

Tyler J. Wiseman and Marc T. Eckels

May 2020 Scale: 1:850.000

Data represented on this map is for REFERENCE USE ONLY and is not suitable for legal, engineering, or surveying purposes. SITLA and the authors provide this data in good faith and shall in no event be liable for any incorrect results, or any special, indirect or consequential damages to any party, arising out of or in connection with the use or the inability to use the data hereon.

The Miscellaneous Publication series provides non-UGS authors with a high-

quality format for documents concerning Utah geology. Although review comments have been incorporated, this document does not necessarily conform to UGS technical, editorial, or policy standards. The Utah Department of Natural Resources, Utah Geological Survey, makes no warranty, expressed or implied, regarding the suitability of this product for a particular use. The Utah Department of Natural Resources, Utah Geological Survey, shall not be liable under any circumstances for any direct, indirect, special, incidental, or consequential damages with respect to claims by users of this product.

data current through 2018. The most prolific aggregator of helium well data for this map has been the U.S. Bureau of Mines (USBM), succeeded by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM), which since 1917 has solicited gas samples from production and wildcat wells drilled in helium-prospective play areas as part of the strategic Federal Helium Program. In 2015, helium analyses obtained by the USBM were digitized and included in the publicly available U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) energy geochemistry database. In 2018, the USGS created a separate and condensed database specifically for United States helium analyses. Subeconomic helium samples are those found below 0.30 mole%. Areas dominated by subeconomic helium shows do not necessarily infer a non-existent helium system, but rather a neglect to

test deeper helium-bearing formations in search for stratigraphically higher combustible hydrocarbons. Sample depth (feet) Helium (mol%) 8-1,000 ○ ≤ 0.7% ○ ≤ 1.2% 1,000-10,000 ≤ 2.5% PENNSYLVANIAN ≤ 4.0% 10,000–18,400 ≤ 7.5% Subeconomic helium show ≤ 10.0%

MI Dakota Sandstone Leadville Limestone Mm Cedar Mountain Formation Madison Limestone (WY)

JURASSIC Morrison Formation Entrada Sandstone **TRIASSIC** Chinle Formation

PERMIAN Phosphoria Formation (WY) Kaibab Formation Cutler Group PIPw Weber Sandstone

Tensleep Formation (WY)

Paradox Formation

Hermosa Group

DEVONIAN Do Ouray Limestone De Elbert Formation McCracken Sandstone Member Aneth Formation

Da ORDOVICIAN Moenkopi Formation Bighorn Dolomite (WY)

SUBSURFACE FEATURES Documented helium reservoir Proven oil and/or gas field

Coal and hydrocarbon productive region

Precambrian basement-involved thrust fault

Precambrian basement-involved high-angle fault

Major sedimentary basin

Leading edge of Sevier thrust belt Major anticline or monocline

Volcanic dike Gilsonite vein Uplift boundary Tertiary/Quaternary volcanic rocks Tertiary/Quaternary plutonic rocks

Undifferentiated Precambrian outcrop OTHER SYMBOLS AND DESIGNATIONS

★ State capitol City Natural gas processing plant with helium recovery unit

County boundary

State boundary Uintah Special Meridian (USM) boundary PLSS Township/Range Utah Trust Lands mineral ownership

National Park, Monument, or Recreation Area

Broadhead, R., 2005, Helium in New Mexico—geologic distribution, resource demand, and exploration possibilities: New Mexico Geology, v. 27, no. 4, p. 93–101. Baars, D.L., and Stevenson, G.M., 1981, Tectonic evolution of western Colorado and eastern Utah: in New Mexico Geological Society Guidebook, 32nd Field Conference, Western Slope Colorado, 1981, p. 105-112. Colorado Geological Survey, 2005, OF-05-09 Shapefiles for 2002 Oil and Gas Fields Map of Colorado: Colorado Geological Survey, Oil and Gas Fields Open File Report 05-09, Online,

https://coloradogeologicalsurvey.org/publications/shapefiles-oil-gas-fields-map-colorado, accessed December 2019. Chidsey, T.C., Jr., editor, 2016, Major oil plays in Utah and vicinity: Utah Geological Survey Bulletin 137, 293 p. Craddock, W.H., Blondes, M.S., DeVera, C.A., and Hunt, A.G., 2017, Mantle and crustal gases of the Colorado Plateau — Geochemistry, sources, and migration pathways: Geochimica Et Cosmochimica Acta, no. 213, p. 346–374, (https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2017.05.017)

Hill, B.G., and Bereskin, S.R., editors, 1993, Oil and gas fields of Utah. Utah Geological Association Publication 22, non-paginated. Moore, B.J., and Sigler, S.M, 1987, Analyses of natural gases, 1917–85: U.S. Bureau of Mines Information Circular 9129, U.S. Bureau of Mines, Washington, DC, 1197 p. Pacheco, N., and Ali, S.F., 2008, Helium resources of the United States, 2007: Bureau of Land Management Technical Note 429, U.S. Bureau of Land Management, Denver, CO, 20 p.

Sims, P.K., Saltus, R.W., and Anderson, E.D., 2008, Precambrian basement structure map of the continental United States—An interpretation of geologic and aeromagnetic data: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Map 3012, scale 1:8,000,000. Smith, J.R., Tremain, C.M., and Brchan, C.A. (1991). Oil and gas fields map of Colorado: Colorado Geological Survey Map Series 26, scale 1:500,000. Toner, R.N., Lynds, R.M., and Stafford, J.E., 2019, Oil and gas map of Wyoming: Wyoming State Geological Survey Map Series 104, scale 1:500,000, Online, https://www.wsgs.wyo.gov/

pubs-maps/gis, accessed October 2019. U.S. Energy Information Administration, 2016, Lower 48 states shale plays: U.S. Department of Energy Public Domain, Online, https://www.eia.gov/maps/maps.htm, accessed October 2019. U.S. Geological Survey, 1996, 1995 national oil and gas assessment plays and 1995 national oil and gas assessment 1/4-mile cells within the 3700 Wyoming Thrust Belt Province: U.S. Geological Survey Energy Resource Program — Provisional Database, Online, https://certmapper.cr.usgs.gov/data/apps/noga-data/?provcode=5037, accessed October 2019. U.S. Geological Survey, 2000, Geologic assessment of coal in the Colorado Plateau: Arizona, Colorado, New Mexico and Utah: U.S. Geological Survey Energy Resource Program — Provisional Database, Online, https://pubs.er.usgs.gov/publication/pp1625B, accessed October 2019.

geologic-resource-maps/, accessed October 2019. Map this product. Series 104, scale 1:500,000, Online, https://www.wsgs.wyo.gov/pubs-maps/gis, accessed October 2019.

U.S. Geological Survey, 2015, Energy Geochemistry Database: U.S. Geological Survey Energy Resource Program — Provisional Database, Online, https://certmapper.cr.usgs.gov/data/apps/geochem- db/, accessed August 2019. Whidden, K.J., Anna, L.O., Pearson, K.M., and Lillis, P.G., 2012, Assessment of undiscovered oil and gas resources in the Paradox Basin province, Utah, Colorado, New Mexico, and Arizona: U.S. Geological Survey Fact Sheet 2012–3031, 4 p. Wood, R.E. and Chidsey, T.C., Jr., 2015, Oil and gas fields map of Utah: Utah Geological Survey Circular 119, scale 1:700,000, Online, https://geology.utah.gov/resources/energy/