria Formations, undivided
PPw	Weber Sandstone
PM	Limestone
PPv	Round Valley Limestone
Mdo	Doughnut Formation
Mh	Humburg Formation
Md	Desert Limestone
Mg	Gardison Limestone
Co	Ophir Formation
Ci	Tintic Quartzite
Zmf	Mineral Fork Formation
Zbc	Big Cottonwood Formation

MAP SYMBOLS

-----	Contact, dashed where approximately located
-----	Scratch contact, approximately located, between units that merge imperceptibly
-----	Normal fault - dashed where approximately located, dotted where concealed; queried where existence uncertain; bar and ball on down-thrown side
-----	Fault - uncertain origin and offset, dashed where approximately located, dotted where concealed
-----	Thrust fault - dashed where approximately located, dotted where concealed; saw teeth on upper plate
-----	Detachment fault - dashed where approximately located, dotted where concealed
-----	Axial trace of anticline; dashed where approximately located, dotted where concealed, arrow shows direction of plunge
-----	Axial trace of syncline; dashed where approximately located, dotted where concealed
-----	Lineament
-----	Igneous dike, dashed where approximately located
-----	Landslide scarp, hachures on down-dropped side
-----	Moraine crest
-----	Prospect trench
-----	Palaeoseismic trench (Sullivan and others, 1988)
-----	Strike and dip of inclined bedding; red symbols indicate attitudes from Bromfield and others (1970)
-----	Strike and dip of inclined bedding determined photogrammetrically
-----	Approximate strike and dip of inclined bedding
-----	Strike and dip of overturned bedding; red symbols indicate attitudes from Bromfield and others (1970)
-----	Strike of vertical bedding; red symbols indicate attitudes from Bromfield and others (1970)
-----	Foliation from Bromfield and others (1970)
-----	Spring
-----	Quarry, inactive
-----	Sand and gravel or borrow pit, inactive
-----	Sample location and number (geochemistry, see table 1)
-----	Sample location and number (age, see table 2)
-----	Approximate location of selected water well (see table 3)
-----	Prospect; green symbols indicate prospects from DOGM (2018) (see table 4)
-----	Adit, inaccessible; green symbols indicate adits from DOGM (2018) (see table 4)
-----	Shaft, inaccessible; green symbols indicate shafts from DOGM (2018) (see table 4)

Line of cross section



- Tpp Park Premier porphyry
- To Ontario porphyry
- Tm Mayflower porphyry
- Tg Glencoe porphyry
- Tf Flagstaff porphyry
- Tv Valero porphyry
- Tpc Pine Creek porphyry
- Tj Jordanella porphyry
- Tkl Lava flows associated with Park Premier porphyry stocks
- Tkcc Volcanic mudflow breccia of Silver Creek, lava flow and porphyry sill?
- Tksh Lava flows of Coyote Canyon




Total alkali-silica classification for igneous rocks of the Heber City quadrangle (values have been normalized to 100% on a volatile-free basis); classification diagram from Le Bas and others (1986).

STRATIGRAPHIC COLUMN

AGE*	System	Series	Map Unit	Map Symbol	Thickness feet (meters)	Regional Tectonic Setting	Depositional Environment	Dominant Rock Type and Weathering Profile	Notes
Ma	System	Series							
0.02	Quaternary	Holocene	various surficial deposits		variable	mostly alluvium and mass-waiting deposits in modern drainages and basins	unconsolidated gravel, sand, silt and clay		typically maximum thickness reported
2.6	Quaternary	Pleistocene							
2.6	Quaternary	NEO. Plio-Mio.							
33.9	Tertiary	Oligocene	Kodak	Tkcl	variable	early extension of volcanic	basins sourced from stratovolcanoes that once lowered over Wasatch intrusive belt	volcanic mudflow breccia and lava flows	Park City porphyries and Park Premier porphyry emplaced
33.9	Tertiary	Eocene	Watson Canyon Member	Tkcc	variable				
174	Jurassic	Middle	Giraffe Creek Member	Jlg	100-130 (30-40)		transgressive	limestone	unconformity
174	Jurassic	Middle	Leeds Creek Member	Jli	400+ (120+)		transgressive	argillaceous limestone	not exposed
174	Jurassic	Middle	Watson Canyon Member	Jlw	250 (75)		regressive	limestone	
174	Jurassic	Middle	Boundary Ridge Mbr.	Jlb	120 (35)		transgressive	argillaceous limestone	J-2 unconformity
174	Jurassic	Middle	Rich Mbr.	Jlr?	160 (50)				
174	Jurassic	Middle	Sliderock Mbr.	Jls	200 (60)				
174	Jurassic	Middle	Gypsum Spring Fm.	Jis	20 (6)		shallow-marine transgression	silty sandstone	J-1 unconformity
174	Jurassic	Lower	Nugget Sandstone	Jtin	900-1000 (275-300)		vast eolian dune field of arid west coast subtropical desert	sandstone	large cross-beds
201	Triassic	Upper	Ankareh Fm.	Ta	1485 (453)		fluvial, floodplain and lake	mudstone, siltstone, sandstone	Tk-3 unconformity
201	Triassic	Lower	Thaynes Fm.	Tt					not exposed
201	Triassic	Lower	Thaynes Fm. upper unit	Ttu					
201	Triassic	Lower	Thaynes Fm. middle unit	Ttm					
201	Triassic	Lower	Thaynes Fm. lower unit	Ttl					
201	Triassic	Lower	Woodside Fm.	Tw	315 (95)				
252	Permian	middle to lower	Park City and Phosphoria Fms., undivided	Ppc	870 (265)		shallow marine	limestone	phosphatic shale is deeper water, oxygen-starved basin
252	Permian	middle to lower	Weber Sandstone	PPw	1100-1900 (335-460)		coastal eolian dune field and shallow marine shelf	sandstone	indistinct bedding highly fractured
252	Permian	Lower	Round Valley Limestone	PPv	225-400 (70-120)				
252	Permian	Lower	Doughnut Fm.	Mdo	430 (130)				interval of detachment faults
252	Permian	Upper	Humburg Fm.	Mh	700-750 (210-230)		shallow marine	calcareous sandstone, orthoquartzite, limestone	
252	Permian	Middle	Desert Limestone	Md	600-700 (180-210)				Dell Phosphatic Mbr.
252	Permian	Lower	Gardison Limestone	Mg	600 (200)				
407	Carboniferous	middle	Ophir Formation	Co	40+ (12+)		shallow marine	shale and limestone	unconformity and fault
407	Carboniferous	lower	Tintic Quartzite	Ci	500+ (150+)		beach and coastal plain	sandstone, pebbly sandstone	
513	Carboniferous	Cryogenian	Mineral Fork Formation	Zmf	1000+ (300+)		continental glaciers and shallow marine	diamictite, shale, sandstone	unconformity
513	Carboniferous	Tonian	Big Cottonwood Fm.	Zbc	16,000+ (5000+)		shallow marine, tidal flat	orthoquartzite shale	not exposed

\*ages from Cohen and others (2013, updated v.2018/08)

CORRELATION OF MAP UNITS



MOUNTAIN DELL	BIG DUTCH HOLLOW	WASHSHIP	CRANDALL CANYON
Anderson and others (in prep.)	Anderson (in prep.)	Bradley (1988)	Bradley (2001)
MOUNT AIRE	PARK CITY WEST	PARK CITY EAST	KAMAS
Crittenden (1965a)	Biek and others (2019a, in prep.)	Biek (2017, in prep.)	Crittenden and others (1969)
DROMEDARY PEAK	BRIGHTON	HEBER CITY	FRANCIS
Crittenden (1965b)	Baker and others (1966)	Bromfield and others (1970)	Woodfill (1972)
TIMPANOGOS CAVE	ASPEN GROVE	CHARLESTON	CENTER CREEK
Baker and Crittenden (1961)	Baker (1964)	Biek and Lowe (2009)	Biek and others (2003)

Location map showing Utah Geological Survey 7.5' quadrangles.

Utah Geological Survey 7.5' quadrangles and principal sources of geologic mapping.



# GEOLOGIC MAP OF THE HEBER CITY QUADRANGLE, WASATCH AND SUMMIT COUNTIES, UTAH

*by Robert F. Biek*



**MAP 295DM**

**UTAH GEOLOGICAL SURVEY**

UTAH DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

**2022**



*Blank pages are intentional for printing purposes.*



# GEOLOGIC MAP OF THE HEBER CITY QUADRANGLE, WASATCH AND SUMMIT COUNTIES, UTAH

by  
Robert F. Biek

***Cover photo:** View north-northeast to Utah Highway 32 road cut about 1 mile (1.6 km) west of the east quadrangle border. This road cut, and exposures along the north shore of Jordanelle Reservoir, reveal a chaos of Keetley Volcanic rocks interpreted to be derived from partial collapse, 35 million years ago, of the Park Premier volcano, the remnants of which are exposed near the reservoir.*

Suggested citation:

Biek, R.F., 2022, Geologic map of the Heber City quadrangle, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah: Utah Geological Survey Map 295DM, 24 p., 2 plates, scale 1:24,000, <https://doi.org/10.34191/M-295DM>.



**MAP 295DM**  
**UTAH GEOLOGICAL SURVEY**  
UTAH DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES  
**2022**



**STATE OF UTAH**  
Spencer J. Cox, Governor

**DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES**  
Joel Ferry, Executive Director

**UTAH GEOLOGICAL SURVEY**  
R. William Keach II, Director

**PUBLICATIONS**

contact

Natural Resources Map & Bookstore  
1594 W. North Temple  
Salt Lake City, UT 84116  
telephone: 801-537-3320  
toll-free: 1-888-UTAH MAP  
website: [utahmapstore.com](http://utahmapstore.com)  
email: [geostore@utah.gov](mailto:geostore@utah.gov)

**UTAH GEOLOGICAL SURVEY**

contact

1594 W. North Temple, Suite 3110  
Salt Lake City, UT 84116  
telephone: 801-537-3300  
website: [geology.utah.gov](http://geology.utah.gov)

*Although this product represents the work of professional scientists, the Utah Department of Natural Resources, Utah Geological Survey, makes no warranty, expressed or implied, regarding its suitability for a particular use, and does not guarantee accuracy or completeness of the data. The Utah Department of Natural Resources, Utah Geological Survey, shall not be liable under any circumstances for any direct, indirect, special, incidental, or consequential damages with respect to claims by users of this product. For use at 1:24,000 scale.*

*This geologic map was funded by the Utah Geological Survey and the U.S. Geological Survey National Cooperative Geologic Mapping Program through USGS STATEMAP award number G18AC00202, 2018–2019. The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the author and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies, either expressed or implied, of the U.S. Government. This map and explanatory information is submitted for publication with the understanding that the United States Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints for governmental use.*



## CONTENTS

MAP UNIT DESCRIPTIONS .....	1
QUATERNARY .....	1
Human-Derived Deposits .....	1
Alluvial Deposits .....	1
Colluvial Deposits .....	2
Glacial Deposits .....	2
Mass-Movement Deposits .....	3
Mixed-Environment Deposits .....	4
Spring deposits .....	4
Stacked-Unit Deposits .....	4
QUATERNARY AND TERTIARY .....	5
OLIGOCENE and EOCENE .....	5
Intrusive Rocks .....	7
JURASSIC .....	9
JURASSIC-TRIASSIC .....	10
TRIASSIC .....	11
PERMIAN .....	12
PERMIAN-PENNSYLVANIAN .....	13
MISSISSIPPIAN .....	13
CAMBRIAN .....	14
PRECAMBRAIN .....	14
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS .....	15
REFERENCES .....	15

## TABLES

Table 1. Trace element and whole-rock geochemistry of igneous rocks, Heber City quadrangle, Utah .....	22
Table 2. Isotopic ages of Keetley Volcanics and eastern porphyry stocks of Wasatch intrusive belt .....	23
Table 3. Selected water wells and exploration drill holes in the Heber City quadrangle .....	24
Table 4. Main mines of the Park City mining district .....	24







# GEOLOGIC MAP OF THE HEBER CITY QUADRANGLE, WASATCH AND SUMMIT COUNTIES, UTAH

by Robert F. Biek

## MAP UNIT DESCRIPTIONS

### QUATERNARY

#### Human-Derived Deposits

**Qh**     **Artificial fill** (Historical) – Engineered fill and general borrow material mapped along major highways and secondary roads that cross small drainages and near small retention ponds; also used for the Jordanelle zoned-earth dam (Sullivan and others, 1988; Dow, 1995) and Heber Valley water treatment facility; fill of variable thickness and composition should be anticipated in all developed or disturbed areas; mapped only where fill is typically 6 feet (2 m) or more thick.

**Qhd**     **Disturbed land** (Historical) – Abandoned quarry in Jordanelle stock and gravel pit in younger fan alluvium and Pleistocene Provo River alluvium at the entrance to Cottonwood Canyon; also mapped in valley bottoms once occupied by incised, intermittent streams and now occupied by ski runs at Deer Valley Resort; fill is generally less than 15 feet (5 m) thick.

**Qhm**     **Mine dumps and aggregate pit** (Historical) – Waste rock from mining operations of the Park City mining district in the northern parts of the map area, once one of the West's most important silver-lead-zinc districts (see, for example, Phillips and Krahulec, 2006); smaller deposits and disturbed areas are shown with a symbol (quarry, prospect, adit, or shaft); thickness highly variable to several tens of feet.

#### Alluvial Deposits

**Qal<sub>1</sub>**     **Modern stream alluvium** (Holocene) – Moderately to well-sorted sand, silt, clay, and pebble to boulder gravel along the Provo River, Snake Creek, and Lake Creek; includes river- and stream-channel and floodplain deposits, and low terraces several feet above current stream level; locally includes small alluvial-fan and colluvial deposits; extent is poorly constrained near Heber City due to subtle geomorphology and modification by agriculture and development;

locally underlain by and interbedded with calcareous tufa along Snake Creek; boreholes indicate that alluvium at the location of the Jordanelle Dam, of which modern stream alluvium is only the uppermost part, is 30 to 100 feet (9–30 m) thick; 0 to about 30 feet (0–9 m) thick.

**Qaly**     **Young stream alluvium** (Holocene to upper Pleistocene) – Moderately to well-sorted sand, silt, clay, and pebble to boulder gravel mapped in major upland drainages; deposited in active stream channels and floodplains; locally includes small alluvial-fan and colluvial deposits adjacent to channel margins, and minor terraces as much as 10 feet (3 m) above current stream level; locally includes historical debris-flow and debris-flood deposits; 0 to about 30 feet (0–9 m) thick.

**Qa<sub>2</sub>**     **Valley-fill deposits** (Holocene? to upper Pleistocene?) – Moderately sorted sand, silt, and pebble to boulder gravel that forms broad, planar, gently south-sloping surface of northern Heber Valley; has moderately well-developed pedogenic calcium carbonate in upper part of deposit (stage II to II+ carbonate of Birkeland and others, 1991) and is locally blanketed by loess veneer; likely deposited as glacial outwash in braided-stream channels and is thus principally late Pleistocene in age, but may locally include veneer of Holocene alluvial deposits; probably less than several tens of feet thick; these deposits form the upper part of basin-fill deposits of Heber Valley that locally exceed 450 feet (140 m) thick, based on water wells logs; based on a gravity survey, Peterson (1970) estimated slightly more than 800 feet (245 m) of basin fill in the southwestern part of Heber Valley, just south of this map area.

**Qaf<sub>1</sub>**     **Young fan alluvium** (Holocene) – Poorly to moderately sorted, weakly to non-stratified, clay- to boulder-size sediment deposited principally by debris flows and debris floods at the mouths of active drainages; forms characteristic, mostly undissected alluvial-fan morphology whose upper parts exhibit abundant boulders and debris-flow levees that radiate away from fan apex; equivalent to the upper part of young and middle fan alluvium



(Qafy), but differentiated because Qaf<sub>1</sub> typically forms small, isolated, undissected fan surfaces; probably less than 20 feet (6 m) thick.

**Qaf<sub>2</sub> Middle fan alluvium** (Holocene to upper Pleistocene) – Poorly to moderately sorted, weakly to non-stratified, clay- to boulder-size sediment deposited principally by debris flows and debris floods at the mouths of active drainages; forms characteristic alluvial-fan morphology that is dissected by modern drainages; equivalent to the stratigraphically lower part of young and middle fan alluvium (Qafy); mapped in the southwestern corner of the map area; probably about 40 feet (12 m) thick.

**Qafy Young and middle fan alluvium, undivided** (Holocene to upper? Pleistocene) – Poorly to moderately sorted, weakly to non-stratified, clay- to boulder-size sediment deposited principally by debris flows and debris floods at the mouths of active drainages; colluvium locally constitutes a significant part adjacent to hillsides; forms both active depositional surfaces (Qaf<sub>1</sub> equivalent) and typically inactive surfaces incised by small streams (Qaf<sub>2</sub> equivalent); steeper, upper parts of fans are commonly incised; probably less than 40 feet (12 m) thick.

**Qafo Old fan alluvium** (upper? to middle? Pleistocene) – Poorly to moderately sorted, weakly to non-stratified, clay- to boulder-size sediment deposited principally as debris flows and debris floods along valley margins; deeply incised by modern drainages, but still exhibits characteristic fan morphology; upper parts of fans locally receive debris-flow and colluvial sediment from adjacent slopes; characterized by well-developed pedogenic calcium carbonate in upper part of deposit; exposed thickness as much as several tens of feet.

Map unit includes small area of apparently faulted, unconsolidated deposits immediately south of the west abutment of Jordanelle Dam originally interpreted to be pre-Keetley alluvium and colluvium (USBR, 1986; see also Sullivan and others, 1988; Dow, 1995). Exposures are poor in this area, and several trenches excavated during geotechnical investigations are backfilled. I saw no evidence of pre-Keetley alluvium in this area—regionally this older unit is distinctive and slope-forming with rounded quartzite cobbles and boulders, no volcanic clasts, and a reddish-brown mudstone and sandstone matrix (Toc of Bryant, 1990; Biek, 2017; Biek and others, 2019a). If such pre-Keetley alluvial and colluvial strata were correctly identified in trenches, it is no longer apparent and appears to be buried by younger surficial deposits of

locally derived, subangular quartzite and volcanic clasts that I interpret as late to middle Pleistocene fan alluvium.

## Colluvial Deposits

**Qc Colluvium** (Holocene to upper Pleistocene) – Poorly to moderately sorted, clay- to boulder-size, locally derived sediment deposited on moderate slopes and in shallow depressions principally by slope wash and soil creep; locally includes talus and mixed alluvial and colluvial deposits too small to map separately, and locally grades downslope into deposits of mixed alluvial and colluvial origin; because most bedrock in the quadrangle is covered by at least a veneer of colluvium, only the larger, thicker deposits are mapped; typically less than about 30 feet (0–9 m) thick.

**Qco Older colluvium** (upper to middle? Pleistocene) – Poorly to moderately sorted, clay- to boulder-size, locally derived sediment deposited on moderate slopes principally by slope wash and soil creep; forms mostly inactive surfaces incised by modern drainages, but uphill areas locally receive colluvium from adjacent hillsides; mapped in the greater Cottonwood Canyon area west of Jordanelle Dam; typically less than about 30 feet (0–9 m) thick.

## Glacial Deposits

Alpine glacial deposits in the Wasatch Range are of the Pinedale glaciation and an older glaciation of uncertain but likely Bull Lake age; relatively small post-Pinedale moraines are present in some cirque basins. Pinedale deposits in their type area in the Wind River Range of Wyoming are about 13 to 30 ka, with glacial maxima about 16 to 23 ka based on cosmogenic <sup>26</sup>Al and <sup>10</sup>Be dating (Gosse and others, 1995; Chadwick and others, 1997; Phillips and others, 1997; Pierce and others, 2018), and are roughly coeval with the late Wisconsin glaciation, global Last Glacial Maximum (LGM, about 19.0 to 26.5 ka; Clark and others, 2009), and Marine Oxygen Isotope Stage 2 (MIS 2, 14 to 29 ka; data from Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005). In contrast, deposits of the Bull Lake alpine glacial advance in their type area in the Wind River Range are about 150 ka (Sharp and others, 2003; Pierce and others, 2011; Pierce and others, 2018) and are roughly coeval with the Illinoian glaciation or MIS 6 (130 to 191 ka; data from Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005).

Glaciation in the map area has not been studied in detail, but it probably followed patterns of Wasatch Front glaciation and was influenced by late Pleistocene Lake Bonneville. In the Wasatch Range, maximum ice extent during the Pinedale glaciation occurred about 17.5 to 22 ka (Labbs and Munroe, 2016; Quirk and others, 2018, 2020) with deglaciation and minor moraine-building pauses lasting through about 13 ka



(Labbs and others, 2011; Labbs and Munroe, 2016; Quirk and others, 2018). Laabs and Munroe (2016) described the problems of relative timing of glacial advances and retreats and the rise and fall of Lake Bonneville. Based on  $^{10}\text{Be}$  cosmogenic exposure ages and stratigraphic relationships between lake and glacial deposits, they reported that Pinedale terminal moraines at the entrances of Little Cottonwood and Bells Canyons were occupied near the time of or possibly before the Bonneville highstand around 18 ka and subsequently abandoned while the lake continued to overflow at the Provo level, consistent with stratigraphic studies of Godsey and others (2005). Quirk and others (2018) used coupled glacier energy–mass balance and ice-flow models to reconstruct glacier extents in Big and Little Cottonwood Canyons and American Fork Canyon that indicate Pinedale glaciers reached and abandoned their maximum extent prior to the Bonneville highstand. Although undated, the proximity of the Park City-area Pinedale-age glacial deposits to those of the western Wasatch Range suggests that they too likely reached their maximum extent about 17.5 ka.

Small cirque-floor moraines in the highest parts of several drainages in the nearby Wasatch Range show that these basins held small, high-elevation glaciers after the Pinedale retreat, possibly during the Younger Dryas period of global cooling 12,800 to 11,500 years ago. At this same time, a nearly desiccated Lake Bonneville rose to about 60 feet [18 m] above the historical average level of today's Great Salt Lake, forming the Gilbert-episode lake (Oviatt, 2014, 2015). However, the small cirque-floor moraines may be slightly older—Quirk and others (2018) reported a mean  $^{10}\text{Be}$  exposure age for young moraines near Solitude Resort of  $15.5 \pm 0.8$  ka, suggesting they may be coincident with the latter part of Heinrich Stadial 1, a period of disruption of global ocean circulation due to collapse of northern hemisphere ice shelves 18.0 to 14.5 ka (Álvarez-Solas and others, 2011).

#### Qgmp<sub>1</sub>, Qgmp<sub>2</sub>?

**Glacial till of Pinedale age** (upper Pleistocene) – Non-stratified, poorly sorted clay, silt, sand, gravel, cobbles, and boulders; clasts are matrix supported, subangular to subrounded; terminal moraine is poorly developed along Pine Creek at the western quadrangle boundary; Pinedale age is based on moderately well preserved morainal topography and weak soil development; map patterns suggest thickness in excess of 200 feet (60 m) near the western map boundary.

Two pulses of apparent Pinedale-age till are mapped in the nearby Park City West quadrangle, with Qgmp<sub>2</sub> being older and reaching farther down slope than Qgmp<sub>1</sub> (Biek and others, 2019a); I continue that convention here although no Qgmp<sub>2</sub> deposits are apparent in this map area. No numerical age control is available for glacial deposits in the map area.

The largest complex of Pinedale-age glacial sediment is along Pine Creek, which was fed by ice from cirque basins eroded into the eastern flank of Clayton Peak in the adjacent Brighton quadrangle. Two small deposits are also mapped in Ontario Canyon. Query indicates morainal deposits along Pine Creek that extend downslope of the main Pinedale deposits and that may be better assigned to the older (Qgmp<sub>2</sub>) phase. The main mass of younger Pinedale-age glacial till (Qgmp<sub>1</sub>) extends down to an elevation of about 7400 feet (2255 m) in Pine Creek canyon.

**Qgmb Older glacial till of likely Bull Lake age** (middle Pleistocene) – Non-stratified, poorly sorted clay, silt, sand, gravel, cobbles, and boulders; similar to glacial till of Pinedale age, but glacial landforms are absent, clasts typically appear more weathered (especially clasts apparently derived from the Clayton Peak stock, which tend to be grussy), and soils tend to be better developed; map patterns suggest thickness in excess of 200 feet (60 m) near the western map boundary.

Unit Qgmb is also mapped at an unusual boulder deposit along Pine Creek just north of Springer Hollow that consists entirely of subrounded granitic boulders typically 3 feet (1 m) but as much as 20 feet (6 m) in diameter. Boulders are virtually all derived from a single intrusive source, possibly the Clayton Peak granodiorite, with rare boulders possibly from the Valeo porphyry. Boulders commonly have a well-developed Fe-Mn patina, but are not grussy weathering. This boulder field may have originated as a rock avalanche onto a Bull Lake-age glacier that subsequently carried the boulders to their present position. The boulder field is surrounded and nearly buried by young stream alluvium of Pine Creek.

#### Mass-Movement Deposits

##### Qmsh, Qms, Qms?

**Landslide deposits** (Holocene to upper Pleistocene) – Unsorted, locally derived material deposited by rotational and translational movement; composed of clay-to boulder-size debris as well as large bedrock blocks; characterized by hummocky topography, numerous internal scarps, chaotic bedding attitudes, and common small ponds, marshy depressions, and meadows; query indicates areas of unusual morphology that may be due to landsliding; two landslides with definitive historical movement (Qmsh) are present east of the U.S. Highway 40 road cut, and an additional historical landslide is mapped just east of the Provo River south of Jordanelle Dam; thickness highly variable, but larger deposits exceed several tens of feet thick; most mapped



landslides are newly recognized, the result of newly available lidar data, more detailed and accurate map production techniques, and our modern attention given to understanding surficial deposits and their relationship to the built environment; just one landslide, for example, is shown on the map of Bromfield and others (1970; the focus of their work was bedrock geology, as it was for most maps of that era) whereas several landslides and suspected slides are shown on the reconnaissance inventory map of Elliott and Harty (2010); undivided as to inferred age because even landslides that have subdued morphology (suggesting that they are older, weathered, and have not experienced recent, large-scale movement) may continue to exhibit slow creep or are capable of renewed movement if stability thresholds are exceeded (Ashland, 2003).

Vegetation and widespread colluvium may conceal unmapped landslides, and more detailed imaging techniques such as lidar (which was not available for more than half of the map area during this fieldwork) may show that many slopes host surficial deposits that reveal evidence of creep or shallow landsliding. Understanding the location, age, and stability of landslides, and of slopes that may host as-yet unrecognized landslides, requires detailed geotechnical investigations.

- Qmt** **Talus** (Holocene to upper Pleistocene) – Very poorly sorted, locally derived, angular, boulder-size and lesser fine-grained interstitial sediment deposited by rockfall on and at the base of steep slopes; characterized by angular boulder fields that lack vegetation; about 0 to 30 feet (0–9 m) thick.

### Mixed-Environment Deposits

- Qac** **Alluvium and colluvium** (Holocene to upper Pleistocene) – Poorly to moderately sorted, generally poorly stratified, clay- to boulder-size, locally derived sediment (colluvium) deposited in swales, small drainages, and the upper reaches of larger ephemeral streams by slope-wash and creep processes; sediment is locally reworked by ephemeral streams, which is not differentiated here due to map scale; generally less than 30 feet (9 m) thick.

**Qaco, Qaco?**

**Older alluvium and colluvium** (upper to middle? Pleistocene) – Similar to alluvium and colluvium (**Qac**), but forms incised, inactive surfaces as much as several tens of feet above modern drainages; query indicates deposit in upper reaches of Dutch Hollow that is of higher elevation than adjacent mixed alluvium and colluvium, but offers no exposures—Bromfield and others (1970) mapped this area as the Valeo stock; probably about 20 to 30 feet (6–9 m) thick.

- Qmc** **Landslides and colluvium** (Holocene to upper Pleistocene) – Unsorted, locally derived, clay- to boulder-size material; mapped where possible landslide deposits are difficult to identify and possibly covered by colluvium; most deposits probably less than 30 feet (9 m) thick.

- Qmtc** **Talus and colluvium** (Holocene to upper Pleistocene) – Poorly sorted, cobble- to boulder-size angular debris and finer-grained interstitial sediment deposited principally by rockfall and slope wash; talus and colluvium are common on steep slopes across the map area, but are mapped only where they conceal contacts or form broad aprons below cliffs of resistant bedrock units; probably less than 20 feet (6 m) thick.

### Spring deposits

- Qst** **Calcareous spring tufa deposits** (Holocene to lower? Pleistocene) – Light-brown to pale-grayish-yellow, highly porous and vuggy calcareous spring tufa that forms mounds and broad terraces in the Midway area of northwestern Heber Valley; forms the largest concentration of calcareous hot spring tufa in Utah (Kohler, 1979; Carreón-Díazconti and others, 2003); Homestead Crater, shaped like an old-fashioned beehive, is the tallest mound at 55 feet (16 m) high; because it is relatively soft and easily worked, tufa is commonly used as a local building and landscape stone; tufa is derived from thermal spring waters whose average temperature is 88.2° to 113.9°F (31.2°–45.5°C), a result of deep circulation of precipitation and snowmelt in the nearby Wasatch Range (Mayo and Loucks, 1995); Carreón-Díazconti and others (2003) investigated subsurface mixing of thermal and non-thermal groundwater of the Midway system and reported deep circulation to a depth of about 1.2 miles (2 km) where temperatures are about 300°F (150°C); McBride and others (2010) used high-resolution ground-penetrating radar to map and understand the growth of tufa deposits northwest of Memorial Hill; maximum exposed thickness is several tens of feet.

### Stacked-Unit Deposits

**Qaf<sub>2</sub>/Qst**

**Middle fan alluvium over calcareous spring tufa deposits** (Holocene to upper Pleistocene/Holocene to lower? Pleistocene) – Middle fan alluvium that forms a veneer over and interfingers with calcareous spring tufa deposits in the Midway area; forms a surface of slightly lower elevation with fewer tufa exposures than adjacent **Qaf<sub>0</sub>/Qst** deposits; tufa is pale grayish yellow, weathers light brown, and is highly porous.



rous and vuggy; tufa is exposed at and near mapped springs and likely underlies much of the surrounding surface where it is concealed by and interbedded with fan alluvium and tufa-cemented fan alluvium; tufa, interbedded with basin-fill sediments, is reported to depths of nearly 170 feet (52 m) in monitoring wells (Wallace, 2005) and to 392 feet (120 m) in a water well (Mayo and others, 2005) near the Midway fish hatchery immediately south of the map area.

#### Qafo/Qst

**Old fan alluvium over calcareous spring tufa deposits** (Holocene to upper? Pleistocene/Holocene to lower? Pleistocene) – Old fan alluvium that forms a discontinuous veneer over and interfingers with calcareous spring tufa deposits in northwestern Heber Valley; tufa is pale grayish yellow, weathers light brown, and is highly porous and vuggy; tufa is exposed at and near mapped springs and likely underlies much of the surrounding surface where it is concealed by and interbedded with fan alluvium; forms a slightly higher surface with more exposed tufa than Qaf<sub>2</sub>/Qst deposits.

#### Qafo/PPw

**Old fan alluvium over Weber Sandstone** (Holocene to upper? Pleistocene/Lower Permian to Upper Pennsylvanian) – Old fan alluvium that consists almost entirely of reworked Weber Sandstone debris; mapped near the old Mayflower mine; surficial cover probably less than about 20 feet (6 m) thick.

#### Qmt/PPv

**Talus over Round Valley Limestone** (Holocene to upper Pleistocene/Lower Pennsylvanian) – Talus derived from the Weber Sandstone that conceals upper Round Valley strata in the upper reaches of Dutch Hollow; surficial cover mostly less than about 15 feet (5 m) thick.

#### unconformity

### QUATERNARY AND TERTIARY

**QTa Old alluvial deposits** (lower Pleistocene to Pliocene?) – Pebble- to cobble-size, rounded, mostly quartzite gravel mapped in the northeastern corner of the map area nearly 400 feet (120 m) above the Provo River floodplain, which is now flooded by Jordanelle Reservoir; interpreted to be deposits of the ancestral Provo River likely sourced from the Weber Sandstone and Uinta Mountain Group on the southwestern flank of the Uinta Mountains; probably less than about 20 feet (6 m) thick.

#### unconformity

### OLIGOCENE and EOCENE

The Keetley Volcanics are late Eocene to earliest Oligocene volcanic mudflow breccias, lava flows, fine-grained tuffaceous strata, conglomerate, and debris-avalanche deposits of intermediate composition that rest subhorizontally in a structural and topographic saddle between the Wasatch Range and Uinta Mountains. The Keetley Volcanics are regionally subdivided into three lithologic units: a basal unit of fine-grained tuff, lapilli tuff, thin lahar deposits, and sandstone and conglomerate at least locally deposited in a lake; a middle thick unit of volcanic mudflow breccia, debris-avalanche deposits, and lesser conglomerate known as the volcanic mudflow breccia of Silver Creek (northern exposures) and the volcanic mudflow breccia of Coyote Canyon (southern exposures; see note below); and an upper unit of lava flows and lesser volcanic mudflow breccia (Bryant, 1992; Leveinen, 1994). Keetley strata are andesite and rhyodacite by field classification, but most samples chemically range from basaltic trachyandesite and latite to andesite using the classification of LeBas and others (1986) (table 1) (Bromfield and others, 1977; Hanson, 1995; Feher, 1997; Vogel and others, 1997, 2001; Biek, 2017). Woodfill (1972) and Leveinen (1994) provided petrographic descriptions of many of the Keetley units described below.

The Keetley Volcanics lie at the eastern end of the east-west-trending, 28-mile-long (45 km) Wasatch igneous belt. As described by John (1987, 1989a), Hanson (1995), Feher (1997), Vogel and others (1997, 2001), Beno and others (2017), and Smyk and others (2018), the belt consists of nearly a dozen high-potassium, calc-alkaline Tertiary intrusions. From west to east these include three phaneritic stocks (Little Cottonwood, Alta, and Clayton Peak), six porphyry stocks (Flagstaff, Ontario, Mayflower, Glencoe, Valeo, and Pine Creek stocks collectively known as the Park City porphyries, to which I would add the Jordanelle porphyry), the Park Premier porphyry, and the Indian Hollow plug. Except for the more mafic Clayton Peak stock, the silica content of the plutons generally increases to the west (Hanson, 1995). The depth of emplacement of the exposed stocks increases to the west, from less than 0.6 mile (1 km) for the porphyritic Park Premier and Indian Hollow intrusions to about 6.5 miles (11 km) for the phaneritic Little Cottonwood stock, due to uplift and rotation on the Wasatch fault (John, 1987, 1989a). The east-west alignment of the Wasatch igneous belt is a manifestation of the long tectonic history of the building and ultimate demise of the Proterozoic supercontinent Rodinia (see, for example, Vogel and others, 2001; Dehler and Sprinkel, 2005; Biek, 2018; Sprinkel, 2018; Clark, 2020), which created an east-trending structural belt of weakened crust known as the Uinta-Cottonwood arch. The Wasatch igneous belt is between about 30 and 40 million years old (Crittenden and others, 1973; Bromfield and others, 1977; Vogel and others, 1997, 2001; Constenius and others, 2011; Smyk and others,



2018) (table 2). Nelson (1971, 1976) reported on early Oligocene vertebrate fossils in Keetley tuffaceous strata near Peoa, and these Keetley strata locally produce petrified tree stumps and fossil wood.

Keetley strata are intruded by both the Park Premier porphyry, which is the center of a several-square-mile area of hydrothermal alteration and precious-metal mineralization (Willes, 1962), and the Indian Hollow plug, a volcanic neck surrounded by a radial dike swarm (Bromfield, 1968; Woodfill, 1972; Hanson, 1995). The Indian Hollow plug and Park Premier porphyry have long been thought to be the magmatic source of most of the Keetley Volcanics (Bromfield, 1968; Woodfill, 1972; John, 1989b; Bryant, 1992; Leveinen, 1994; Hanson, 1995; Feher and others, 1996; Feher, 1997). However, Smyk and others (2018) reported U-Pb crystallization ages on zircon from the Wasatch igneous belt that are mostly younger than previous K-Ar and  $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$  ages of these same units and so suggested that the Keetley Volcanics may have been sourced from the Valeo, Pine Creek, and Flagstaff stocks. Still, zircon ages record the crystallization age of a stock, whereas biotite, hornblende, and sanidine record the age of eruptive products, which are typically older than their parent stock. The preservation of the Indian Hollow vent area clearly shows it was one source of the Keetley Volcanics.

The Keetley Volcanics were deposited in an area of considerable paleotopographic relief and lie unconformably over Paleozoic and Mesozoic units; the bulk of the formation fills paleotopography developed on comparatively non-resistant Triassic strata (Boutwell, 1912; Forrester, 1937; O'Toole, 1951; Woodfill, 1972; Feher, 1997). Near Heber City, the Keetley Volcanics reach their maximum thickness in excess of 2500 feet (760 m) (Bryant, 1992; Leveinen, 1994; Biek and others, 2003).

The Keetley Volcanics are roughly time-equivalent to the Norwood Formation preserved in northern Utah back-valley areas (Coogan and King, 2016), and to the Moroni Formation preserved in central Utah's back valleys (Constenius and others, 2011). Bryant (1990; see also Eardley, 1944; Bryant and others, 1989) noted that the East Canyon graben (between Park City and Morgan Valley) contains a facies that is transitional between mudflow breccia of the Keetley Volcanics to the south and finer grained tuff and tuffaceous sediment of the type Norwood in Morgan Valley to the north. Coogan and King (2016) speculated that the Wasatch igneous belt may be the source of volcanic material in the mostly finer grained Norwood strata.

The volcanic mudflow breccia of Silver Creek (**Tksc**) was named by Bromfield and Crittenden (1971) for exposures that border the valley of Silver Creek in the Park City East quadrangle. They noted that these strata are similar and in part equivalent to the volcanic breccia of Coyote Canyon (**Tkcc**), named for exposures at Coyote Canyon immediately north of Heber City (Bromfield and others, 1970). We now understand that the Coyote Canyon moniker pertains to volcanic mud-

flow breccias south of inferred vent areas at the Park Premier porphyry intrusions and the Indian Hollow plug, whereas the name Silver Creek was applied to similar deposits on the northern flanks of the vents. I follow this entrenched terminology, using the Provo River as an arbitrary divide between the two equivalent units.

**Tkcf Lava flows of Coyote Canyon** (lower Oligocene to upper Eocene) – Gray latite porphyry lava flows with 20% to 30% phenocrysts of plagioclase commonly 1 to 2 mm and as much as 5 mm in size, and less abundant and smaller phenocrysts of hornblende and biotite in a fine-grained groundmass; caps the volcanic breccia of Coyote Canyon north of Heber City; 200 to 250 feet (60–75 m) thick.

**Tkcc Volcanic mudflow breccia of Coyote Canyon** (lower Oligocene to upper Eocene) – Andesitic volcanic mudflow breccia similar to that of the volcanic breccia of Silver Creek; individual beds typically heterolithic, rarely monolithic; on the south side of Jordanelle Reservoir map unit includes mostly lava flows and lesser volcanic mudflow breccia, all dipping steeply and locally deeply altered; elsewhere, locally contains thin andesitic lava flows whose outcrop is discontinuous and impractical to map separately; weathers to rounded hills, typically with a deep regolith and poor exposure, and commonly covered with a lag of volcanic boulders; best exposures are in Highway 32 road cuts south of Jordanelle Reservoir; deposited as lahars (debris flows of volcanic material) and lava flows on the distal flanks of stratovolcanoes that once towered over the eastern stocks of the Wasatch igneous belt (Bromfield, 1968; Woodfill, 1972; John, 1989b; Bryant, 1992; Leveinen, 1994; Hanson, 1995; Feher and others, 1996; Feher, 1997; Smyk and others, 2018); as much as 1500 feet (460 m) thick northeast of Heber Valley.

Exposures in the northeastern corner of the map area—especially along the shoreline of Jordanelle Reservoir (sections 28, 32, and 33, T. 2 S., R. 4 E.) and in a Highway 32 road cut west of Charcoal Canyon—show vertical and steeply dipping lava flows and lesser mudflow breccias. Some exposures are cut by variously oriented shear zones characterized by fine-grained, reddish-brown fault gouge. Jigsaw clasts are locally common in matrix-supported volcanic mudflows. Hacker and others (2014) and Biek and others (2019b) found such clasts to be characteristic of gigantic volcanic landslides. Deformed clasts such as these are absent in primary, undeformed volcanic mudflow deposits (broken clasts would be torn apart during flow movement), but are characteristic features of volcanic debris avalanches; the clasts appear to record brittle failure under high confining pressures (Pierson and others, 2018).



I interpret these steeply dipping strata as part of the Silver Creek chaos, which resulted from flank collapse of the volcano that once stood above the Park Premier porphyry stocks (see, for example, Biek, 2017, 2018). Bromfield and others (1970) mapped this area as volcanic mudflows of Coyote Canyon cut by inferred intrusive andesitic porphyries, some of which were interpreted to be near vertical. Rocks in this area are mostly poorly exposed and would have been even more so prior to erosion along the Jordanelle Reservoir shoreline and road cuts made for Highway 32. Even today, only a short distance from the shoreline or road cuts, it is virtually impossible to tell the orientation of beds in this area, rendering the southward extent of the chaos uncertain. It appears to be buried by subhorizontal (and thus post-collapse) volcanic mudflow breccia of Coyote Canyon (Tkcc) in sections 4 and 5, T. 3 S., R. 5 E., south of Highway 32.

#### Tkcc(w)

**Brecciated Weber Sandstone block in volcanic mudflow breccia of Coyote Canyon** (lower Oligocene to upper Eocene/lower Permian? to Middle Pennsylvanian) – Resistant, brecciated block of Weber Sandstone “floating” in volcanic mudflow breccia of Coyote Canyon; forms one house-size block mapped near the base of the Keetley Volcanics south of Jordanelle Dam and east of State Route 40; Biek (2017) described a larger such block just to the north in the Park City East quadrangle.

#### Tksc

**Volcanic mudflow breccia of Silver Creek** (lower Oligocene to upper Eocene) – Andesitic volcanic mudflow breccia similar to that of Coyote Canyon, but mapped only at the northern edge of the quadrangle adjacent to Jordanelle Reservoir where it was intruded by the Park Premier porphyry stocks; hydrothermally altered, as shown by stippled pattern; like the volcanic breccia of Coyote Canyon, represents deposition as lahars (debris flows of volcanic material) on the distal flanks of stratovolcanoes that once towered over the eastern stocks of the Wasatch igneous belt; as much as 1000 feet (300 m) thick in the adjacent Park City East quadrangle (Biek, 2017).

#### Tkf

**Lava flows associated with Park Premier porphyry stocks** (lower Oligocene to upper Eocene) – Multiple, petrographically distinct lava flows of andesitic, dacitic, trachytic, and latitic composition; most are porphyritic with 5% to 30% phenocrysts of plagioclase and lesser hornblende, and some contain minor biotite; contains minor volcanic mudflow breccia, locally with deformed jigsaw clasts, suggesting this unit may also be part of the Silver Creek chaos; commonly hydrothermally altered, as described by Willes (1962), including widespread

chloritization and iron staining, and local silicification, alunitization, and clay alteration; may be roughly equivalent to the lava flows and volcanic mudflow breccia of Sage Hen Hollow (Tksh; Biek, 2017); most of this unit was mapped by Bromfield and others (1970) and John (1989b) as volcanic breccia of Coyote Canyon (Tkcb), but nearly all of these rocks appear to be a variety of andesitic, dacitic, and latite lava flows; thickness uncertain, but likely exceeds 1500 feet (450 m) thick.

#### Tksh

**Lava flows and volcanic mudflow breccia of Sage Hen Hollow** (upper Eocene) – Small, poor exposure mapped north of the Hawkeye-McHenry fault zone at the northern edge of the quadrangle; as described by Biek (2017), consists of multiple, petrographically distinct lava flows of andesitic, dacitic, and latitic composition; most are porphyritic with 5% to 30% phenocrysts of plagioclase and lesser hornblende, and some contain minor biotite; includes distinctive hornblende latite porphyry with 10% to 15% hornblende phenocrysts 1 to 5 mm in length in a greenish-gray, fine-grained matrix; also includes distinctive lithic ash-flow tuff with light-greenish-gray, fine-grained, andesitic, hornblende porphyry lithic fragments in a darker, grayish-red, fine-grained matrix; map patterns suggest a thickness of about 1300 feet (400 m) in the adjacent Park City East quadrangle, but only a few tens of feet of the unit is present in this map area.

### Intrusive Rocks

Where available, zircon U-Pb crystallization ages are reported below for the Park City and Park Premier porphyries. Smyk and others (2018) noted that U-Pb ages of these porphyries are generally younger than their K-Ar and  $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$  ages on biotite, hornblende and feldspar (33 to 36 Ma vs. 32 to 42 Ma) (table 2). Because of zircon’s higher closure temperature, U-Pb ages more closely reflect porphyry emplacement ages. Vogel and others (1997) and Smyk and others (2018) noted that many of the hornblende ages are anomalously old, possibly due to excess argon.

Using the classification scheme of LeBas and others (1986), the Park City and Park Premier porphyries are latites, dacites, and trachytes and form the eastern intrusions of the Wasatch igneous belt. These high-potassium, calc-alkaline intrusions were likely derived from partial melting of the lower crust (Presnell, 1997; Vogel and others, 1997; Vogel and others, 2001; Symk and others, 2018). Each porphyry has distinctive petrographic characteristics, but significant internal variation and typically poor exposure makes mapping porphyry-on-porphyry contacts difficult and commonly highly interpretative. I have largely followed the more detailed mapping of Bromfield and others (1970) while stripping away areas that appear to be of colluvial and alluvial origin.



- Tia Dikes of intermediate composition** (lower Oligocene to upper Eocene) – Medium- to dark-gray andesitic porphyry dikes typically containing 15% to 20% phenocrysts of plagioclase and minor hornblende; only a few dikes, intruded into the Valeo, Flagstaff, Glencoe, and Pine Creek stocks and adjacent country rock, are mapped due to limited, typically poor exposure; dikes are typically 1 to 10 feet (0.3–3 m) in width.
- Tpp Park Premier porphyry stock** (lower Oligocene to upper Eocene) – Medium-gray to greenish-gray porphyritic latite, dacite, andesite, and trachyte, subvolcanic porphyry intrusions containing about 25% phenocrysts (typically 1 to 3 mm in size) of plagioclase, hornblende, and biotite, and rare phenocrysts of pyroxene; as interpreted by John (1987, 1989b) and John and others (1997), the Park Premier stock comprises five small, granodiorite porphyry and andesite porphyry intrusions emplaced over a span of about 3 million years that are the center of intense hydrothermal alteration, stock-work quartz veins, and low-grade copper-gold-molybdenum mineralization; the main, oldest phase of the Park Premier stock is a biotite-hornblende andesite to dacite porphyry intruded by two microaplitic granodiorite porphyries and by two dacite or andesite porphyries (John and others, 1997); hydrothermal alteration, as described and mapped by Willes (1962) and John (1987, 1989b), is characterized by widespread chloritization and iron-staining, and local silicification, alunitization, and propylitic and clay alteration; includes rhyodacite of Bone Hollow, which Bromfield and Crittenden (1971) reported is characterized by larger phenocrysts than the Park Premier stock but which is likely only a poorly expressed textural variety of that intrusion; because of widespread alteration and exceptionally poor exposure, I map the porphyry as a single unit, with a stippled pattern that shows more highly altered areas; mapped east of Jordanelle Reservoir in the northeastern corner of the map area where it intrudes the volcanic mud-flow breccia of Silver Creek (Tksc), lava flows associated with Park Premier porphyry stocks (Tkf), and Thaynes strata (Tt) now exposed as discordant roof pendant blocks; Bromfield and others (1977) reported K-Ar ages of biotite ( $33.9 \pm 1.2$  Ma) and hornblende ( $35.2 \pm 1.0$  Ma) from the main phase of the Park Premier stock in the adjacent Francis quadrangle; John and others (1997) reported K-Ar ages of  $31.60 \pm 0.39$  Ma (biotite) and  $32.38 \pm 0.24$  Ma (hornblende) from the Bone Hollow porphyry, and an  $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$  age on hydrothermal biotite of  $33.53 \pm 0.09$  Ma and on hypogene alunite of  $31.42 \pm 0.10$  Ma, suggesting that high-sulfidation gold mineralization is about 2 million years younger than porphyry copper-gold mineralization and alteration; Smyk and others (2018) reported a U-Pb zircon crystallization age of  $33.69 \pm 0.60$  Ma from a sample from the Park City East quadrangle.
- Tppb Park Premier porphyry, intrusive breccia phase** (lower Oligocene to upper Eocene) – Medium-gray to greenish-gray porphyritic dacite with abundant, angular, pebble- to cobble-size fragments of Thaynes strata; interpreted to be marginal breccia phase of the Park Premier porphyry intrusion; forms a near dip slope several tens of feet thick.
- Tf Flagstaff Mountain porphyry** (upper Eocene) – Granodiorite porphyry with 20% to 30% phenocrysts of plagioclase commonly 0.5 inch (1 cm) long and abundant smaller white plagioclase and lesser black hornblende and minor biotite phenocrysts in a greenish-gray, microcrystalline matrix; commonly altered with epidote, chlorite, and sericite; intrudes Valeo stock (Bromfield and others, 1970; Scales, 1972) and Weber Sandstone, Deseret and Gardison Limestones, and Tintic Quartzite; Smyk and others (2018) reported a U-Pb zircon crystallization age of  $35.00 \pm 0.37$  Ma (table 2).
- Tfb Flagstaff Mountain porphyry, intrusive breccia phase** (upper Eocene) – Granodiorite porphyry breccia comprises autobrecciated Flagstaff and Valeo stocks and angular fragments of quartzite and limestone; poorly exposed and mapped following Bromfield and others (1970) along the eastern side of the intrusion where it intrudes the Valeo stock, but not observed in Ontario Canyon; likely several tens of feet thick.
- To Ontario porphyry** (upper Eocene) – Granodiorite porphyry with 30% to 35% phenocrysts of plagioclase commonly 0.5 inch (1 cm) long and abundant smaller white plagioclase and black hornblende and biotite phenocrysts in a light-gray, microcrystalline matrix; lacks quartz phenocrysts; intrudes the Weber Sandstone in the northwestern part of the map area.
- Tpc Pine Creek porphyry** (upper Eocene) – Granodiorite porphyry with 25% to 30% phenocrysts of plagioclase commonly 0.5 inch (1 cm) long, and less abundant biotite and hornblende phenocrysts in a medium-gray, microcrystalline matrix; lacks obvious quartz, typically has fewer phenocrysts than the Valeo stock, and is typically lighter in color and more crystal-rich than the Flagstaff stock; Smyk and others (2018) reported a U-Pb zircon crystallization age of  $34.85 \pm 0.39$  Ma from a sample from Pine Creek Canyon.



- Tv Valeo porphyry** (upper Eocene) – Granodiorite porphyry with 30% to 50% phenocrysts with abundant plagioclase phenocrysts and lesser amounts of quartz, biotite, and hornblende in a microcrystalline, medium- to dark-gray matrix; plagioclase phenocrysts are as much as 0.5 inch (1 cm) long; typically more phenocryst-rich than other stocks of the Park City porphyries; Scales (1972) noted that quartz phenocrysts are resorbed and thus appear rounded and about 2 mm in size; intrudes Glencoe stock (John and others, 1997); Smyk and others (2018) reported a U-Pb zircon crystallization age of  $35.38 \pm 0.39$  Ma.
- Tg Glencoe porphyry** (lower Oligocene? to upper? Eocene) – Granodiorite porphyry with 20% to 30% phenocrysts of plagioclase and lesser amounts of hornblende, biotite, and quartz in a microcrystalline, medium- to dark-gray matrix; forms the smallest of the Park City porphyry stocks and typically contains fewer and smaller phenocrysts than the Valeo stock; age is poorly constrained, but Bromfield and others (1970, 1977) interpreted it as the oldest of the Park City porphyry stocks.
- Tm Mayflower porphyry** (upper Eocene) – Granodiorite porphyry with phenocrysts of plagioclase and minor hornblende and biotite in a medium-gray, microcrystalline groundmass; phenocryst abundance varies widely from a few percent to about 25% of the rock; Bromfield and others (1977) reported that in the Mayflower mine, the Mayflower stock is cut by dikes apparently of the Valeo stock; Smyk and others (2018) reported a U-Pb zircon crystallization age of  $35.47 \pm 0.48$  Ma from a sample from near the Mayflower mine; Bromfield and others (1977) reported a K-Ar age on hornblende of  $41.2 \pm 1.6$  Ma and a hornblende  $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$  age of  $32.7 \pm 1.4$  Ma, but noted that the Mayflower porphyry is intruded by the poorly dated Ontario stock (Bromfield and others, 1977).
- Tjb Jordanelle porphyry, breccia phase** (lower Oligocene? to upper? Eocene) – Granodiorite porphyry breccia comprises autobrecciated Jordanelle stock and angular fragments of Woodside Formation sandstone and siltstone; poorly exposed and mapped south of the west abutment of Jordanelle Dam; likely several tens of feet thick.
- Tj Jordanelle porphyry** (lower Oligocene? to upper? Eocene) – Granodiorite porphyry with 25% to 45% phenocrysts of plagioclase and minor hornblende and biotite in a medium-gray, microcrystalline groundmass; mostly little altered and resistant, but locally deeply hydrothermally altered; forms most

of the east and west abutments of Jordanelle Dam; drill-core samples from the dam site yielded K-Ar ages on hornblende of  $36.5 \pm 1.8$ ,  $36.3 \pm 1.8$ , and  $38.5 \pm 1.9$  Ma, and on biotite of  $40.0 \pm 1.6$  Ma (Sullivan and others, 1988).

#### unconformity

### JURASSIC

**Twin Creek Limestone** (Middle Jurassic) – Consists of six members following usage of Sprinkel and others (2011a), who reassigned the Gypsum Spring as a separate formation; only parts of the Sliderock and likely Rich Members are exposed in the southeastern corner of this map area. The Twin Creek Limestone was deposited in a warm, shallow, inland sea that occupied a broad back-bulge basin in front of the Sevier orogenic belt (Imlay, 1967, 1980). Middle Jurassic age is from Imlay (1967, 1980), Sprinkel and others (2011a), and Doelling and others (2013).

#### Jtg

**Twin Creek Limestone, Giraffe Creek Member** (Middle Jurassic) – Not exposed; probably about 100 to 130 feet (30–40 m) thick in the nearby Park City area (Biek and others, 2019a).

#### Jtl

**Twin Creek Limestone, Leeds Creek Member** (Middle Jurassic) – Not exposed; Imlay (1967, 1980) reported that the unit thickens westward from 776 feet (237 m) in outcrops near Peoa and Oakley to 1520 feet (463 m) in Burr Fork near the top of Emigration Canyon; at the northwestern side of Deer Creek Reservoir, Biek and Lowe (2009) reported an incomplete and attenuated section of about 400 feet (120 m) exposed beneath the Charleston thrust fault along the western side of the reservoir.

#### Jtw

**Twin Creek Limestone, Watton Canyon Member** (Middle Jurassic) – Not exposed; about 250 feet (75 m) thick at the northwestern side of Deer Creek Reservoir (Biek and Lowe, 2009).

#### Jtb

**Twin Creek Limestone, Boundary Ridge Member** (Middle Jurassic) – Not exposed; about 120 feet (35 m) thick at the northwestern side of Deer Creek Reservoir (Biek and Lowe, 2009) and 145 feet (44 m) thick in adjacent Center Creek quadrangle (Biek and others, 2003).

#### Jtr

**Twin Creek Limestone, Rich Member** (Middle Jurassic) – Medium-gray and light-brownish-gray, thin- to medium-bedded, variably clayey to silty, micritic limestone and poorly exposed calcareous mudstone; typically weakly bedded with closely



spaced cleavage such that unit weathers to pencil-like fragments and small chips; deposited in a shallow-marine environment during the first major transgression of the Middle Jurassic seaway (Imlay, 1967, 1980); query indicates uncertain designation of poor exposures a few tens of feet thick in the southeastern corner of the map area; about 300 to 400 feet (100–120 m) thick in the Park City West quadrangle (Biek and others, 2019a); in the southern Heber Valley area, Rich strata are about 160 feet (50 m) thick at the northwestern side of Deer Creek Reservoir (Biek and Lowe, 2009) and 116 feet (35 m) thick in the adjacent Center Creek quadrangle (Biek and others, 2003).

**Jts Twin Creek Limestone, Sliderock Member** (Middle Jurassic) – Lower part comprises lenses of brownish-gray, light-gray-weathering, thick-bedded, oolitic to fossiliferous limestone with *Isocrinus* sp. crinoid columnals and fossil hash, whereas upper part (not exposed in this map area) comprises medium-gray weathering, medium-bedded, micritic limestone having moderately spaced tectonic stylolites; deposited in a shallow-marine environment during the first major transgression of the Middle Jurassic seaway (Imlay, 1967, 1980); exposures are incomplete in this map area, but in the southern Heber Valley area, Sliderock strata are about 200 feet (60 m) thick at the northwestern side of Deer Creek Reservoir (Biek and Lowe, 2009) and 209 feet (64 m) thick in the adjacent Center Creek quadrangle (Biek and others, 2003); about 100 to 150 feet (30–45 m) thick in the Park City West quadrangle (Biek and others, 2019a).

*J-2 unconformity (Pipiringos and O'Sullivan, 1978)*

**Jg Gypsum Spring Formation** (Lower to Middle Jurassic) – Slope-forming, dark-reddish-brown, fine- to medium-grained, silty sandstone with few coarse sand grains, and sandy, calcareous siltstone, and chalcedony; resistant, yellowish-brown, reddish-brown, and black chalcedony occurs as a 3- to 6-foot-thick (1–2 m) bed at the top of the formation; weathers to a poorly exposed slope between resistant slopes of Nugget and Sliderock strata; upper contact is sharp, corresponds to the J-2 unconformity, and marks a change from chalcedony to gray, aphanitic limestone; Sprinkel and others (2011a) reported an  $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$  sanidine age of  $184.6 \pm 0.2$  Ma and a U-Pb zircon age of  $183.2 \pm 0.49$  Ma for ash beds in the lower Gypsum Spring at Devils Slide, nearly 40 miles (64 km) north of Heber Valley outcrops, older than the Temple Cap Formation of central and southern Utah to which it had long been correlated, which has a preferred age of about 173 to 170 Ma (Sprinkel and others, 2011a; see also Imlay, 1967); deposited

in a south- and eastward-prograding shallow-marine environment during the first major transgression of the Middle Jurassic seaway (Imlay, 1967, 1980); as mapped here, Gypsum Spring strata are about 20 feet (6 m) thick, but my upper contact is not the same as that used in the adjacent Center Creek quadrangle (Biek and others, 2003), where an unpublished measured section by Doug Sprinkel and Hellmut Doelling (UGS) reported 83 feet (25 m) of Gypsum Spring strata, the upper 57 feet (17 m) of which was brown to gray, dense, aphanitic limestone apparently similar to that of overlying Sliderock strata; Imlay (1967) reported that the unit is 22 feet (7 m) thick in outcrops near Peoa and Oakley, but thickens greatly to the northwest to about 140 feet (43 m) in Burr Fork near the top of Emigration Canyon and 208 feet (63 m) at Devils Slide; Gypsum Spring strata are about 60 feet thick (18 m) at the northwestern side of Deer Creek Reservoir (Biek and Lowe, 2009).

*J-1 unconformity (Pipiringos and O'Sullivan, 1978) formed prior to about 185 million years ago in northern Utah, but possibly as late as about 173 million years ago in southwestern Utah (Sprinkel and others, 2011a).*

## JURASSIC-TRIASSIC

**Jrn Nugget Sandstone** (Lower Jurassic to Upper Triassic) – Moderate-reddish-orange, moderate-orange-pink and very pale orange, cross-bedded, moderately well cemented quartz sandstone composed of well-rounded, fine- to medium-grained, frosted quartz grains; bedding consists of high-angle, large-scale cross-bedding in tabular planar, wedge planar, and trough-shaped sets 10 to 45 feet or more (3–14+ m) thick; upper unconformable contact is sharp and planar and corresponds to a prominent lithologic and topographic change, with ledge-forming, massively cross-bedded sandstone below and slope-forming, dark-reddish-brown, fine- to medium-grained silty sandstone with minor coarse sand grains above; deposited principally by winds from the north in a vast coastal and inland dune field (Kocurek and Dott, 1983; Blakey, 1994; Marzolf, 1994; Peterson, 1994), part of one of the world's largest coastal and inland paleodune fields (Milligan, 2012); much of the sand may originally have been transported to areas north and northwest of Utah via a transcontinental river system that tapped Grenvillian-age (about 1.0 to 1.3 Ga) crust involved in Appalachian orogenesis of eastern North America (Dickinson and Gehrels, 2003, 2009a, 2009b; Rahl and others, 2003; Reiners and others, 2005); correlative with the entire Glen Canyon Group of the Colorado Plateau (Wingate Sandstone/Moenave Formation, Kayenta Formation, and Navajo Sandstone) (Sprinkel and others,



2011b); Sprinkel and others (2011b) also summarized age control, primarily aetosaur and dinosaur tracks, indicating that the Triassic-Jurassic boundary is within the Nugget Sandstone and that the J-O unconformity of Pipiringos and O'Sullivan (1978) probably does not exist in northern Utah; only the upper part of the formation is exposed in the southeastern corner of the map area, but it is about 900 to 1000 feet (275–300 m) thick on the southwestern margin of Heber Valley, and about 1260 feet (385 m) thick in the West Daniels Land #1 well south of Heber Valley (Biek and others, 2003).

## TRIASSIC

**TRa Ankareh Formation, undivided** (Upper and Lower Triassic) – Not exposed; comprises an upper member, the middle Gartra Grit Member, and the lower Mahogany Member collectively about 1485 feet (453 m) thick southwest of Heber Valley (Baker, 1964). The TR-3 unconformity of Pipiringos and O'Sullivan (1978) separates the Gartra Grit and Mahogany Members.

**Thaynes Formation.** In much of the central Wasatch Range, Thaynes strata are readily divisible into three unnamed members, but the middle member differs between upper plate rocks of the Mount Raymond thrust, north of the map area, and those of the lower plate in this map area. Lower Thaynes strata are characterized by brown-weathering calcareous sandstone and sandy limestone, whereas the upper member, which contains similar brown sandy carbonate, is known for its medium-gray limestone. The middle member on the Mount Raymond thrust sheet is a thick, resistant limestone, whereas red siltstone and shale occupy this interval on the lower plate. On the northern and western margins of Heber Valley, however, Thaynes strata are incompletely and commonly poorly exposed and thus mapped as a single unit (apart from a small exposure of upper Thaynes strata at the northern edge of the map area). The Thaynes Formation intertongues eastward with the Mahogany Member of the Ankareh Formation (Kummel, 1954). Thaynes strata record deposition in a warm, shallow sea with repeated eastward-prograding shallow-marine limestone tongues separated by westward-prograding clastic intervals of the Ankareh Formation, such that the formation thins eastward into the Uinta Mountains (Kummel, 1954; Blakey and Gubitosa, 1983). Lower Triassic (Smithian to Spathian) age is from Kummel (1954) and Solien and others (1979). Map patterns suggest that Thaynes strata are 1300 to 1400 feet (400–425 m) thick in the upper reaches of Big Cottonwood Canyon (Biek and others, 2019a); there, Boutwell (1912) reported a thickness of just 1190 feet (363

m). In Round Valley, northwest of Jordanelle Reservoir, map patterns suggest a thickness of about 1600 feet (490 m) (Biek, 2017), and southwest of Heber Valley, the formation is 950 feet (290 m) thick (Baker, 1964; see also Smith, 1969).

**TRt Thaynes Formation, undivided** (Lower Triassic) – Light- to medium-gray, commonly dark-yellowish-brown-weathering, thin- to thick-bedded limestone and fine-grained calcareous sandstone, interbedded with light-gray, light-brown, and olive-gray, thin-bedded calcareous siltstone and shale; locally fossiliferous with pelecypods, gastropods, and ammonites; weathers to poorly exposed ledgy slopes in this map area; bedding attitudes are commonly variable across short distances, suggesting small-scale thrust faults and kink folds difficult to discern at map scale; upper contact not exposed, but is well exposed in the nearby Park City West quadrangle (Biek and others, 2019a); likely about 1000 feet (300 m) thick.

**TRtu Thaynes Formation, upper unit** (Lower Triassic) – Light- to medium-gray, thin- to thick-bedded limestone and fine-grained calcareous sandstone interbedded with light-gray, light-brown, and olive-gray, thin-bedded calcareous siltstone and shale; locally fossiliferous with pelecypods, gastropods, and ammonites; incompletely and poorly exposed and mapped only north of the Hawkeye-McHenry fault at the northern edge of the map area; Biek (2017) reported a thickness of about 1100 feet (335 m) north of Silver Creek in the adjacent Park City East quadrangle.

**TRtm Thaynes Formation, middle unit** (Lower Triassic) – Not mapped separately; see Biek (2017) and Biek and others (2019a) for map unit description.

**TRtl Thaynes Formation, lower unit** (Lower Triassic) – Light- to medium-gray, conspicuously dark-yellowish-brown-weathering, thin- to thick-bedded limestone and fine-grained calcareous sandstone interbedded with light-gray, light-brown, and olive-gray, thin-bedded calcareous siltstone and shale; locally fossiliferous with pelecypods, gastropods, and ammonites; mapped only north of the Hawkeye-McHenry fault, where Biek (2017) reported a thickness of about 300 feet (90 m) north of Silver Creek in the adjacent Park City East quadrangle.

**TRw Woodside Formation** (Lower Triassic) – Moderate- to dark-reddish-brown, laminated to thin-bedded or rarely medium-bedded, micaceous and feldspathic siltstone and fine-grained sandstone with planar and small-scale cross-stratification; bedding surfaces commonly reveal symmetrical and interference



ripple marks; non-resistant and so weathers to form strike valleys and colluvium-covered slopes; uppermost beds typically include yellowish-brown, fine- to medium-grained sandstone; upper contact appears conformable and corresponds to appearance of first ledge-forming, medium- to thick-bedded, dark-yellowish-brown-weathering, light-gray limestone; deposited in a tidal-flat and coastal-plain environment with clastic input from the Uncompahgre uplift in east-central Utah (Thomas and Krueger, 1946); locally served as a zone of weakness accommodating thrust faulting and so representative thicknesses are difficult to determine; Baker (1964) reported a thickness of 315 feet (95 m) southwest of Heber Valley; Biek and others (2019a) reported a thickness of about 500 feet (150 m) north of Silver Fork and Brighton in Big Cottonwood Canyon, similar to the 450 to 600 feet (135–183 m) reported by Crittenden and others (1966); Biek (2017) estimated a thickness of about 450 feet (140 m) along Silver Creek in the adjacent Park City East quadrangle; Constenius and others (2011) noted that the Woodside may be tectonically thinned or thickened from less than 200 to over 700 feet (60–215 m) in the Provo 30' x 60' quadrangle; Coogan and King (2016) reported that the Woodside is 500 to 600 feet (150–180 m) thick at Devils Slide in the Ogden 30' x 60' quadrangle; map patterns suggest a thickness of about 400 feet (120 m) just north of Heber Valley.

*TR-1 unconformity (Pipiringos and O'Sullivan, 1978) spans 10 to 20 million years during the late Permian to Early Triassic. The TR-1 unconformity represents an episode of dramatic, worldwide sea-level drop and the largest global extinction event in Earth's history (see, for example, Ward, 2004). Sheldon and others (1967b) noted that in northern Utah the transition from Permian to Triassic is not marked by significant erosion, unlike in southwesternmost Utah where erosion locally cuts out 500 feet (150 m) of Permian strata forming dramatic paleotopographic relief (Hayden, 2011).*

## PERMIAN

**Park City and Phosphoria Formations.** Boutwell (1912, p. 49; see also Boutwell, 1907) named and defined the Park City Formation for its importance as the principal host for lead-silver-zinc replacement deposits in the Park City mining district. In the central Wasatch Range, Park City strata are divisible into the lower Grandeur and upper Franson Members, which are separated by the Meade Peak Phosphatic Shale Tongue of the Phosphoria Formation (McKelvey and others, 1959); they are undivided here due to structural complications and limited exposure. Park City strata record warm, shallow-marine deposition (Sheldon and others, 1967b) east of the Utah hingeline, a long-lived boundary between a stable

continental shelf to the east and a subsiding marine basin to the west, when Utah lay just north of the equator on the western margin of the supercontinent Pangea. Phosphoria strata, however, were deposited in a deep-water, oxygen- and sediment-starved part of the basin (Sheldon and others, 1967a). McKelvey and others (1959), Gordon and Duncan (1970), and Wardlaw and Collinson (1979) reported on the age of Park City and Phosphoria strata.

Park City and Phosphoria strata are poorly exposed in this map area, only locally forming ledgy outcrops. The best, though incompletely exposed, nearby section is Boutwell's (1912) section in Big Cottonwood Canyon, on the ridge north of Beartrap Fork; there, he reported a thickness of 590 feet (180 m). However, his measured section (Boutwell, 1912, p. 50) totals just 466 feet (142 m) thick, though map patterns there suggest Park City and Phosphoria strata are about 1100 to 1200 feet (335–365 m) thick (Biek and others, 2019a). Park City and Phosphoria strata are 1167 feet (356 m) thick in the upper reaches of Red Butte Canyon (Cheney and others, 1953) and 870 feet (265 m) thick west of Heber City (Baker, 1964); Coogan and King (2016) reported that these strata are 857 and 675 feet (260 and 205 m) thick at Devils Slide and Durst Mountain, respectively, but the former may be structurally thickened.

## Ppc, Ppc?

**Park City and Phosphoria Formations, undivided** (middle to lower Permian) – Park City Formation, Franson Member is thin- to thick-bedded, typically medium-bedded, light- to medium-gray to pinkish-gray limestone, cherty limestone, and calcareous sandstone; limestone locally contains brachiopods, crinoid stems, gastropods, and bryozoans; upper contact appears conformable, as noted by Boutwell (1912) and Cheney (1957), but is a disconformity that corresponds to the TR-1 unconformity; map patterns suggest a thickness of about 400 feet (120 m) at Boutwell's (1912) type section in Big Cottonwood Canyon; 352 feet (107 m) thick west of Heber City (Baker, 1964). Phosphoria Formation, Meade Peak Phosphatic Shale Tongue is a lithologically diverse unit of typically thin-bedded, dark-gray limestone, laminated to thin-bedded, dark-brown to black phosphatic siltstone and shale, and brownish-gray calcareous sandstone that weathers to poorly exposed slopes; regionally consists of upper and lower phosphatic shale units split by a tongue of the Franson Member; about 60 feet (18 m) thick west of Heber City (Baker, 1964). Park City Formation, Grandeur Member is thin- to thick-bedded, typically medium-bedded, light- to medium-gray limestone, cherty limestone, sandy limestone, and calcareous



sandstone; locally contains thin lenses and irregularly shaped nodules of black chert; locally fossiliferous, especially basal beds, with common brachiopods, crinoid stems, gastropods, and bryozoans; map patterns suggest a thickness of about 700 feet (210 m) at Boutwell's (1912) type section in Big Cottonwood Canyon (Biek and others, 2019a); 458 feet (140 m) thick west of Heber City (Baker, 1964) and about 220 to 310 feet (65–95 m) thick in the Ogden 30' x 60' quadrangle (Coogan and King, 2016); Cheney (1957) reported that Grandeur strata are 290 feet (88 m) thick northeast of Salt Lake City and that the member thins and becomes sandy eastward into the Uinta Mountains where it pinches out east of Duchesne.

## PERMIAN-PENNSYLVANIAN

### PIPw, PIPw?

**Weber Sandstone** (lower Permian? to Middle Pennsylvanian) – Very pale orange, grayish-orange, and yellowish-gray, typically thick- to very thick bedded, fine-grained, well-cemented quartzitic and less commonly calcareous sandstone with uncommon, thin, light-gray limestone, cherty limestone, and dolomite interbeds; commonly bleached white and locally iron-stained; typically highly fractured and indistinctly bedded and so bedding attitudes are difficult to obtain; weathers to steep, rounded, colluvium-covered hillsides; upper contact is conformable and gradational, thus difficult to consistently pick, and corresponds to the base of the first thick limestone interval, thus including several thin limestone beds in upper Weber strata; Middle Pennsylvanian age in the Wasatch Range from Van Horn and Crittenden (1987), but includes lower Permian (Wolfcampian) strata in the northern Wasatch Range and Uinta Mountains (Baker, 1964; Bissell, 1964); widely considered correlative with much of the far thicker Pennsylvanian to Permian Oquirrh basin strata of western Utah (Hintze and Kowallis, 2009, and references therein); deposited on a shallow continental shelf east of the Utah hingeline in a westward-prograding, coastal, eolian dune field and adjacent shallow-marine environments (Bissell, 1964; Hansen, 1965; Fryberger, 1979); Biek and others (2019a) reported a thickness of about 1100 feet (335 m) in the upper reaches of Big Cottonwood Canyon; structural complications preclude accurate thickness estimates in the greater Park City area, but Bromfield (1968) estimated that the formation is 1300 to 1500 feet (400–460 m) thick and that westward in Big Cottonwood Canyon, limestone interbeds make up about 15% to 20% of the formation; Coogan and King (2016) estimated Weber strata are 2600 feet (790 m) thick near Morgan.

**PMI Limestone** (Pennsylvanian to Mississippian) – Small masses of white and light-gray metamorphosed limestone (marble) as much as several tens of feet thick preserved as roof pendants or wall rocks of the Park City porphyry intrusions.

### Prv, Prv?

**Round Valley Limestone** (Lower Pennsylvanian) – Light-gray-weathering, gray to dark-gray, fossiliferous, locally cherty limestone and interbedded gray and greenish-gray shale, siltstone, and sandstone; pale-reddish-orange silicified fossils are characteristic; poorly and incompletely exposed on the eastern flanks of the Mayflower and Valeo stocks; Atokan and Morrowan age from Sadlick (1955); about 225 to 400 feet (70–120 m) thick west of Heber City (Baker, 1964) and about 400 feet (120 m) thick north of Silver Fork in Big Cottonwood Canyon (Biek and others, 2019a); Bryant (1990) reported Round Valley strata are as much as 900 feet (300 m) thick in the Wasatch Range; Coogan and King (2016) summarized thicknesses in the Morgan area to the north of about 375 to 400 feet (115–120 m).

## MISSISSIPPIAN

**Mdo Doughnut Formation** (Upper Mississippian) – Medium-gray, thin-bedded limestone and fossiliferous limestone, locally with black chert as nodules and in thin beds; a 30- to 100-foot-thick (10–30 m) zone of black, greenish, or locally reddish shale at the base contains thin beds of greenish-gray to rusty-weathering, silty limestone; poorly exposed on the eastern and southern flanks of the Pine Creek stock; Late Mississippian age from Baker and Crittenden (1961); about 430 feet (130 m) thick in the Wasatch Range and 210 feet (65 m) thick in the Uinta Mountains (Bryant, 1990); Coogan and King (2016) reported Doughnut strata are about 500 feet (150 m) thick at Durst Mountain.

### Mh

**Humbug Formation** (Upper Mississippian) – Interbedded calcareous quartz sandstone, orthoquartzite, and limestone that weather to ledgy slopes. Sandstone is light- to dark-brown weathering, pale yellowish brown to olive gray, medium to very thick bedded, variably calcareous or siliceous, locally with planar or low-angle cross-stratification. Limestone rarely contains dark-gray chert nodules and is (1) light-gray weathering, medium dark gray, medium to thick bedded, and fine grained with local small white chert blebs; (2) dark gray, very thick bedded with small white calcite blebs; or (3) locally medium to coarse grained with sparse fossil hash. Regionally, though not observed in incomplete exposures in this map



area, upper half contains several distinctive, ledge-forming, white to light-gray, medium- to thick-bedded sublithographic limestone beds up to 10 feet (3 m) thick; mapped in Pine Creek canyon at the western edge of the map area; upper contact is conformable and gradational and represents a change from interbedded sandstone and limestone to limestone; age from Morris and Lovering (1961); about 700 to 750 feet (210–230 m) thick; Bryant (1990) reported Humbug strata are 400 to 920 feet (120–280 m) thick in the central Wasatch Range and western Uinta Mountains; about 700 feet (210 m) thick at Durst Mountain (Coogan and King, 2006).

**Md Deseret Limestone** (Upper to Lower Mississippian) – Medium- to very thick bedded, light- to dark-gray, variably sandy and fossiliferous limestone and dolomite; contains distinctive white calcite nodules and blebs and local brown-weathering chert nodules; fossils include rugose corals, uncommon brachiopods, crinoids, bryozoans, and fossil hash; regionally, lower 20 to 30 feet (6–9 m) is marked by slope-forming, thin-bedded, black phosphatic chert likely of the Dell Phosphatic Member, but this was not observed in poor exposures in this map area; the best exposures are in Pine Creek Canyon, but also mapped as roof pendants in the Flagstaff and Valeo stocks; upper contact is conformable and gradational and corresponds to a change from fossiliferous limestone to predominantly sandstone; age from Morris and Lovering (1961) and Sandberg and Gutschick (1984); map patterns suggest a thickness of 600 to 700 feet (180–210 m) in Pine Creek Canyon, and Baker (1964) reported a thickness of about 585 feet (175 m) in the Wasatch Range; Bryant (1990) reported that Deseret strata are 460 to 970 feet (140–295 m) thick in the central Wasatch Range and western Uinta Mountains; about 500 feet (150 m) thick at Durst Mountain (Coogan and King, 2006).

**Mg Gardison Limestone** (Lower Mississippian) – Medium- to very thick bedded, medium- to dark-gray limestone, cherty limestone, and fossiliferous limestone; chert is present as black, irregularly shaped nodules and thin, discontinuous beds; fossils include rugose and colonial corals, brachiopods, gastropods, and bryozoans replaced by white calcite; the best exposures are in Pine Creek Canyon, but also mapped as roof pendants in the Flagstaff and Valeo stocks; upper contact appears conformable and gradational and generally corresponds to a break in slope, with slope-forming, thinner bedded, cherty limestone below and thicker bedded, ledge- and cliff-forming limestone above; age from Morris and Lovering (1961); Bryant (1990) reported that Gardison strata are about 600 feet (200 m) thick in the Wasatch Range.

## Unconformity and Pine Creek fault

## CAMBRIAN

**€o Ophir Formation** (middle Cambrian) – Yellowish-brown weathering, olive-green micaceous shale and minor gray, thin-bedded, fine-grained limestone; incomplete section is preserved but poorly exposed beneath the Pine Creek fault west of Pine Creek where it is as much as about 40 feet (12 m) thick; middle Cambrian age based on *Ehmaniella* sp., and *Glossopleura* sp. trilobites in Ogden Canyon (Rigo, 1968); complete formation is about 510 feet (155 m) thick to the west in American Fork Canyon (Baker, 1964).

**€t Tintic Quartzite** (middle and lower Cambrian) – White, light-gray, and light-brown, fine- to medium-grained orthoquartzite in medium to very thick beds with low-angle cross-stratification; locally medium to coarse grained with rounded white quartz pebbles; fracture surfaces commonly stained rusty brown and yellowish brown by iron oxides and hydroxides; forms ledgy slopes in Pine Creek Canyon and as roof pendants of the Flagstaff and Valeo stocks; age from Baker (1964); deposited in beach and coastal-plain environments (Calkins and Butler, 1943); trace fossils in the upper part of the formation in the Ogden Canyon area include Skolithus tubes and Plagiogmus traces that indicate middle Cambrian age (Peterson and Clark, 1974); incomplete section is about 500 feet (150 m) thick, but the formation is 1170 feet (357 m) thick in Slate Canyon near Provo (Baker, 1972).

## Unconformity

## PRECAMBRAIN

**Zmf Mineral Fork Formation** (Neoproterozoic) – Dark-yellowish-brown, grayish-brown, and greenish-brown diamictite and interbedded, thin- to thick-bedded, poorly sorted sandstone and shale; diamictite is poorly sorted, non-stratified conglomerate with a dark-greenish-gray muddy and shaley matrix; pebble- to small boulder-size clasts are matrix-supported, rounded quartzite likely derived from the Big Cottonwood Formation, minor gneiss and granite from the Farmington Canyon Complex, and minor limestone; upper contact is poorly exposed but is a regional unconformity; deposited as ice from continental glaciers melted in a shallow ocean, dropping loads of poorly sorted sediment during one of three or more episodes of global or near-global glaciation between about 750 and 650 million years ago (Christie-Blick, 1983, 1985; Crittenden and others, 1983; also see Willis and Willis,



2010, 2012; Willis and others, 2010); only about the upper 1000 feet (300 m) exposed east of Pine Creek; Bryant (1990) reported a maximum thickness of 1300 feet (400 m) in the central Wasatch Range.

### Unconformity

**Zbc** **Big Cottonwood Formation** (Neoproterozoic) – Not exposed; about 16,000 feet (5000 m) thick in the Wasatch Range (Crittenden, 1965c, 1977).

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Bill Loughlin and Van King (Loughlin Water Associates) shared their knowledge of geologically important water wells in the area, and Van facilitated access to the area west of Jordanelle Reservoir slated for expansion of the Deer Valley Resort. Rex Mathis (Central Utah Water Conservancy District) helped with access to the Jordanelle Dam and its east and west abutments. Emily Kleber and Adam McKean (UGS) prepared raw Summit and Wasatch County lidar data for use in this project. Dan Smith, Utah Division of Oil, Gas and Mining, Abandoned Mines Program, shared mine location and closure data obtained during reclamation of the Park City mining district. Colleagues Grant Willis, Stefan Kirby, Zach Anderson, Stephanie Carney, and Mike Hylland (UGS) reviewed the map and supporting materials, and I am grateful for their collective wisdom. Basia Matyjasik (UGS) created the ArcGIS files and Lori Steadman (UGS) drafted plate 2 figures. This geologic map was funded by the Utah Geological Survey and U.S. Geological Survey, National Cooperative Geologic Mapping Program, through USGS STATEMAP award number G18AC00202, 2018–2019.

## REFERENCES

- Álvarez-Solas, J., Montoya, M., Ritz, C., Ramstein, G., Charbit, S., Dumas, C., Nisancioglu, K., Dokken, T., and Ganopolski, A., 2011, Heinrich event 1—an example of dynamical ice-sheet reaction to oceanic changes: *Climate of the Past*, v. 7, p. 1297–1306, <https://doi.org/10.5194/cp-7-1297-2011>.
- Anderson, Z.W., in preparation, Interim geologic map of the Wanship quadrangle, Summit and Morgan Counties, Utah: Utah Geological Survey Open-File Report, scale 1:24,000.
- Anderson, Z.W., Yonkee, W.A., and Balgord, E.A., in preparation, Interim geologic map of the Mountain Dell quadrangle, Salt Lake, Summit, and Morgan Counties, Utah: Utah Geological Survey Open-File Report, scale 1:24,000.
- Ashland, F.X., 2003, Characteristics, causes, and implications of the 1998 Wasatch Front landslides, Utah: Utah Geological Survey Special Study 105, 49 p., <https://doi.org/10.34191/SS-105>.
- Baker, A.A., 1964, Geology of the Aspen Grove quadrangle, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-239, 9 p., 1 plate, scale 1:24,000.
- Baker, A.A., 1972, Geologic map of the Bridal Veil Falls quadrangle, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-998, 9 p., 1 plate, scale 1:24,000.
- Baker, A.A., Calkins, F.C., Crittenden, M.D., Jr., and Bromfield, C.S., 1966, Geologic map of the Brighton quadrangle, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-534, 1 plate, scale 1:24,000.
- Baker, A.A., and Crittenden, M.D., Jr., 1961, Geologic map of the Timpanogos Cave quadrangle, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-132, 1 plate, scale 1:24,000.
- Beno, C.J., Stearns, M.A., Bowman, J.R., and Bartley, J.M., 2017, U/Th-Pb monazite age constraints on the timing and duration of contact metamorphism in the Alta, Utah, contact aureole [abs.]: *Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs*, v. 49, no. 6, doi: 10.1130/abs/2017AM-307663.
- Biek, R.F., 2017, Interim geologic map of the Park City East quadrangle, Summit and Wasatch Counties, Utah: Utah Geological Survey Open-File Report 677, 24 p., 2 plates, scale 1:24,000, <https://doi.org/10.34191/OFR-677>.
- Biek, R.F., 2022, Geologic map of the Park City East quadrangle, Summit and Wasatch Counties, Utah: Utah Geological Survey Map 296DM, 23 p., 2 plates, scale 1:24,000, <https://doi.org/10.34191/M-296DM>.
- Biek, R.F., 2018, Ancient volcanoes of the central Wasatch Range: Utah Geological Survey Survey Notes, v. 50, no. 3, page 5–6, <https://doi.org/10.34191/SNT-50-3>.
- Biek, R.F., and Lowe, M., 2009, Geologic map of the Charleston quadrangle, Wasatch County, Utah: Utah Geological Survey Map 236, 2 plates, scale 1:24,000, <https://doi.org/10.34191/M-236>.
- Biek, R.F., Hylland, M.D., Welsh, J.E., and Lowe, M., 2003, Geologic map of the Center Creek quadrangle, Wasatch County, Utah: Utah Geological Survey Map 192, 26 p., 2 plates, scale 1:24,000, <https://doi.org/10.34191/M-192>.
- Biek, R.F., Rowley, P.D., and Hacker, D.B., 2019b, The gigantic Markagunt and Sevier gravity slides resulting from mid-Cenozoic catastrophic mega-scale failure of the Marysvale volcanic field, Utah, USA: *Geological Society of America Field Guide* 56, 121 p.
- Biek, R.F., Yonkee, W.A., and Loughlin, W.D., 2019a, Interim geologic map of the Park City West quadrangle, Salt Lake and Summit Counties, Utah: Utah Geological Survey Open-file Report 697DM, 20 p., 2 plates, scale 1:24,000, <https://doi.org/10.34191/OFR-697DM>.
- Biek, R.F., Yonkee, W.A., and Loughlin, W.D., 2022, Geologic map of the Park City West quadrangle, Salt Lake and Summit Counties, Utah: Utah Geological Survey Map 297DM, ?? p., 2 plates, scale 1:24,000, <https://doi.org/10.34191/M-297DM>.



- Birkeland, P.W., Machette, M.N., and Haller, K.M., 1991, Soils as a tool for applied Quaternary geology: Utah Geological and Mineral Survey Miscellaneous Publication 91-3, 63 p., <https://doi.org/10.34191/MP-91-3>.
- Bissell, H.J., 1964, Lithology and petrography of the Weber Formation, in Utah and Colorado, in Sabatka, E.F., editor, Guidebook to the geology and mineral resources of the Uinta Basin, Utah's hydrocarbon storehouse: Intermountain Association of Petroleum Geologist 13th annual field conference, p. 65–91.
- Blakey, R.C., 1994, Paleogeographic and tectonic controls on some Lower and Middle Jurassic erg deposits, Colorado Plateau, in Caputo, M.V., Peterson, J.A., and Franczyk, K.J., editors, Mesozoic systems of the Rocky Mountain region, USA: Denver, Colorado, Rocky Mountain Section of the Society for Sedimentary Geology, p. 273–298.
- Blakey, R.C., and Gubitosa, R., 1983, Late Triassic paleogeography and depositional history of the Chinle Formation, southern Utah and northern Arizona, in Reynolds, M.W., and Dolly, E.D., editors, Mesozoic paleogeography of the west-central United States: Rocky Mountain Section, Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists, Rocky Mountain Paleogeography Symposium 2, p. 57–76.
- Boutwell, J.M., 1907, Stratigraphy and structure of the Park City mining district, Utah: *Journal of Geology*, v. 15, p. 434–458.
- Boutwell, J.M., 1912, Geology and ore deposits of the Park City district, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 77, 231 p.
- Bradley, M.D., 1988, Structural evolution of the Uinta Mountains, Utah, and their interaction with the Utah-Wyoming salient of the Sevier overthrust belt: Salt Lake City, University of Utah, Ph.D. dissertation, 178 p., 8 plates.
- Bradley, M.D., 2001, Interim geologic maps of the Crandall Canyon and Hidden Lake quadrangles, Summit County, Utah: Utah Geological Survey Open-File Report 382, 27 p., 6 plates, scale 1:24,000, <https://doi.org/10.34191/OFR-382>.
- Bromfield, C.S., 1968, Source of Keetley volcanic field: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 600-A, p. A33.
- Bromfield, C.S., Baker, A.A., and Crittenden, M.D., Jr., 1970, Geologic map of the Heber quadrangle, Wasatch and Summit Counties, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-864, 1 plate, scale 1:24,000.
- Bromfield, C.S., and Crittenden, M.D., Jr., 1971, Geologic map of the Park City East quadrangle, Summit and Wasatch Counties, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ-852, 1 plate, scale 1:24,000.
- Bromfield, C.S., Erickson, A.J., Jr., Haddadin, M.A., and Mehnert, H.H., 1977, Potassium-argon ages of intrusion, extrusion and associated ore deposits, Park City mining district, Utah: *Economic Geology*, v. 72, p. 837–848.
- Bryant, B., 1990, Geologic map of the Salt Lake City 30' x 60' quadrangle, north-central Utah and Uinta County, Wyoming: U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Investigations Series Map I-1944, scale 1:100,000.
- Bryant, B., 1992, Geologic and structure maps of the Salt Lake City 1° x 2° quadrangle, Utah and Wyoming: U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Investigations Series Map I-1997, scale 1:125,000.
- Bryant, B., Naeser, C.W., Marvin, R.F., and Mehnert, H.H., 1989, Ages of late Paleogene and Neogene tuffs and the beginning of rapid regional extension, eastern boundary of the Basin and Range Province near Salt Lake City, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1787-K, 12 p.
- Calkins, F.C., and Butler, B.S., 1943, Geology and ore deposits of the Cottonwood-American Fork area, Utah, with sections on history and production by V.C. Heikes: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 201, 152 p.
- Carreón-Diazconti, C., Nelson, S.T., Mayo, A.L., Tingey, D.G., and Smith, M., 2003, A mixed groundwater system at Midway, Utah—discriminating superimposed local and regional discharge: *Journal of Hydrology*, v. 273, p. 119–138.
- Chadwick, O.A., Hall, R.D., and Phillips, F.M., 1997, Chronology of Pleistocene glacial advances in the central Rocky Mountains [Wind River Range, Wyoming]: *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, v. 109, no. 11, p. 1443–1452.
- Cheney, T.M., Smart, R.A., Waring, R.G., and Warner, M.A., 1953, Stratigraphic sections of the Phosphoria Formation in Utah, 1949–51: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 306, 40 p.
- Cheney, T.M., 1957, Phosphate in Utah: Utah Geological and Mineralogical Survey Bulletin 59, 54 p., 3 plates, <https://doi.org/10.34191/B-59>.
- Christie-Blick, N., 1983, Glacial-marine and subglacial sedimentation, Upper Proterozoic Mineral Fork Formation, Utah, in Molnia, B.F., editor, Glacial-marine sedimentation: New York, Plenum Press, p. 703–776.
- Christie-Blick, N., 1985, Upper Proterozoic glacial-marine and subglacial deposits at Little Mountain, Utah: *Brigham Young University Geology Studies*, v. 32, pt.1, p. 9–18.
- Clark, D.L., 2020, The Uinta-Tooele structural zone—what's in a name?: Utah Geological Survey, Survey Notes, v. 52, no. 2, p. 4–5, <https://doi.org/10.34191/SNT-52-2>.
- Clark, P.U., Dyke, A.S., Shakun, J.D., Carlson, A.E., Clark, J., Wohlfarth, B., Mitrovica, J.X., Hostetler, S.W., and McCabe, A.M., 2009, The last glacial maximum: *Science*, v. 325, p. 710–714.
- Cohen, K.M., Finney, S.C., Gibbard, P.L., Fan, J.-X., 2013 (updated, v. 2018/08), The ICS international chronostratigraphic chart: *Epiisodes*, v. 36, p. 199–204.
- Constenius, K.N., Clark, D.L., King, J.K., and Ehler, J.B., 2011, Interim geologic map of the Provo 30' x 60' quadrangle, Salt Lake, Utah, and Wasatch Counties, Utah: Utah Geo-



- logical Survey Open-File Report 586DM, 42 p., 1 plate, scale 1:62,500, <https://doi.org/10.34191/OFR-586DM>.
- Coogan, J.C., and King, J.K., 2016, Interim geologic map of the Ogden 30' x 60' quadrangle, Box Elder, Cache, Davis, Morgan, Rich, and Summit Counties, Utah, and Uinta County, Wyoming: Utah Geological Survey Open-File Report 653DM, 112 p. plus appendices and plates, scale 1:62,500, <https://doi.org/10.34191/OFR-653DM>.
- Crittenden, M.D., Jr., 1965a, Geologic map of the Mount Aire quadrangle, Salt Lake County, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Quadrangle Map GQ-379, 1 plate, scale 1:24,000.
- Crittenden, M.D., Jr., 1965b, Geologic map of the Dromedary Peak quadrangle, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Quadrangle Map GQ-535, 1 plate, scale 1:24,000.
- Crittenden, M.D., Jr., 1965c, Geology of the Draper quadrangle, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Geologic Quadrangle Map GQ377, 1 plate, scale 1:24,000.
- Crittenden, M.D., Jr., 1977, Stratigraphic and structural setting of the Cottonwood area, Utah, *in* Gilmore Hill, J., editor, *Geology of the Cordilleran hingeline*: Denver, Rocky Mountain Association of Geologists, 1976 Symposium, p. 363–379.
- Crittenden, M.D., Jr., Calkins, F.C., and Sharp, B.J., 1966, Geologic map of the Park City West quadrangle, Salt Lake County, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Quadrangle Map GQ-275, 1 plate, scale 1:24,000.
- Crittenden, M.D., Jr., Christie-Blick, N., and Link, P.K., 1983, Evidence for two pulses of glaciation during the late Proterozoic in northern Utah and southeastern Idaho: *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, v. 94, p. 437–450.
- Crittenden, M.D., Jr., Stuckless, J.S., Kistler, R.W., and Stern, T.W., 1973, Radiometric dating of intrusive rocks in the Cottonwood area, Utah: *U.S. Geological Survey Journal of Research*, v. 1, no. 2, p. 173–178.
- Dehler, C.M., and Sprinkel, D.A., 2005, Revised stratigraphy and correlation of the Neoproterozoic Uinta Mountain Group, northeastern Utah, *in* Dehler, C.M., Pederson, J.L., Sprinkel, D.A., and Kowallis, B.J., editors, *Uinta Mountain geology*: Utah Geological Association Publication 33, p. 17–30.
- Dickinson, W.R., and Gehrels, G.E., 2003, U-Pb ages of detrital zircons from Permian and Jurassic eolian sandstones of the Colorado Plateau, USA—paleogeographic implications: *Sedimentary Geology*, v. 163, nos. 1 and 2, p. 29–66.
- Dickinson, W.R., and Gehrels, G.E., 2009a, U-Pb ages of detrital zircons in Jurassic eolian and associated sandstones of the Colorado Plateau—evidence for transcontinental dispersal and intraregional recycling of sediment: *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, v. 121, nos. 3 and 4, p. 408–433.
- Dickinson, W.R., and Gehrels, G.E., 2009b, Insights into North American paleogeography and paleotectonics from U-Pb ages of detrital zircons in Mesozoic strata of the Colorado Plateau USA: *International Journal Earth Science*, 19 p., <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00531-009-0462-0>.
- Doelling, H.H., Sprinkel, D.A., and Kuehne, P.A., 2013, Temple Cap and Carmel Formations in the Henry Mountains Basin, Wayne and Garfield Counties, Utah, *in* Morris, T.H., and Ressetar, R., editors, *The San Rafael Swell and Henry Mountains basin—geologic centerpiece of Utah*: Utah Geological Association Publication 42, p. 279–318 with appendices.
- Dow, G., 1995, Engineering geology of Jordanelle dam and reservoir Bonneville Unit, Central Utah project, Utah, *in* Lund, W.R., editor, *Environmental and engineering geology of the Wasatch Front region*: Utah Geological Association Publication 24, p. 319–344.
- Eardley, A.J., 1944, Geology of the north-central Wasatch Mountains, Utah: *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, v. 55, p. 819–894, 1 plate, scale 1:125,000.
- Elliott, A.H., and Harty, K.M., 2010, Landslide maps of Utah: Utah Geological Survey Map 246DM, 14 p., 46 plates, scale 1:100,000, <https://doi.org/10.34191/M-246DM>.
- Feher, L.A., 1997, Petrogenesis of the Keetley Volcanics, in Summit and Wasatch Counties, north-central Utah: East Lansing, Michigan State University, M.S. thesis, 95 p.
- Feher, L.A., Constenius, K.N., and Vogel, T.A., 1996, Relationships between the Wasatch intrusive belt and the Keetley Volcanics, north-central Utah [abs.]: *Geological Society of America Abstracts with Programs*, v. 28, no. 7, p. 483.
- Forrester, J.D., 1937, Structure of the Uinta Mountains: *Geological Society of America Bulletin* v. 48, no. 5, p. 631–666.
- Fryberger, S.G., 1979, Eolian-fluvial (continental) origin of ancient stratigraphic trap for petroleum, Weber Sandstone, Rangely oil field, Colorado: *The Mountain Geologist*, v. 16, no. 1, p. 1–36.
- Godsey, H.S., Currey, D.R., and Chan, M.A., 2005, New evidence for an extended occupation of the Provo shoreline and implications for regional climate change, Pleistocene Lake Bonneville, Utah, USA: *Quaternary Research*, v. 63, p. 212–223.
- Gordon, M., Jr., and Duncan, H.M., 1970, Biostratigraphy and correlation of the Oquirrh Group and related rocks in the Oquirrh Mountains, Utah, *in* Tooker, E.W., and Roberts, R.J., *Upper Paleozoic rocks in the Oquirrh Mountains and Bingham mining district, Utah*: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 629-A, p. A38–A70.
- Gosse, J.C., Klein, J., Evenson, E.B., Lawn, B., and Middleton, R., 1995, Beryllium-10 dating of the duration and retreat of the last Pinedale glacial sequence: *Science*, v. 268, p. 1329–1333.
- Hacker, D.B., Biek, R.F., and Rowley, P.D., 2014, Catastrophic emplacement of the gigantic Markagunt gravity slide, southwest Utah—implications for hazards associated with



- sector collapse of volcanic fields: *Geology*, v. 42, no. 11, 4 p., published online on 15 September 2014 as doi:10.1130/G35896.1.
- Hansen, W.R., 1965, *Geology of the Flaming Gorge area, Utah-Colorado-Wyoming*: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper, 490, 196 p.
- Hanson, S.L., 1995, *Mineralogy, petrology, geochemistry and crystal size distribution of Tertiary plutons of the central Wasatch Mountains*: Salt Lake City, University of Utah, Ph.D. dissertation, 371 p.
- Hayden, J.M., 2011, *Geologic map of the White Hills quadrangle, Washington County, Utah*: Utah Geological Survey Map 250DM, 16 p., 2 plates, scale 1:24,000, <https://doi.org/10.34191/M-250DM>.
- Hintze, L.F., and Kowallis, B.J., 2009, *Geologic history of Utah*: Provo, Utah, Brigham Young University Geology Studies Special Publication 9, 225 p.
- Imlay, R.W., 1967, *Twin Creek Limestone (Jurassic) in the western interior of the United States*: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 540, 105 p.
- Imlay, R.W., 1980, *Jurassic paleobiogeography of the conterminous United States*: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1062, 134 p.
- John, D.A., 1987, *Evolution of hydrothermal fluids in intrusions of the central Wasatch Mountains, Utah*: Palo Alto, California, Stanford University, Ph.D. dissertation, 236 p.
- John, D.A., 1989a, *Geologic setting, depths of emplacement, and regional distribution of fluid inclusions in intrusions of the central Wasatch Mountains, Utah*: *Economic Geology*, v. 84, p. 386–409.
- John, D.A., 1989b, *Evolution of hydrothermal fluids in the Park Premier stock, central Wasatch Mountains, Utah*: *Economic Geology*, v. 84, p. 879–902.
- John, D.A., Turrin, B.D., and Miller, R.J., 1997, *New K-Ar and  $^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$  ages of plutonism, hydrothermal alteration, and mineralization in the central Wasatch Mountains, Utah*, in John, D.A., and Ballentyne, G.H., editors, *Geology and ore deposits of the Oquirrh and Wasatch Mountains, Utah*: Society of Economic Geologists Guidebook Series, v. 29, p. 47–57.
- Kocurek, G., and Dott, R.H., Jr., 1983, *Jurassic paleogeography and paleoclimate of the central and southern Rocky Mountains region*, in Reynolds, M.W., and Dolly, E.D., editors, *Mesozoic paleogeography of the west-central United States*: Denver, Rocky Mountain Section Society of Economic Paleontologists and Mineralogists, p. 101–116.
- Kohler, J.F., 1979, *Geology, characteristics, and resource potential of the low-temperature geothermal system near Midway, Wasatch County, Utah*: Utah Geological and Mineral Survey Report of Investigation no. 142, 45 p., <https://doi.org/10.34191/RI-142>.
- Kummel, B., 1954, *Triassic stratigraphy of southeastern Idaho and adjacent areas*: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 254-H, p. H165–H194.
- Laabs, B.J.C., Marchetti, D.W., Munroe, J.S., Refsnider, K.A., Gosse, J.C., Lips, E.W., Becker, R.A., Mickelson, D.M., and Singer, B.S., 2011, *Chronology of latest Pleistocene mountain glaciation in the western Wasatch Mountains, Utah, U.S.A.*: *Quaternary Research*, v. 76, p. 272–284.
- Laabs, B.J.C., and Munroe, J.S., 2016, *Late Pleistocene mountain glaciation in the Lake Bonneville basin*, in Oviatt, C.G., and Shroder, J.F., editors, *Lake Bonneville—a scientific update: Developments in Earth Surface Processes*, v. 20, p. 462–503.
- LeBas, M.J., LeMaitre, R.W., Streckeisen, A., and Zanettin, B., 1986, *A chemical classification of volcanic rocks based on the total alkali-silica diagram*: *Journal of Petrology*, v. 27, p. 745–750.
- Leveinen, J.E., 1994, *Petrology of the Keetley Volcanics in Summit and Wasatch Counties, north-central Utah*: Duluth, University of Minnesota, M.S. thesis, 175 p.
- Lisiecki, L.E., and Raymo, M.E., 2005, *A Pliocene–Pleistocene stack of 57 globally distributed benthic  $\delta^{18}\text{O}$  records*: *Paleoceanography*, v. 20, PA1003, <http://doi.org/10.1029/2004PA001071>.
- Marzolf, J.E., 1994, *Reconstruction of the early Mesozoic cordilleran cratonal margin adjacent to the Colorado Plateau*, in Caputo, M.V., Peterson, J.A., and Franczyk, K.J., editors, *Mesozoic systems of the Rocky Mountain region, USA*: Denver, Colorado, Rocky Mountain Section of the Society for Sedimentary Geology, p. 181–216.
- Mayo, A.L., and Loucks, M.D., 1995, *Solute and isotopic geochemistry and ground water of the central Wasatch Range, Utah*: *Journal of Hydrology*, v. 172, p. 3–59.
- Mayo, A.L., Nelson, S.T., and Durrant, C., 2005, *Analysis of aquifers and production wells, Midway Fish Hatchery, completion report for Utah Division of Fish and Game*, May 10, 2005: Provo, Utah, Brigham Young University unpublished report, 47 p.
- McBride, J.H., Faust, D.L., Guthrie, W.S., and Nelson, S.T., 2010, *Mapping thermal tufa deposits using GPR*: IEEE Publishing, *Proceedings of the XIII International Conference on Ground Penetrating Radar*, Lecce, Italy, 21–25 June 2010, 6 p., doi: 10.1109/ICGPR.2010.5550134.
- McKelvey, V.E., Williams, J.S., Sheldon, R.P., Cressman, E.R., Cheney, T.M., and Swanson, R.W., 1959, *Geology of Permian rocks in the western phosphate field—the Phosphoria, Park City, and Shedhorn formations in the western phosphate field*: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 313-A, 47 p.
- Milligan, M., 2012, *Sizing up titans—Navajo erg vs. Sahara ergs, which was the larger sand box?*: *Utah Geological Survey, Survey Notes*, v. 44, no. 3, p. 8–9, <https://doi.org/10.34191/SNT-44-3>.



- Morris, H.T., and Lovering, T.S., 1961, Stratigraphy of the East Tintic Mountains, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 361, 145 p.
- Nelson, M.E., 1971, Stratigraphy and paleontology of the Norwood Tuff and Fowkes Formation, northeastern Utah and southwestern Wyoming: Salt Lake City, University of Utah, Ph.D. dissertation, 169 p.
- Nelson, M.E., 1976, A new Oligocene faunule from northeastern Utah: Transactions of the Kansas Academy of Science, v. 79, p. 7–13.
- O'Toole, W.L., 1951, Geology of the Keetley-Kamas volcanic area: Salt Lake City, University of Utah, M.S. thesis, 38 p.
- Oviatt, C.G., 2014, The Gilbert episode in the Great Salt Lake basin, Utah: Utah Geological Survey Miscellaneous Publication 14-3, 20 p., <https://doi.org/10.34191/MP-14-3>.
- Oviatt, C.G., 2015, Chronology of Lake Bonneville, 30,000 to 10,000 yr B.P.: Quaternary Science Reviews, v. 110, p. 166–171.
- Peterson, D.L., 1970, A gravity and aeromagnetic survey of Heber and Rhodes Valleys, in Baker, C.H., Jr., Water resources of the Heber-Kamas-Park City area, north-central Utah: Utah Department of Natural Resources Technical Publication 27, p. 54–60.
- Peterson, D.O., and Clark, D.L., 1974, Trace fossils *Plagiogmus* and *Skolithos* in the Tintic Quartzite (Middle Cambrian) of Utah: Journal of Paleontology, v. 48, no. 4, p. 766–768.
- Peterson, F., 1994, Sand dunes, sabkhas, streams, and shallow seas—Jurassic paleogeography in the southern part of the Western Interior basin, in Caputo, M.V., Peterson, J.A., and Franczyk, K.J., editors, Mesozoic systems of the Rocky Mountain region, USA: Denver, Colorado, Rocky Mountain Section of the Society for Sedimentary Geology, p. 233–272.
- Phillips, C.H., and Krauhlec, K., 2006, Geology and history of the Bingham mining district, Salt Lake County, Utah, in Bon, R.L., Gloyn, R.W., and Park, G.M., editors, Mining districts of Utah: Utah Geological Association Publication 32, p. 6–40.
- Phillips, F.M., Zreda, M.G., Gosse, J.C., Klein, J., Klein, J., Evenson, E.B., Hall, R.D., Chadwick, O.A., and Sharma P., 1997, Cosmogenic  $^{36}\text{Cl}$  and  $^{10}\text{Be}$  ages of Quaternary glacial and fluvial deposits of the Wind River Range, Wyoming: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 109, no. 11, p. 1453–1463.
- Pierce, K.L., Licciardi, J.M., Good, J.M., and Jaworowski, C., 2018, Pleistocene glaciation of the Jackson Hole area, Wyoming: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1835, 55 p.
- Pierce, K.L., Muhs, D.R., Fosberg, M.A., Mahan, S.A., Rosenbaum, J.G., Licciardi, J.M., and Pavich, M.J., 2011, A loess-paleosol record of climate and glacial history over the past two glacial-interglacial cycles (~150 ka), southern Jackson Hole, Wyoming: Quaternary Research, v. 76, p. 119–141.
- Pierson, T.C., Siebert, L., Harpel, C.J., and Scott, K.M., 2018, Geologic field-trip guide of volcanoclastic sediments from snow- and ice-capped volcanoes—Mount St. Helens, Washington, and Mount Hood, Oregon: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2017–5022–F, 97 p., <https://doi.org/10.3133/sir20175022F>.
- Pipiringos, G.N., and O'Sullivan, R.B., 1978, Principal unconformities in Triassic and Jurassic rocks, Western Interior United States—a preliminary survey: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1035-A, 29 p.
- Presnell, R.D., 1997, Structural controls on the plutonism and metallogeny in the Wasatch and Oquirrh Mountains, Utah, in John, D.A., and Ballentyne, G.H., editors, Geology and ore deposits of the Oquirrh and Wasatch Mountains, Utah: Society of Economic Geologists Guidebook Series 29, p. 1–9.
- Quirk, B. J., Moore, J.R., Laabs, B.J.C., Caffee, M.W., and Plummer, M.A., 2018, Termination II, Last Glacial Maximum, and Lateglacial chronologies and paleoclimate from Big Cottonwood Canyon, Wasatch Mountains, Utah: Geological Society of America Bulletin, v. 130, no. 11/12, p. 1889–1902.
- Quirk, B.J., Moore, J.R., Laabs, B.J.C., Plummer, M.A., and Caffee, M.W., 2020, Latest Pleistocene glacial and climate history of the Wasatch Range, Utah: Quaternary Science Reviews, v. 258, 17 p., <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2020.106313>.
- Rahl, J.M., Reiners, P.W., Campbell, I.H., Nicolescu, S., and Allen, C.M., 2003, Combined single-grain (U-Th)/He and U-Pb dating of detrital zircons from the Navajo Sandstone, Utah: Geology, v. 31, no. 9, p. 761–764.
- Reiners, P.W., Campbell, I.H., Nicolescu, S., Allen, C.M., Horigan, J.K., Garver, J.I., Mattinson, J.M., and Cowan, D.S., 2005, (U-Th)/(He-Pb) double-dating of detrital zircons: American Journal of Science, v. 305, p. 259–311.
- Rigo, R.J., 1968, Middle and Upper Cambrian Stratigraphy in the autochthon and allochthon of northern Utah: Brigham Young University Geology Studies, v. 15, part 1, p. 31–66.
- Sadlick, W., 1955, The Mississippian-Pennsylvanian boundary in northeastern Utah: Salt Lake City, University of Utah, M.S. thesis, 77 p.
- Sandberg, C.A., and Gutschick, R.C., 1984, Distribution, microfauna, and source-rock potential of Mississippian Delle Phosphatic Member of Woodman Formation and equivalents, Utah and adjacent states, in Woodward, Jane, Meissner, F.F., and Clayton, J.L., editors, Hydrocarbon source rocks of the greater Rocky Mountain region: Rocky Mountain Association of Geologists Field Conference Guidebook, p. 135–178.
- Scales, J.R., 1972, Geology and petrography of igneous rocks in the Park Mountain area, Park City, Utah: Iowa City,

- The University of Iowa, unpublished M.S. thesis, 108 p., 1 plate, scale 1:12,000.
- Sharp, W., Ludwig, K.R., Chadwick, O.A., Amundson, R., and Glasner, L.L., 2003, Dating fluvial terraces by  $^{230}\text{Th}/\text{U}$  on pedogenic carbonate, Wind River basin, Wyoming: *Quaternary Research*, v. 59, p. 139–150.
- Sheldon, R.P., Cressman, E.R., Cheney, T.M., and McKelvey, V.E., 1967a, Paleotectonic investigations of the Permian System in the United States, Chapter H—Middle Rocky Mountains and northeastern Great Basin, *in* McKee, E.D. and Oriel, S.S. and others, Paleotectonic investigations of the Permian System in the United States: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 515-H, p. 157–170.
- Sheldon, R.P., Maughan, E.K., and Cressman, E.R., 1967b, Sedimentation of rocks of Leonard (Permian) age in Wyoming and adjacent states, *in* Hale, L.A., editor, Anatomy of the western phosphate field, a guide to the geologic occurrence, exploration methods, mining engineering, and recovery technology: Intermountain Association Geologists, Fifteenth Annual Field Conference, p. 1–13.
- Smith, H.P., 1969, The Thaynes Formation of the Moenkopi Group, north-central Utah: Salt Lake City, University of Utah, Ph.D. dissertation, 378 p. 13 plates.
- Smyk, E., Hollings, P., Baker, M., Cooke, D.R., Thompson, J.A., Thompson, J.M., and Creaser, R., 2018, Geochemistry and geochronology of the intrusive rocks of the central Wasatch Mountains igneous belt, Utah, USA—implications for porphyry mineralization, *in* Emerman, S.H., Schamel, S., and Simmons, S., editors, Geofluids of Utah: Utah Geological Association Publication 47, p. 305–327.
- Solien, M.A., Morgan, W.A., and Clark, D.L., 1979, Structure and stratigraphy of Lower Triassic conodont locality, Salt Lake City, Utah: Brigham Young University Geology Studies, v. 26, part 3, p. 165–177.
- Sprinkel, D.A., 2018, Mysteries of the Uinta Mountains—commonly asked questions and answers: Utah Geological Survey, Survey Notes, v. 50, no. 3, p.1–3, <https://doi.org/10.34191/SNT-50-3>.
- Sprinkel, D.A., Doelling, H.H., Kowallis, B.J., Waanders, G., and Kuehne, P.A., 2011a, Early results of a study of Middle Jurassic strata in the Sevier fold and thrust belt, Utah, *in* Sprinkel, D.A., Yonkee, W.A., and Chidsey, T.C., Jr., editors, Sevier thrust belt—northern and central Utah and adjacent areas: Utah Geological Association Publication 40, p. 151–172.
- Sprinkel, D.A., Kowallis, B.J., and Jensen, P.H., 2011b, Correlation and age of the Nugget Sandstone and Glen Canyon Group, Utah, *in* Sprinkel, D.A., Yonkee, W.A., and Chidsey, T.C., Jr., editors, Sevier thrust belt—northern and central Utah and adjacent areas: Utah Geological Association Publication 40, p. 131–149.
- Sullivan, J.T., Martin, R.A., and Foley, L.L., 1988, Seismotectonic study for Jordanelle dam, Bonneville Unit, Central Utah Project, Utah, with appendices by C.K. Wood and R.C. LaForge: Denver, Colorado, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Seismotectonic Report 88-6, 76 p. plus appendices, 1 plate, scale 1:1000.
- Thomas, H.D., and Krueger, M.L., 1946, Late Paleozoic and early Mesozoic stratigraphy of Uinta Mountains, Utah: American Association of Petroleum Geologists Bulletin, v. 30, no. 8, p. 1255–1293.
- U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, 1986, Draft geologic report for Jordanelle damsite and reservoir, Bonneville Unit, Central Utah Project, Utah, *in* Technical review document for consultant review, v. 1, unpublished report: Provo, U.S. Bureau of Reclamation, Bonneville Construction Office.
- Van Horn, R., and Crittenden, M.J., Jr., 1987, Map showing surficial units and bedrock geology of the Fort Douglas quadrangle and parts of the Mountain Dell and Salt Lake City North quadrangles, Davis, Salt Lake, and Morgan Counties, Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Investigations Series Map I-1762, 1 plate, scale 1:24,000.
- Vogel, T.A., Cambray, F.W., Feher, L.A., Constenius, K.N., and the WIB Research Team, 1997, Petrochemistry and emplacement history of the Wasatch intrusive belt, Utah, *in* John, D.A., and Ballantyne, G.H., editors, Geology and ore deposits of the Oquirrh and Wasatch Mountains, Utah: Society of Economic Geologists Guidebook 29, p. 35–46.
- Vogel, T.A., Cambray, F.W., and Constenius, K.N., 2001, Origin and emplacement of igneous rocks in the central Wasatch Mountains, Utah: *Rocky Mountain Geology*, v. 36, no. 2, p. 119–162.
- Wallace, Janae, 2005, Geologic logs of water wells, Utah: Online, Utah Division of Water Rights and Utah Geological Survey, <http://www.waterrights.utah.gov/wellinfo/default.htm#>, accessed June 8, 2005.
- Ward, P.D., 2004, Gorgon—paleontology, obsession, and the greatest catastrophe in Earth's history: New York, Viking, 257 p.
- Wardlaw, B.R., and Collinson, J.W., 1979, Biostratigraphic zonation of the Park City Group, *in* Studies of the Permian Phosphoria Formation and related rocks, Great Basin-Rocky Mountain region: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1163-D, p. D17–D22.
- Willes, S.B., 1962, The mineral alteration products of the Keetley-Kamas volcanic area, Utah: Brigham Young University Geology Studies, v. 9, part 2, p. 3–28.
- Willis, J.B., and Willis, G.C., 2010, Geology of Wasatch Mountain State Park, Utah, *in* Sprinkel, D.A., Chidsey, T.C., Jr., and Anderson, P.B., editors, Geology of Utah's Parks and Monuments: Utah Geological Association and Bryce Canyon Natural History Association, Utah Geological Association Publication 28, third edition, p. 515–538.
- Willis, J.B., and Willis, G.C., 2012, Geology of Wasatch Mountain State Park road logs, Utah, *in* Anderson, P.B., and Sprinkel, D.A., editors, Geologic road, trail, and lake



guides to Utah's parks and monuments: Utah Geological Association Publication 29, third edition, compact disc, p. 1–60.

Willis, G.C., Yonkee, W.A., Doelling, H.H., and Jensen, M.E., 2010, Geology of Antelope Island State Park, Utah, *in* Sprinkel, D.A., Chidsey, T.C., Jr., and Anderson, P.B., editors, Geology of Utah's Parks and Monuments: Utah Geological Association and Bryce Canyon Natural History Association, Utah Geological Association Publication 28, third edition, p. 349–377.

Woodfill, R.D., 1972, A geologic and petrographic investigation of a northern part of the Keetley volcanic field, Summit and Wasatch Counties, Utah: West Lafayette, Indiana, Purdue University, Ph.D. dissertation, 168 p., 1 plate, scale 1:24,000.

Table 1. Trace element and whole-rock geochemistry of igneous rocks, Heber City quadrangle, Utah.

Sample No.	Map Label	Unit Name	7.5' Quadrangle	Map Symbol	J Code	K Code	L Code	Rock Type	Easting, NAD83	Northing, NAD83	Lab Used	Collected by	Al2O3	BaO	CaO	Cr2O3	Fe2O3	K2O	MgO	MnO	Na2O	P2O5	SO3	SiO2	SrO	TiO2	Total
HC052518-1	G23	lava flow	Heber City	Tkcf	1	3		latite	465367	4492396	ALS Minerals	Biek	16.31	0.17	5.25	<0.01	6.42	3.58	2.22	0.11	3.62	0.429		59.39	0.1	0.68	99.54
HC052518-2	G24	lava flow	Heber City	Tkcf	1	3		latite	465854	4491445	ALS Minerals	Biek	16.39	0.18	5.06	<0.01	6.19	3.47	1.87	0.1	3.72	0.381		60.77	0.1	0.69	100.05
HC052518-3	G19	dike, black glassy breccia	Heber City	NA	1	4		latite	466903	4493434	ALS Minerals	Biek	16.21	0.18	4.88	<0.01	6.07	3.65	2.15	0.1	3.44	0.403		59.98	0.11	0.68	99.9
HC072016-5	G03	Park Premier stock	Heber City	Tpp	1	2		andesite	466544	4497130	ALS Minerals	Biek	14.68	0.17	5.65	0.05	6.98	3	5.49	0.17	2.95	0.35	0.03	56.25	0.08	0.73	99.73
HC082818-3	G02	Ontario stock	Heber City	To	1	6		dacite	460434	4496410	ALS Minerals	Biek	15.62	0.15	3.42	<0.01	4.47	3.66	1.68	0.07	3.64	0.25		65.11	0.07	0.53	99.39
HC082918-1	G05	Mayflower stock	Heber City	Tm	1	8		dacite	462182	4495150	ALS Minerals	Biek	15.82	0.19	3.45	<0.01	5.06	2.69	2.5	0.08	3.68	0.323		59.93	0.1	0.62	99.09
HC082918-6	G12	Glencoe stock	Heber City	Tg	1	9		latite	460190	4494609	ALS Minerals	Biek	17.36	0.34	5.22	0.02	5.99	4.39	3.17	0.08	3.31	0.361		58.64	0.15	0.7	99.9
HC082918-7	G11	Glencoe stock	Heber City	Tg	1	9		andesite	460310	4494601	ALS Minerals	Biek	15.79	0.25	4.89	<0.01	6.31	3.14	2.87	0.09	3.37	0.372		61.12	0.1	0.81	99.41
HC082918-8	G13	Mayflower stock	Heber City	Tm	1	8		latite	460428	4494528	ALS Minerals	Biek	16	0.21	4.8	<0.01	6.28	3.19	2.61	0.1	3.55	0.377		60.74	0.1	0.79	99.77
HC083018-1	G01	Ontario stock	Heber City	To	1	6		trachyte	458878	4496782	ALS Minerals	Biek	15.78	0.19	3.45	<0.01	4.98	3.92	2	0.11	5.05	0.343		62.28	0.08	0.61	99.66
HC090618-1	G10	Flagstaff stock	Heber City	Tf	1	10		dacite	458927	4494215	ALS Minerals	Biek	16.14	0.2	4.29	<0.01	4.72	2.6	2.09	0.08	3.82	0.306		62.92	0.11	0.56	99.69
HC090618-3	G14	Valeo stock	Heber City	Tv	1	11		dacite	459758	4493788	ALS Minerals	Biek	15.59	0.2	3.78	<0.01	4.32	2.71	2.14	0.07	3.68	0.259		63.11	0.1	0.52	99.56
HC090618-4	G09	Flagstaff stock	Heber City	Tf	1	10		dacite	458849	4494231	ALS Minerals	Biek	16.05	0.2	3.52	<0.01	4.54	2.79	2.32	0.08	4.12	0.281		62.17	0.09	0.56	100.05
HC091218-5	G20	Pine Creek stock	Heber City	Tpc	1	12		andesite	459185	4493062	ALS Minerals	Biek	15.68	0.2	4.56	0.02	5.67	2.63	3.86	0.09	3.66	0.336		60.18	0.1	0.66	99.82
HC091218-9	G21	Pine Creek stock	Heber City	Tpc	1	12		dacite	459437	4492131	ALS Minerals	Biek	16.46	0.2	4.36	<0.01	5.08	2.6	2.34	0.09	3.96	0.342		62.18	0.1	0.61	100.05
HC091818-1	G15	Mayflower stock	Heber City	Tm	1	8		dacite	462222	4494046	ALS Minerals	Biek	16.32	0.2	3.32	<0.01	4.6	3	1.63	0.06	3.79	0.298		63.94	0.1	0.57	100.15
HC092618-1	G25	Pine Creek stock	Heber City	Tpc	1	12		dacite	458304	4490447	ALS Minerals	Biek	16.4	0.19	4.56	<0.01	5.26	2.54	2.45	0.09	4.17	0.333		62.06	0.11	0.63	99.69
HC101818-4	G22	plag porphyry	Heber City	Tj	1	13		latite	463397	4491866	ALS Minerals	Biek	16.67	0.2	4.7	<0.01	5.46	3.14	2.44	0.07	3.71	0.338		61.35	0.1	0.66	100.2
HC110718-1	G08	lava flow?	Heber City	Tkf	1	14		latite	468139	4495940	ALS Minerals	Biek	16.26	0.16	4.98	<0.01	5.91	3.22	2.31	0.09	3.56	0.403		60.35	0.08	0.69	99.87
HC110718-3	G07	Park Premier stock breccia phase	Heber City	Tpp	1	2		dacite	466676	4495857	ALS Minerals	Biek	16.21	0.19	3.61	<0.01	4.44	3.53	1.36	0.07	3.7	0.428		63.66	0.1	0.49	99.52
HC110718-7	G04	Park Premier stock	Heber City	Tpp	1	2		dacite	467911	4497035	ALS Minerals	Biek	15.37	0.17	4.67	<0.01	4.67	2.46	2.03	0.07	3.07	0.3		62.86	0.09	0.63	99.78
HC110818-2	G06	lava flow	Heber City	Tkf	1	14		latite	466062	4495450	ALS Minerals	Biek	16.25	0.18	4.46	<0.01	6.34	3.47	2.49	0.11	3.72	0.419		60.01	0.09	0.7	100.05
HC111418-1	G18	prophyry sill?	Heber City	Tkcc	1	15		trachyte	467046	4494467	ALS Minerals	Biek	16.83	0.18	4.45	<0.01	5.49	3.86	1.76	0.1	3.63	0.359		61.6	0.09	0.63	100.2
HC111418-4	G17	lava flow?	Heber City	Tkcc	1	15		trachyte	466148	4494239	ALS Minerals	Biek	16.95	0.18	6.09	<0.01	7.18	3.05	2.11	0.09	3.74	0.634		57.1	0.11	0.92	99.97
HC111418-5	G16	lava flow?	Heber City	Tkcc	1	15		trachyte	466049	4494154	ALS Minerals	Biek	17.15	0.18	4.35	<0.01	5.25	3.87	1.2	0.06	3.86	0.381		61.91	0.1	0.65	100.15

Sample No.	LOI	Ba	Ce	Cr	Cs	Dy	Er	Eu	Ga	Gd	Hf	Ho	La	Lu	Nb	Nd	Pr	Rb	Sm	Sn	Sr	Ta	Tb	Th	Tm	U	V	W	Y	Yb	Zr	Ag	As	Cd	Co	Cu	Li	Mo	Ni	Pb	Sc	Tl	Zn
HC052518-1	1.26	1420	96.2	50	3.09	3.52	1.86	1.6	21.8	5.2	5.5	0.67	52.8	0.28	11	40.7	11.1	105	7.18	2	873	0.7	0.66	12.45	0.23	3.51	102	2	18.8	1.86	225	<0.5	6	<0.5	17	28	20	1	18	21	11	<10	77
HC052518-2	1.11	1565	97.4	40	3.22	3.99	2.05	1.78	22	5.25	6	0.72	57.9	0.34	11.3	46	12.15	110	7.31	1	870	0.7	0.73	12.65	0.27	3.82	173	2	20.7	1.9	248	<0.5	5	<0.5	14	29	20	2	15	19	10	<10	82
HC052518-3	2.05	1610	130.5	10	4.06	4.16	2.23	2.14	21.1	5.61	7.2	0.76	71.2	0.31	13.7	54.3	15.3	103.5	8.75	2	976	0.8	0.79	15	0.26	3.87	126	2	21.6	2.17	307	<0.5	5	<0.5	13	19	10	2	6	26	8	<10	88
HC072016-5	3.02	1695	108	390	0.73	3.56	1.64	1.79	20.6	4.88	5.2	0.63	58.2	0.24	11.6	44.1	12.35	86.6	6.85	1	757	0.7	0.64	12.3	0.22	3.42	134	3	17.3	1.55	195	<0.5	8	<0.5	20	53	10	1	112	18	13	<10	132
HC082818-3	0.72	1320	94.7	20	4.25	2.65	1.32	1.34	20.7	3.98	5.4	0.56	54.2	0.24	12.2	36.3	10.5	120.5	5.95	1	607	1	0.48	19.7	0.22	4.62	89	3	15.7	1.37	206	<0.5	9	<0.5	10	69	20	2	11	29	7	<10	85
HC082918-1	4.65	1780	102	50	1.36	3.53	1.79	1.53	21.3	4.47	6.5	0.64	57.4	0.26	11.4	42.3	11.7	64	7.03	1	923	0.6	0.61	9.05	0.22	1.59	105	1	18.7	1.57	247	<0.5	8	<0.5	13	16	20	1	19	25	8	<10	91
HC082918-6	0.17	3120	96.5	150	1.1	3.45	1.91	1.61	23.8	5.03	6.4	0.65	51.7	0.26	12.4	42.1	11.45	83.2	7.03	1	1315	0.7	0.62	7.48	0.28	2.2	116	1	18.7	1.83	262	<0.5	5	<0.5	17	13	10	1	39	24	10	<10	92
HC082918-7	0.3	2310	132.5	40	2.9	4.33	2.29	1.82	21.8	5.91	7.4	0.77	74.2	0.32	11.8	53.3	15.15	78.5	8.2	1	923	0.8	0.75	15.9	0.35	3.38	132	2	21.4	2.23	294	<0.5	8	<0.5	14	22	10	2	18	24	11	<10	89
HC082918-8	1.02	1865	117	20	2.22	3.91	2.07	1.84	21.4	5.53	6.5	0.79	64.6	0.32	11.7	49	13.8	87.2	7.79	2	890	0.7	0.62	14.3	0.34	2.58	135	1	20.8	2.07	266	<0.5	12	<0.5	15	32	10	1	11	31	11	<10	90
HC083018-1	0.87	1760	116.5	20	3.14	3.01	1.46	1.43	20	4.27	5.7	0.53	67	0.2	13	44.3	12.85	132.5	6.9	2	694	0.9	0.52	18.3	0.23	4	93	2	15	1.18	222	<0.5	8	<0.5	12	33	30	1	14	34	8	<10	149
HC090618-1	1.85	1895	93.4	40	0.95	3.19	1.47	1.49	22	4.07	5.4	0.6	51.4	0.25	10.7	39	10.65	60.3	6.27	1	1025	0.7	0.51	7.92	0.22	1.97	88	1	16.1	1.45	220	<0.5	<5	<0.5	11	12	20	1	12	25	7	<10	81
HC090618-3	3.08	1845	83.1	60	1.28	2.9	1.48	1.39	21.4	3.65	4.9	0.53	45.4	0.21	10.6	33.9	9.83	65.5	6.1	1	929	0.6	0.46	6.77	0.18	1.73	82	1	14.6	1.48	193	<0.5	<5	<0.5	10	5	20	1	16	21	7	<10	72
HC090618-4	3.31	1875	93.4	30	0.53	2.69	1.75	1.38	20.3	4.24	5	0.6	51.6	0.23	10.8	38.1	11	67.6	6.41	1	806	0.6	0.58	7.69	0.24	1.84	81	1	15.4	1.52	205	<0.5	<5	<0.5	9	14	20	1	12	22	7	<10	82
HC091218-5	2.17	1895	97.7	170	0.82	3.36	1.79	1.45	20.4	4.43	5.8	0.63	55.8	0.29	10.5	42.5	11.5	62.6	6.64	1	903	0.5	0.6	7.87	0.24	2.04	136	1	16.8	1.58	224	<0.5	5	<0.5	18	31	20	1	77	22	10	<10	88
HC091218-9	1.72	1805	104.5	30	1.34	3.43	1.48	1.53	20.4	4.07	6	0.6	57.3	0.26	10.6	43.5	12.3	63	7.13	1	931	0.6	0.55	8.13	0.25	1.61	99	1	16.4	1.61	235	<0.5	<5	<0.5	12	12	20	1	13	23	7	<10	79
HC091818-1	2.33	1875	92.7	40	1.1	2.88	1.5	1.3	20.3	3.63	6.2	0.57	50.2	0.23	10.5	36.7	10.5	72.6	5.9	1	884	0.6	0.51	8.77	0.24	1.75	84	1	13.9	1.58	232	<0.5	<5	<0.5	11	16	20	1	16	26	8	<10	83
HC092618-1	0.9	1845	102	80	1.81	3.2	1.71	1.51	21.2	4.59	5.5	0.55	55.8	0.26	10.9	45.1	11.95	60.2	6.83	2	947	0.6	0.61	7.55	0.23	1.19	94	1	16.8	1.58	216	<0.5	8	<0.5	11	13	20	1	13	20	8	<10	80
HC101818-4	1.36	1835	111.5	40	1.26	3.24	1.81	1.47	21.5	4.85	6.2	0.64	62	0.2	9.8	48	13	71.8	7.78	1	868	0.6	0.61	10.9	0.24	2.08	99	1	16.4	1.72	237	<0.5	<5	<0.5	13	23	20	1	18	25	9	<10	92
HC110718-1	1.86	1440	112	20	1.47	3.55	1.9	1.69	20.1	4.61	6.8	0.66	62.5	0.24	12.6	45.2	12.7	83.5	7	1	707	0.7	0.58	13.3	0.25	3.1	102	2	18.1	1.65	261	<0.5	<5	<0.5	15	29	30	1	14	20	9	<10	91
HC110718-3	1.73	1740	103	20	3.12	3.32	1.64	1.66	19.9	4.65	5.9	0.56	58.7	0.25	11.5	47.7	12.85	115	7.31	1	821	0.7	0.54	12.45	0.22	2.73	74	1	15.4	1.39	226	<0.5	<5	<0.5	9	20	20	1	12	22	7	<10	76
HC110718-7	3.39	1570	92.7	40	1.32	2.86	1.49	1.39	18.2	4.08	6.2	0.55	49.8	0.25	11.2	39	10.5	59.5	6.16	1	781	0.6	0.53	8.58	0.22	1.63	90	1	15	1.3	235	<0.5	<5	<0.5	10	19	20	1	16	24	8	<10	92
HC110818-2	1.8	1645	127.5	10	1.61	4.41	2.51	1.93	20.6	5.61	7.3	0.82	70.3	0.36	13.4	56.6	15.15	96.4	9.41	2	759	0.8	0.76	15	0.31	3.41	114	2	23.1	2.28	312	<0.5	<5	<0.5	14	21	10	1	6	18	9	<10	97
HC111418-1	1.21	1645	149	10	2.34	3.88	2.02	1.97	21.1	5.76	9.1	0.78	83.5	0.28	14.8	60.6	17.05	107.5	9.06	2	792	0.8	0.72	17.65	0.33	4.23	102	3	20.3	1.91	361	<0.5	<5	<0.5	11	24	10	2	8	26	8	<10	91
HC111418-4	1.82	1680	156	10	1.65	5.18	2.67	2.59	23.6	7.99	8.7	0.88	89.7	0.32	15.5	72.3	19.7	72.1	12.1	2	996	0.8	1.03	16.15	0.34	3.71	168	1	25.1	1.93	349	<0.5	<5	<0.5	15	34	20	2	8	22	12	<10	108
HC111418-5	1.2	1730	155.5	10	2.3	4	2.25	2.02	21.5	6.17	9.3	0.78	88.5	0.31	16.6	61.9	17.8	108	9.88	2	843	0.8	0.78	18.35	0.29	4.17	100	3	20.8	1.96	388	<0.5	<5	<0.5	11	22	20	2	4	26	7	<10	87



Table 2. Isotopic ages of Keetley Volcanics and eastern porphyry stocks of Wasatch intrusive belt.

Formation	Sample number	K-Ar age (Ma)	U/Pb age (Ma)	<sup>40</sup> Ar/ <sup>39</sup> Ar age (Ma)	Mineral	7.5' Quadrangle	Easting NAD83	Northing NAD83	Lab used	Reference
Flagstaff stock	BC12LZ522		35.0 ± 0.37		zircon	NA	NA	NA	NA	Smyk and others (2018)
Flagstaff stock	PC-384	39.7 ± 1.2			hornblende	Heber City	458806	4494646	USGS	Bromfield and others (1977)
Flagstaff stock, dike	PC-12	37.8 ± 1.5			hornblende	Heber City	459202	4493996	USGS	Bromfield and others (1977)
Indian Hollow plug	PC-386	36.2 ± 1.3			hornblende	Francis	470079	4499625	USGS	Bromfield and others (1977)
Indian Hollow plug	PC-385	36.1 ± 1.3			hornblende	Francis	470055	4499626	USGS	Bromfield and others (1977)
Jordanelle stock	A-7482	36.5 ± 1.8			hornblende	Heber City	NA	NA	Geochron	Sullivan and others (1988)
Jordanelle stock	A-7481	36.3 ± 1.8			hornblende	Heber City	NA	NA	Geochron	Sullivan and others (1988)
Jordanelle stock	A-7480	38.5 ± 1.9			hornblende	Heber City	NA	NA	Geochron	Sullivan and others (1988)
Jordanelle stock	A-7480	40.0 ± 1.6			biotite	Heber City	NA	NA	Geochron	Sullivan and others (1988)
Keetley Volc., lava flows of Richardson Flat	PC-398	36.4 ± 1.3			hornblende	Park City East	463216	4503449	USGS	Bromfield and others (1977)
Keetley Volc., lava flows of Richardson Flat	PC-398	33.9 ± 1.3			biotite	Park City East	463216	4503449	USGS	Bromfield and others (1977)
Keetley Volcanics	KNC6901-1			38.20 ± 0.11	sanidine	Peoa area	470651	4510107	NMGRL	Constenius and others (2003)
Keetley Volcanics	KNC92799-5			40.45 ± 0.18	hornblende	Co-op Creek	485396	4469144	NMGRL	Constenius and others (2011)
Keetley Volcanics	63-mc-47	35.1 ± 1.1			biotite	Heber	463513	4492070	USGS	Crittenden and others (1973)
Keetley Volcanics	62-mc-12	32.7 ± 1.0			biotite	Francis	474972	4494921	USGS	Crittenden and others (1973)
Keetley Volcanics	62-mc-15	34.0 ± 1.0			biotite	Wolf Mountain Summit	495055	4479557	USGS	Crittenden and others (1973)
Keetley Volcanics, ignimbrite	BC12DC656		34.94 ± 0.44		zircon	NA	NA	NA	NA	Smyk and others (2018)
Keetley Volcanics, clast	BC12MB470		35.27 ± 0.45		zircon	NA	NA	NA	NA	Smyk and others (2018)
Keetley Volcanics	NA			38.5 ± 2.1	hornblende	NA	NA	NA	NA	Vogel and others (1997)
Keetley, basal tuff unit	KNC92799-6			37.25 ± 0.14	hornblende	Co-op Creek	485384	4469018	NMGRL	Constenius and others (2011)
Keetley Volcanics, flow	BC12DC658		34.68 ± 0.39		zircon	NA	NA	NA	NA	Smyk and others (2018)
Mayflower stock	PC-388	74.90 ± 4.8			plagioclase	Heber City	NA	NA	USGS	Bromfield and others (1977)
Mayflower stock	PC-388	41.2 ± 1.6			hornblende	Heber City	NA	NA	USGS	Bromfield and others (1977)
Mayflower stock	BC12JT608		35.47 ± 0.48		zircon	Heber City	462704	4495981	NA	Smyk and others (2018)
Mayflower stock	NA			32.7 ± 1.4	hornblende	Heber City	NA	NA	NA	Vogel and others (1997)
Ontario stock	PC-392	34.3 ± 1.3			biotite	Heber City	NA	NA	USGS	Bromfield and others (1977)
Ontario stock	93718-2		36.00 ± 2.0		zircon	Heber City	465412	4497987	USGS	Vogel and others, 2001
Ontario stock	PC-393	34.00 ± 1.1			biotite	Heber City	462612	4496225	USGS	Bromfield and others (1977)
Ontario stock	PC-392	33.40 ± 1.3			biotite	Heber City	468225	4483322	USGS	Bromfield and others (1977)
Ontario stock	DDH-37-1490	34.5 ± 1.4			biotite	Heber City	NA	NA	USGS	Bromfield and others (1977)
Ontario stock	NA		36 ± 2.0		zircon	Heber City	NA	NA	NA	Constenius and others (1997)
Ontario stock	2380-1	33.3 ± 1.3			biotite	Heber City	NA	NA	USGS	Bromfield and others (1977)
Ontario stock, dike	PC-389	35.6 ± 1.3			plagioclase	Heber City	459747	4494764	USGS	Bromfield and others (1977)
Ontario stock, dike	PC-389	35.7 ± 1.3			plagioclase	Heber City	459747	4494764	USGS	Bromfield and others (1977)
Park Premier stock	PPr-10	31.60 ± 0.39			biotite	Park City East	NA	NA	BGC	John and others (1997)
Park Premier stock	PPr-10	32.38 ± 0.24			hornblende	Park City East	NA	NA	BGC	John and others (1997)
Park Premier stock	BC12DC657		33.69 ± 0.6		zircon	Park City East	466434	4498681	NA	Smyk and others (2018)
Park Premier stock	81-PP-2			33.53 ± 0.09	biotite	Heber City	NA	NA	BGC	John and others (1997)
Park Premier stock, Bone Hollow phase	PPr-23			31.42 ± 0.10	alunite	Park City East	NA	NA	BGC	John and others (1997)
Park Premier stock, main phase	PC-387	33.9 ± 1.2			biotite	Kamas	468441	4500897	USGS	Bromfield and others (1977)
Park Premier stock, main phase	PC-387	35.2 ± 1.0			hornblende	Kamas	468441	4500897	USGS	Bromfield and others (1977)
Pine Creek stock	BC12JT607		34.85 ± 0.39		zircon	Heber City	458357	4490240	NA	Smyk and others (2018)
Pine Creek stock	PC-27	35.20 ± 1.3			biotite	Heber City	458181	4490398	USGS	Bromfield and others (1977)
Pine Creek stock	LSH-4a	36.8 ± 1.1			biotite	Heber City	NA	NA	USGS	Crittenden and others (1973)
Pine Creek stock	81-PC-4	36.04 ± 0.30			biotite	Heber City	NA	NA	BGC	John and others (1997)
Pine Creek stock	81-PC-4	41.28 ± 0.49			hornblende	Heber City	NA	NA	BGC	John and others (1997)
Pine Creek stock	NA			38.0 ± 0.9	hornblende	Heber City	NA	NA	NA	Vogel and others (1997)
Pine Creek stock	NA	38.5 ± 0.7			hornblende	Heber City	NA	NA	NA	Vogel and others (1997)
Pine Creek stock	NA			38.5 ± 0.7	hornblende	Heber City	NA	NA	NA	Vogel and others (1997)
Valeo stock	BC12LZ526		35.38 ± 0.39		zircon	Heber City	NA	NA	NA	Smyk and others (2018)
Valeo stock	PC-383	34.6 ± 1.6			biotite	Heber City	458678	4492673	USGS	Bromfield and others (1977)
Valeo stock	PC-379	40.3 ± 1.6			hornblende	Heber City	457737	4492555	USGS	Bromfield and others (1977)
Valeo stock	PC-383	39.8 ± 1.2			hornblende	Heber City	458678	4492673	USGS	Bromfield and others (1977)

Notes:    Age uncertainty = 2 standard deviations  
          NMGRL = New Mexico Geochronology Research Laboratory  
          BGC = Berkeley Geochronolgy Center  
          General location of John and others (1997) samples shown on their figure 2  
          Jordanelle stock ages are from cores under Jordanelle Dam  
          NA = not available

**Table 3.** Selected water wells and exploration drill holes in the Heber City quadrangle.\*

Map Number	"Well Identification # (WIN)"	Well Name	Latitude NAD83	Longitude NAD83	Total Depth (feet)	Completed	Owner	PLS Coordinates
1	431873	Mahogany Springs Well	40.5416087006	-111.485239860	500		Midway City	N 1890 W 980 S4 S22 T3S R4E SL
2	437261	Alpenhof-Weber Well	40.5171793512	-111.498887973	1010	12/2/13	Midway City	S 1710 E 471 N4 S33 T3S R4E SL
3	4257	Heber City Hospital Well	40.5058662754	-111.402626292	550	10/23/96	Heber City	S 543 W 2026 NE S5 T4S R5E
4	429659	Best Ranch Well	40.5734235244	-111.425649110	1508	4/30/07	Jordanelle Special Service District	N 2853 W 389 S4 S7 T3S R5E SL

\* See Utah Division of Water Rights for well log information.

**Table 4.** Main mines of the Park City mining district.

Map Number	Mine Name
1	Ontario mine, shaft 3
2	Ontario mine, shaft 2
3	Parleys Park shaft
4	Wabash mine
5	Nail Driver tunnel
6	Nail Driver mine
7	New York shaft
8	Superior mine, lower tunnel
9	Superior mine, middle tunnel
10	Superior mine shaft
11	Hawkeye mine
12	Wasatch mine
13	Liberty tunnel
14	Star tunnel
15	Glencoe mine, main tunnel
16	Glencoe mine, upper tunnel
17	Valeo mine, upper tunnel
18	Valeo mine, middle tunnel
19	Valeo mine, main tunnel
20	Dutch Canyon tunnel
21	Dutch Canyon incline
22	East Valeo
23	Sunnyside
24	Mayflower mine
25	Park Premier mine