

This open-file release makes information available to the public during the review and production period necessary for a formal UGS publication. While the document is in the review process, it may not conform to UGS standards; therefore, it may be premature for an individual or group to take actions based on its contents.

Although this product represents the work of professional scientists, the Utah Department of Natural Resources, Utah Geological Survey, makes no warranty, expressed or implied, regarding its suitability for a particular use. The Utah Department of Natural Resources, Utah Geological Survey, shall not be liable under any circumstances for any direct, indirect, special, incidental, or consequential damages with respect to claims by users of this product. For use at 1:24,000 scale only.

This open-file release was completed for the Davis County Health Department. Funding was received for two mapping phases. Phase 1 covered the southern portion of Davis County and was delivered in 2016. Phase 2 covered the northern portion of Davis County, delivered in 2018, and updates the phase 1 mapping based on newly acquired data and updated mapping methodology.



MAP LOCATION



APPROXIMATE MEAN DECLINATION, 2018

1:62,500 SCALE

0 1 2 4 6 8 Miles

0 1 2 4 6 8 Kilometers

CONTOUR INTERVAL 20 or 40 FEET

GEOLOGIC RADON HAZARD SUSCEPTIBILITY MAP OF EASTERN DAVIS COUNTY, UTAH

by

Jessica J. Castleton, Ben A. Erickson, and Emily J. Kleber

2018



7.5' QUADRANGLE INDEX

1. Ogden Bay
2. Roy
3. Ogden
4. Snow Basin
5. Antelope Island North
6. Clearfield
7. Kaysville
8. Peterson
9. Saltaire NE
10. Farmington
11. Bountiful Peak
12. Baileys Lake
13. Salt Lake City North
14. Fort Douglas
15. Mountain Dell

Base from U.S. Geological Survey Ogden Bay, Roy, Ogden, Snow Basin, Antelope Island North, Clearfield, Kaysville, Peterson, Saltaire NE, Farmington, Bountiful Peak, Baileys Lake, Salt Lake City North, Fort Douglas, and Mountain Dell 7.5' topographic quadrangles. Hillshade derived from 10-meter National Elevation Dataset (NED) data from the Utah Automated Geographic Reference Center State Geographic Information Database.
Datum: NAD 1983
GIS and Cartography: Jessica J. Castleton, Gordon Douglass, and Martha L. Jensen

Utah Geological Survey
1594 West North Temple, Suite 3110
P.O. Box 146100, Salt Lake City, UT 84114-6100
(801) 537-3300
geology.utah.gov

EXPLANATION

Davis County boundary

GEOLOGIC RADON HAZARD SUSCEPTIBILITY CATEGORIES

H_{GRS} Area where probable soil uranium concentrations are greater than 3 parts per million (ppm); indoor radon levels are likely to be greater than 4 picocuries per liter (pCi/L). Groundwater depth may be greater than 10 feet below the surface, or determined to be likely below the uranium source, and soil is highly permeable to moderately permeable. Boundary is dashed where approximate due to fluctuating groundwater level and variable subsurface geology.

M_{GRS} Area where probable soil uranium concentrations range from 2–3 ppm; indoor radon levels are likely to be 2–4 pCi/L; groundwater depth is less than 10 feet below the surface and soil permeability is low to moderate. Due to fluctuating groundwater level and variable subsurface geology, indoor radon levels greater than 4 pCi/L are possible in moderate zones. Boundary is dashed where approximate due to fluctuating groundwater level and fluctuating water level of the Great Salt Lake.

USING THIS MAP

This map is intended to provide an estimate of the underlying geologic conditions that may contribute to indoor radon hazard potential. This map is not intended to indicate indoor radon levels in specific structures. Although certain geologic factors are conducive to elevated indoor radon hazard potential, other highly variable factors affect indoor radon levels, such as building materials and foundation openings; therefore, indoor radon levels can vary greatly between structures located in the same hazard category. Indoor radon levels in the moderate category may be greater than 4 pCi/L due to variable subsurface geology and construction techniques. This map is not intended for use at scales other than 1:24,000, and is intended for use in general planning to indicate the need for site-specific indoor radon-level testing and possible mitigation. Indoor radon testing is important in all hazard categories and we recommend testing be completed in all existing structures.

Radon Hazard

Radon is an odorless, tasteless, and colorless radioactive gas that is highly mobile and can enter buildings through small foundation cracks and other openings such as utility pipes. The most common type of radon is naturally occurring and results from the radioactive decay of uranium, which occurs in small concentrations in nearly all soil and rock. Although outdoor radon concentrations never reach dangerous levels because air movement and open space dissipate the gas, indoor radon concentrations may reach hazardous levels because of confinement and poor air circulation in buildings.

To evaluate the radon hazard potential, we used four main sources of data to identify areas where underlying geologic conditions may contribute to elevated radon levels: (1) soil permeability data from the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) Soil Survey Geographic (SSURGO) databases for Davis and Salt Lake County areas (NRCS, 2006, 2013); (2) depth-to-groundwater mapping, completed for this study; (3) available geologic mapping (Bryant, 2003; Lowe and others, in preparation, McKean, in preparation); and (4) U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) National Uranium Resource Evaluation (NURE) hydrogeochemical and stream sediment reconnaissance data (USGS, 2004).

Incorporating soil permeability, depth to groundwater, and geologic factors contributing to uranium content, we classified soil and rock units into high, moderate, and low hazard categories (after Solomon, 1992, and Black and Solomon, 1996; tables 1 and 2). This classification methodology is based on the potential of the underlying geologic units to generate radon gas and the ability of the gas to migrate upward through the overlying soil and rock. NURE uranium levels in lake sediment derived from high-uranium geologic material to the east is greater than 3 ppm. Shallow groundwater is present in the investigation area; however, no areas were assigned a point value below 5 (table 2), due to high uranium levels in soils throughout the investigation area.

Soil permeability and groundwater affect the mobility of radon from its source. If a radon source is present, the ability of radon to move upward through the soil into overlying structures is facilitated by high soil permeability. Saturation of soil by groundwater inhibits radon movement by dissolving radon in the water and reducing its ability to migrate upward through the soil (Black, 1993); however, if the source of the radon gas is above or within the groundwater table, shallow groundwater may not reduce the movement of radon. Surficial geologic materials in Davis County have significantly high uranium levels; therefore, the effects of impermeable soils and shallow groundwater inhibition is limited.

The NRCS reported hydraulic conductivity (Ksat) values of saturated soil for their soil units based on testing performed at representative locations (NRCS, 2006, 2013). The NRCS assigned permeability classes to their soil units based on the hydraulic conductivity of the unit. The hydraulic conductivity values of non-soil map units (water, borrow pits, and other artificial units as mapped by the NRCS) are reported as zero; however, they do not necessarily represent impermeable surfaces. Therefore, we assign the hydraulic conductivities of adjacent soil units to the non-soil map units.

Geologic mapping is important for identifying geologic units having high uranium content, particularly outside of areas covered by previous investigations where radiometric data are limited. Metamorphic and igneous rocks of the Precambrian Farmington Canyon Complex compose much of the Wasatch Range in the eastern part of the investigation area and have high uranium content (Black, 1993). In the valley, lake deposits, landslide deposits, and alluvial-fan deposits are derived from bedrock to the east and therefore retain a high uranium content. Consequently, it is possible to obtain high indoor radon readings in many areas where the geologic contribution is moderate or low based on uranium-bearing subsurface geologic units not shown on geologic maps, variable soil permeability, and groundwater conditions. Our mapping methodology assumes that the radon source is below the overlying soils and groundwater. It is important to note that in Davis County, valley surficial deposits likely contribute to high radon levels at the surface, minimizing the effect of impermeable soils and shallow groundwater.

The radon hazard potential in Davis County is generally highest along the benches and in the canyons of the Wasatch Range. The hazard potential is high along the lower benches that are underlain by highly permeable sand and gravel derived from geologic units with high uranium concentrations. The hazard potential generally decreases westward as near-surface groundwater, silt, and clay increase toward Great Salt Lake. However, many areas along the valley floor have a high radon hazard potential, where underlain by large debris-flow deposits, young stream deposits, and landslide deposits that have mobilized material with high uranium concentrations from the mountain front. Along with geologic factors, a number of non-geologic factors also influence indoor radon levels. Although the influence of geologic factors can be estimated, the influence of non-geologic factors such as occupant lifestyle and home construction are highly variable. As a result, indoor radon levels fluctuate and can vary in different structures built on the same geologic unit; therefore, the radon level must be measured in each structure to determine if a problem exists. Testing is easy, inexpensive, and may often be conducted by the building occupant, but professional assistance is available (for more information, see http://radon.utah.gov). Evaluation of actual indoor radon levels across the mapped area was beyond the scope of this investigation.

The hazard-potential categories shown on this map are approximate and mapped boundaries are gradational. Localized areas of higher or lower radon potential are likely to exist within any given map area, but their identification is precluded because of the generalized map scale, relatively sparse data, and non-geologic factors, such as variability in structure construction. The use of imported fill for foundation material can also affect radon potential in small areas, as the imported material may have different geologic characteristics than the native soil.

If professional assistance is required to test for radon or reduce the indoor radon hazard, a qualified contractor should be selected. The EPA provides guidelines for choosing a contractor and a listing of state radon offices in the Consumer's Guide to Radon Reduction (EPA, 2010). The Davis County Health Department offers free radon testing for Davis County residents at specific times throughout the year; more information on this program can be found at http://www.daviscountyutah.gov/health/environmental-health-services/environmental_testing/radon.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Kellison Platero with the Davis County Health Department for providing funding and data for this investigation. We thank the cities of Farmington, Woods Cross, Bountiful, and West Bountiful, as well as Davis County, for providing geotechnical data critical to this investigation. We thank Greg McDonald, Tyler Knudsen, and Mike Hylland for their review of this map.

REFERENCES

Black, B.D., 1993, The radon-hazard-potential map of Utah: Utah Geological Survey Map 149, scale 1:1,000,000.

Black, B.D., and Solomon, B.J.,1996, Radon-hazard potential in the lower Weber River area, Tooele Valley, and southeastern Cache Valley, Cache, Davis, Tooele, and Weber Counties, Utah: Utah Geological Survey Special Study 90, 56 p.

Bryant, B., 2003, Geologic map of the Salt Lake City 30'x 60' quadrangle, north-central Utah, and Uinta County, Wyoming: Utah Geological Survey Map 190DM, scale 1:100,000, digitized from U.S. Geological Survey Miscellaneous Investigations Series Map I-1944 (1990).

Lowe, M., Harty, K.M., and Kirby, S.M., 2018, Geologic map of the Farmington quadrangle, Davis and Salt Lake Counties, Utah: Utah Geological Survey Map 279DM, scale 1:24,000.

McKean, A.P., in preparation, Interim geologic map of the Salt Lake City North quadrangle, Salt Lake and Davis Counties, Utah: Utah Geological Survey contract deliverable, scale 1:24,000.

Natural Resources Conservation Service, 2006, Soil survey geographic (SSURGO) database for Salt Lake area, Salt Lake County, Utah: Online, http://sdmdataaccess.nrcs.usda.gov, accessed January 2016.

Natural Resources Conservation Service, 2013, Soil survey geographic (SSURGO) database for Davis County area, Davis County, Utah: Online, http://sdmdataaccess.nrcs.usda.gov, accessed January 2016.

Solomon, B.J., 1992, Environmental geophysical survey of radon-hazard areas in the southern St. George basin, Washington County, Utah, *in* Harty, K.M., editor, Engineering and environmental geology of southwestern Utah: Utah Geological Association Publication 21, p. 173–192.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2009, A citizen's guide to radon—the guide to protecting yourself and your family from radon: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, and U.S. Public Health Service, EPA 402/K-09/001, 15 p.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2010, Consumer's guide to radon reduction: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA 402/K-10/002, 12 p. U.S. Geological Survey, 2004, National Uranium Resource Evaluation (NURE) hydrogeochemical and stream sediment reconnaissance data: Online, http://mrdata.usgs.gov/nure/sediment, accessed January 2016.

Table 1. Factors that contribute to radon hazard potential. From Black and Solomon (1996).

Factor	Point Value		
	1	2	3
Uranium (ppm, estimated)	<2	2–3	>3
Permeability (K, in/hr)	Low 0.06–0.6	Moderate 0.6–6.0	High 6.0–20.0
Groundwater depth (feet)	<10	10–30	>30

Table 2. Radon hazard potential mapping criteria and indoor radon potential. From Black and Solomon (1996).

Category	Point Range	Potential indoor radon concentration (pCi/L)
Low	3–4	<2
Moderate	5–7	2–4
High	8–9	>4